1	REPORTER'S RECORD
2	VOLUME 1 OF 2 VOLUMES
3	TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. 1042204D
4	COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS CAUSE NUMBER WR-75828-02 TARRANT COUNTY WRIT NUMBER C-3-011020-1042204D
5	
6	THE STATE OF TEXAS) IN THE CRIMINAL DISTRICT
7	vs.) COURT NUMBER THREE
8	PAUL DAVID STOREY) TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	HEARING
14	
15	
16	
17	On the 11th day of September, 2017 the following
18	proceedings came on to be heard in the above-titled and
19	numbered cause before the Honorable Everett Young, Judge
20	Presiding, held in Fort Worth, Texas, reported by machine
21	shorthand utilizing computer-aided transcription.
22	
23	Lisa G. Morton, CSR
24	Deputy Official Court Reporter Criminal District Court Number Three
25	Tarrant County, Texas

1	APPEARANCES
2	HONORABLE TRAVIS G. BRAGG - SBOT NO. 24076286 HONORABLE MATTHEW D. OTTOWAY- SBOT NO. 24047707
3	HONORABLE MATTHEW D. OTTOWAT- SBOT NO. 24047707 HONORABLE RACHEL L. PATTON - SBOT NO. 24039030 Attorneys Pro-Tem
4	ACCOINGYS PIO-IEM ATTORNEY GENERAL KEN PAXTON Criminal Appeals Division
5	PO Box 12548 Austin, Texas 78711-2548
6	Telephone: 512-936-1400
7	Attorney(s)for The State of Texas (Respondent)
8	HONORABLE MICHAEL L. WARE - SBOT NO. 20864200
9	Attorney at Law 300 Burnett, No. 160
10	Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Telephone: 817-338-4100
11	-and- HONORABLE KEITH S. HAMPTON - SBOT NO. 08873230
12	Attorney at Law 1103 Nueces
13	Austin,Texas 78701 Telephone: 512-476-8484
14	Attorney(s) for The Defendant (Applicant)
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	VOLUME 1	
2	HEARING	
3	September 11, 2017	
4	PAGE	VOL.
5	Proceedings Begin6	1
6	Witness Rule Invoked17	1
7	Opening Statement by Mr. Ware21	1
8	Opening Statement by Mr. Bragg24	1
9 10	Defense Witnesses Direct Cross Voir Dire Elizabeth C. Jack 26,175 135	Vol. 1
11 12	State's Witnesses Direct Cross Voir Dire Robert Gill 197,205 200,206	Vol. 1
13 14	Defense Witnesses Direct Cross Voir Dire Robert Foran 209,259 249	Vol. 1
15	Proceedings Adjourned263	1
16	Reporter's Certificate264	1
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES
2	Direct Cross Voir Dire Vol. Foran, Robert 209,259 249 1
3	Foran, Robert 209,259 249 1 Gill, Robert 197,205 200,206 1 Jack, Elizabeth C. 26,175 135 1
4	Uack, Elizabeth C. 20,1/3 133
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		STATE'S EXHIBITS	(RESPOND	ENT)	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED	VOL.
3	1	Copy of Thank-You Card	165	166	1
4		Card			
5					
6					
7		DEFENDANT'S EXHIBI	TS (APPL	ICANT)	
8	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED	VOL.
9	1	Defendant's Motion to Produce Inconsistent	80	81	1
10		or Mitigating Evidence			
11	2	Excerpt of Reporter's	80	81	1
12	_	Record		0_	_
13 14	3	State's First Amended Notice of Brady Material	80	81	1
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Open court, defendant present) THE COURT: At this time we'll be on the 3 record in Cause Number WR-75828-02, which is the number in 4 the Court of Criminal Appeals. The local cause number --5 Writ Number is C-3-011020-1042204D, Ex Parte Paul David 6 7 Storey. This will be a hearing conducted by the Court pursuant to the order of the Texas Court of Criminal 8 Appeals from April 7th of 2017. 9 10 Are both sides ready to proceed at this 11 time? 12 MR. WARE: The Applicant is ready, Your 13 Honor. 14 MR. BRAGG: The State is ready, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. And just for the 16 record, the Applicant Paul David Storey appears to be 17 present in the courtroom. 18 You are Mr. Storey; is that correct? Yes, sir. 19 THE DEFENDANT: 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 I think before we start, both sides maybe 22 had some things for the record; is that correct? 23 MR. HAMPTON: Yes, Judge. After our 24 conference call last Wednesday I think it was --25 THE COURT: I think it was actually

1 Thursday.

MR. HAMPTON: Thursday, all right. Well, that would explain what else I'm going to say to you.

After that hearing, you denied the motion to stay proceedings. I filed such a motion in the Court of Criminal Appeals pretty much within the hour. And I don't quite know what happened, but as 4:45 on Friday approached and I didn't hear anything, I called the court and essentially there was no ruling. I just asked should I book a room to be in Fort Worth on Monday morning and the answer was yes. So here I am. And as we stand here, there's still no ruling on the motion to stay.

THE COURT: I believe that's correct. I believe the clerk has actually checked this morning, and counsel may be aware, also may have checked, and there's no ruling from the Court of Criminal Appeals.

MR. HAMPTON: I don't expect it until this afternoon because they conference in the morning on Mondays and that's where they're at. They're in the room debating other things.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HAMPTON: The other is the matter that is pending at the Court of Criminal Appeals, it's occurred to us, is -- actually can be solved by this court. The essence of the argument for the Court of Criminal Appeals

is that the present attorney pro tem, Mr. Bragg, who is an assistant attorney general, is conflicted in only one very narrow way, which is the interaction with clemency.

Our -- well, my entry into this case was in clemency. The federal court appointed us to pursue clemency. So we did, we had hearings, we had meetings, and so forth. That is still ongoing.

There is a solution that you could provide that would moot out that writ of prohibition altogether.

And you don't have to do it now, but it's something worth considering, which is to appoint a different attorney pro tem to handle clemency. That solves the whole problem.

So if you do that, if you pick -- and if you read the writ of prohibition, it's short, but it's to the point, which is this is -- this is about the expression of the elected district attorney of Tarrant County who represents Tarrant County before the Board of Pardons and Paroles. So if you appointed what I would urge to be a local attorney who is qualified to be an attorney pro tem in terms -- in matters of our interfacing with the executive branch of government where the Board of Pardons and Paroles is, you remove my entire argument. So it's just worth considering. Thought I'd throw it out today, which is my first opportunity.

The other thing that is about to be filed -- okay, it has been filed. We have a motion to prevent the State from arguing lack of due diligence when it is our contention that it was the State that kept the evidence of the Cherrys' viewpoint from the Defense. So it makes various arguments.

Now, you don't have to rule on that. We will ask for a running objection to any questions that -- at the first opportunity one of us will object. But it will be at the end of the proceedings that you will want to make a decision on that because you will have heard the evidence. And we will ask for an order of an affirmative finding not on credibility but on whether or not you believe that Tim Moore, Bob Ford, and Bill Ray acted with due diligence, and Larry Moore acted with due diligence, and if so, you can make that finding.

Otherwise, we are ready -- oh, yeah. Well, because the writ is pending, we want to preserve our writ even -- unless you want to decide that right now, we're going to object on the basis that we've got a pending motion in the Court of Criminal Appeals and these proceedings should not occur until after that decision is made.

THE COURT: Well, the Court's going to overrule that last objection that you have. I know you

the Court appoint an additional district attorney pro tent that would just be that would be in addition to counse that's already been appointed? MR. HAMPTON: No, it would replace him in terms of being the decision maker about any matters before the Board of Pardons and Paroles and the governor. Any any executive branch of government. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issual already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus	1	wish to preserve any error or document your record. So
the Court appoint an additional district attorney pro terms that would just be that would be in addition to counse that's already been appointed? MR. HAMPTON: No, it would replace him in terms of being the decision maker about any matters before the Board of Pardons and Paroles and the governor. Any any executive branch of government. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issual already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	2	I'll overrule that objection.
that would just be that would be in addition to counse that's already been appointed? MR. HAMPTON: No, it would replace him in terms of being the decision maker about any matters before the Board of Pardons and Paroles and the governor. Any any executive branch of government. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this iss already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted ou But the Court's already decided this issue.	3	With respect to your first suggestion that
that's already been appointed? MR. HAMPTON: No, it would replace him in terms of being the decision maker about any matters before the Board of Pardons and Paroles and the governor. Any any executive branch of government. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issual already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	4	the Court appoint an additional district attorney pro tem,
MR. HAMPTON: No, it would replace him in terms of being the decision maker about any matters before the Board of Pardons and Paroles and the governor. Any any executive branch of government. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issue already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	5	that would just be that would be in addition to counsel
terms of being the decision maker about any matters before the Board of Pardons and Paroles and the governor. Any any executive branch of government. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issue already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	6	that's already been appointed?
the Board of Pardons and Paroles and the governor. Any any executive branch of government. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issual already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	7	MR. HAMPTON: No, it would replace him in
any executive branch of government. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick. say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issual ready before there was even a hearing present — back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted out But the Court's already decided this issue.	8	terms of being the decision maker about any matters before
THE COURT: Okay. MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issue already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted ou But the Court's already decided this issue.	9	the Board of Pardons and Paroles and the governor. Any
MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor. THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issual ready before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	10	any executive branch of government.
THE COURT: That would be a limited purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issue already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	11	THE COURT: Okay.
purpose? MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issue already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	12	MR. BRAGG: If I may, Your Honor.
MR. HAMPTON: Exactly. THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issual already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	13	THE COURT: That would be a limited
THE COURT: Does the State have any response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this iss already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted ou But the Court's already decided this issue.	14	purpose?
response? MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this iss already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	15	MR. HAMPTON: Exactly.
MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quick say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this isso already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	16	THE COURT: Does the State have any
say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this isstated already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	17	response?
already before there was even a hearing present back when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted out But the Court's already decided this issue.	18	MR. BRAGG: Oh, I was just going to quickly
when we were doing clemency the first time for the first execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted our But the Court's already decided this issue.	19	say, Your Honor, the Court has actually decided this issue
execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted out But the Court's already decided this issue.	20	already before there was even a hearing present back
the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted ou But the Court's already decided this issue.	21	when we were doing clemency the first time for the first
24 But the Court's already decided this issue.	22	execution date. In fact, they filed a writ of mandamus in
	23	the CCA based on that decision. That writ was mooted out.
THE COURT: I was personally unaware of	24	But the Court's already decided this issue.
	25	THE COURT: I was personally unaware of

that, but the suggestion has been made. I'm willing to consider it but without any promises.

MR. WARE: Your Honor, if I could, because I do have some history with that issue in the case.

The -- back in -- well, earlier this year before we filed -- I believe before we filed clemency, before we filed this writ, there was an ex parte hearing that we were not a part of in which the former judge in this case, who's now recused himself, heard the district attorney's ex parte motion that the office be recused, and as part of that, I guess, attendant to that ex parte hearing, which we were not a part of, appointed the Attorney General's Office, Mr. Bragg as the attorney pro tem prosecutor.

That had all happened before we got any word of it. We were not participants. We did not have an opportunity to object.

So then, since we were in the clemency stage of this, it came up, well, okay, they're appointed, and I think even Mr. Bragg had some confusion. He had sent me an email that he was only appointed pro tem for the judicial matters and the writ hearing. And so we were trying to figure out, well, who stood in the statutory shoes of the district attorney for purposes of the clemency proceedings because the district attorney's office is one of the court officers in the clemency

proceedings, namely clemency proceedings by statute, so we had a motion to clarify at which point we objected.

We said, you know, that the former judge,
Catalano, who's now recused himself, did not have
jurisdiction to recuse the district attorney's office from
an executive proceeding which was not even pending in his
court. I mean, he could recuse them from the matter
pending in his court, but the executive proceeding is not
even a judicial proceeding and he did not have the
authority.

So we asked for a motion for clarification, at which case at this point the Attorney General's Office decided they were a part of the order for executive purposes as well, and Judge Catalano agreed with them and said, okay, I'm not going to -- I'm appointing y'all for the executive and clemency proceedings as well. The district attorney's office is recused from the executive clemency proceeding as well. So that was over our objection.

We still disagree with that. I don't think he's got the authority or power to recuse the sheriff or the district attorney or the trial judge from the clemency proceedings. Of course, he's, at this point, I guess he's the trial judge, but he's recused himself. I guess that would make this court the trial judge at this point.

So we're re-urging that and asking this court to consider, as Mr. Hampton said, appointing an attorney pro tem for purposes of the clemency proceeding.

MR. HAMPTON: It's a more limited motion.

Mine was far more global, which is to completely remove
the Attorney General's Office for all purposes. But upon
reflection, it occurred to me that you've got the
authority as well. And it is actually far more -- it is
really very limited to our interactions with the executive
branch of government and the Board of Pardons and Paroles
that can then make a recommendation to the governor. So
it's really two pieces of that branch of government. So I
thought I'd suggest it at the outset.

THE COURT: Well, and I appreciate and understand the distinction that's being made. And thank you, Mr. Ware, for the history on that. That all occurred before I came in the case. Is there anything the State wants to add to that?

MR. BRAGG: Just, Your Honor, that I have never seen the division of labor amongst the district attorney's office like that in a capital case such as what's being suggested. I think it would be the first time ever that that had occurred. And, of course, we would, for the reasons that we stated before, we would just say that that's not what the statute contemplates.

The statute contemplates and actually provides that we step in the shoes of the district attorney's office and that in the capital case, that would be there's no -- there's no carve-out in the statute, there's no exceptions written into the statute, so the statute by its plain language seems to contemplate when we stepped into the shoes of the district attorney as district attorney pro tem, it is then for all matters that that office would handle in that particular case.

THE COURT: All right. I think I understand the position that both sides have at this point. I think the purpose of the hearing today is to develop whatever needs to be developed with respect to the issues that the Court of Criminal Appeals has directed that need to be resolved.

And -- and the last thing, Mr. Hampton, you brought up and you made reference to is actually a motion to preclude the State from contending that counsel failed to exercise due diligence in ascertaining the Cherrys' opposition to Paul David Storey's execution. That's the document and the motion that you --

MR. HAMPTON: Exactly, Judge. And I argued a variety of the equitable arguments to be made, and that's for your -- to be taken under your consideration.

THE COURT: I'm now aware of the motion

1	that was just filed at 8:53 this morning. The State
2	received a copy of this as well?
3	MR. BRAGG: We just received a copy of this
4	as they were proceeding to the court, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right. Very well.
6	Mr. Ware, you had mentioned a few minutes
7	ago off the record that there was a witness that you had
8	subpoenaed that you had agreed to release from the
9	subpoena; is that correct?
10	MR. WARE: Yes, sir. That's Mitch Mitchell
11	with the Star-Telegram, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. WARE: I don't know if he's present or
14	not.
15	THE COURT: I don't see him. But at any
16	rate, you're not going to call him as a witness?
17	MR. WARE: That's correct.
18	THE COURT: Very well.
19	All right. Is there anything else for the
20	record before we proceed further?
21	MR. WARE: Your Honor, the only thing is
22	there are several witnesses here in the courtroom, and I
23	think some of them can be excused until this afternoon.
24	The Court can put them under the Rule or whatever the
25	Court prefers. If they could all, you know, maybe be on

one-hour standby. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. And I know we've already excused three or four witnesses --3 MR. WARE: Yes, sir. 4 5 THE COURT: -- as well. Do you have a 6 batting lineup of witnesses? 7 MR. WARE: Well, Your Honor, Dr. Cherry and 8 Mr. Cherry are here in the courtroom, and we would not be 9 putting them on until this afternoon. So -- but I know 10 that the State subpoenaed them as well. So I didn't know 11 if the State was willing to let them go until then. 12 MR. BRAGG: Yeah, that's perfectly fine, 13 Your Honor. There were certain witnesses that were subpoenaed by both sides. We agree that they are -- it's 14 15 at the discretion of the Applicant as to when they want to 16 call them for purposes of their case. THE COURT: And that's fine. I'm agreeable 17 18 to whatever y'all are agreeable to. There are a lot of 19 people here in the courtroom, including several attorneys. 20 If you want to release some of them until later, that 21 would be fine. But you would know the order that you --22 MR. WARE: Yes. And Cory Session is here 23 as well, Your Honor, and he can be released until this 24 afternoon. And Bill Ray and Tim Moore can be released 25 until this afternoon. Mark Daniel we may call this

1	morning. We may not get to him this morning, but as long
2	as he can be here within an hour, then we're good with
3	that.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. BRAGG: If we could, Your Honor, before
6	we release anybody, we would ask that all witnesses be
7	placed under the Rule.
8	THE COURT: Well, the Court will go ahead
9	and impose the Witness Rule at this time.
10	So will all witnesses who are here at this
11	time who anticipate being called as witnesses, if y'all
12	will please stand at this time and be sworn.
13	If each of you would raise your right
14	hands.
15	(Ten witnesses sworn)
16	THE COURT: All right. And just for the
17	record, I'll just have everyone state their name for the
18	court reporter so we have a record who's been sworn.
19	Mr. Daniel.
20	THE WITNESS: Mark Daniel.
21	THE WITNESS: Tim Moore.
22	THE WITNESS: Larry Moore.
23	THE WITNESS: Bill Ray.
24	THE WITNESS: Jena Parker.
25	THE WITNESS: Christy Jack.

1	THE WITNESS: Robert Foran.
2	THE WITNESS: Cory Session.
3	THE WITNESS: Judith Cherry.
4	THE WITNESS: Glenn Cherry.
5	THE COURT: All right. I believe those are
6	the witnesses who have been sworn. And I guess the
7	Witness Rule has been invoked, so only the witnesses
8	testifying will remain in the courtroom during the
9	hearing.
10	MR. WARE: Yes, sir. We would ask for one
11	exception, and that is our paralegal Jena Parker. She's
12	going to be helping with papers and such during the
13	hearing, and her sole she may not testify as a witness.
14	Her sole role as a witness, she was present when I
15	interviewed Mr. Foran several months ago. And if there's
16	in case there is a discrepancy, she may be called as a
17	witness as to what was said in that interview.
18	THE COURT: Is there any issue with
19	MR. BRAGG: As long as that's as long as
20	that is a proffer as to what she will testify to and the
21	only thing that she will testify to, we don't have any
22	objection, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Very well. I'll permit that
24	then.
25	MR. WARE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Obviously, I think everybody 1 2 here knows the meaning of the Witness Rule. Don't discuss the case and wait to be called. So I'll recess y'all out 3 in the hallway. Those that have been excused until later, 4 5 just stay in contact. MR. BILL RAY: Judge, could I get a 6 7 two-hour notice if it's possible? I've got a detention 8 hearing on a juvenile charge of murder in Wise County and 9 I've moved it until this afternoon. I'm going to see if I can move it to some other time. If I knew kind of what 10 11 time I was going to testify. THE COURT: Mr. Ware, are you aware of the 12 13 schedule? 14 MR. WARE: It would -- once again, I'm sorry, I wasn't listening. It would be mid-afternoon. 15 16 What are you saying? You've got --17 MR. BILL RAY: I've got a juvenile who's 18 got a detention hearing. He's charged with murder in Wise 19 County. They moved it to 3:00 o'clock because I was 20 subpensed to be here at 9:00. I'm going to see if they 21 can move it to another time. If they can't, they can't. 22 MR. WARE: Okay. Well --23 MR. BILL RAY: If I could just have a couple of hours' notice. 24 25 THE COURT: He wants a two-hour notice.

1	MR. WARE: Sure, that's fine. And I think
2	we're anticipating this may go over until tomorrow.
3	MR. BILL RAY: Do I need to be here at
4	1:00 o'clock?
5	MR. WARE: Just be within two hours.
6	MR. BILL RAY: Okay.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	MR. DANIEL: Judge, can I ask this. I know
9	we're under the Rule, but I'm going to take care of some
10	other things. Can I at least walk in and maybe tap
11	Mr. Hampton on the shoulder and say when do y'all need me
12	and then go right back out? Would that be okay?
13	THE COURT: Is there any problem with that?
14	MR. BRAGG: No objection.
15	THE COURT: It appears that would be fine,
16	Mr. Daniel.
17	MR. LARRY MOORE: Judge, may I go upstairs?
18	I can be down in two minutes.
19	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
20	MS. JACK: Would you like us just out in
21	the hallway?
22	THE COURT: That will be fine. I'm not
23	sure who the first witness will be. But if you haven't
24	been mentioned yet, I guess stay real close.
25	(Witnesses leave courtroom)

(Discussion off the record)

THE COURT: All right. Back on the record this morning. I believe most of the witnesses have excused themselves from the courtroom.

So, Mr. Ware, do you have a witness to call at this time?

MR. WARE: If I can just make a brief opening statement, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

APPLICANT'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. WARE: As the Court knows, the Court of Criminal Appeals stayed the scheduled execution, which was scheduled for April the 12th, and remanded to the trial court to decide initially whether the grounds that we've raised really could have been, the factual basis of the grounds that we've raised, could have been discovered through due diligence of the attorneys, all the previous attorneys up to this point.

And if so, if the attorneys up to this point were not duly diligent -- and that would be Larry Moore, Bill Ray, Bob Ford, and really John Stickels who had the case on direct appeal -- if through due diligence they could have found the factual basis of this claim, of the claims that we've made, then they're saying it's waived.

And we anticipate that, number one, all of those attorneys will say they did not know of the factual basis. Really, the factual basis of the claim is that Jonas Cherry, the victim in this capital murder case, his parents, Dr. Cherry -- Judith Cherry and Glenn Cherry were at all times now, at all times prior to this horrible murder, and at all times in between were opposed to the death penalty. In general and in this case, they were opposed to Paul Storey getting the death penalty.

These attorneys will testify, I anticipate, that that was -- and the State knew it. The State was aware that Judith Cherry and Glenn Cherry were opposed to the death penalty before this case went to trial, and that they never disclosed that to either Bill Ray or Larry Moore or John Stickels or Bob Ford, and that the fact that they did not know it and did not discover it was not a lack of due diligence on their part. That's what we anticipate the testimony is going to show, it was not a lack of due diligence on their part.

So, in essence, the State is charged with convincing this court if these individuals get on the stand and testify they weren't told, which I anticipate they will, either that they're lying and they were told or that they're incompetent. And if they had just used due diligence -- it's not even effective assistance of

counsel, it's a due diligence -- if they'd just used due diligence, they would have discovered that the victim's parents were against the death penalty.

And we anticipate, you know, proving evidence -- putting on evidence otherwise, both directly and through lawyers who know these lawyers, who knew Bob Ford, about how diligent they were.

And then we've got, really, four claims that the Court — we anticipate the Court will get to, substantive claims. And they're all oriented around, well, two things: One, that this information was never disclosed to the Defense; and, two, that the prosecutor in this case exploited the fact that this information had not been disclosed and, in fact, argued the opposite to the jury during final argument that Jonas Cherry's family, which of course would include Judith Cherry and Glenn Cherry, his parents, and everyone who loved him believed the death penalty in this case was appropriate. That was the argument she made. It's just not true.

And that -- those two facts together, the nondisclosure and the argument as we've laid out in our writ, violated the Eighth Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment. And based on -- based on the totality of the circumstances, we're asking that this court make a recommendation that the death sentence be vacated.

With that, we're ready to call our first 1 2 witness. All right. Will the district 3 THE COURT: attorney pro tem have any opening statement in response at 4 5 all? 6 MR. BRAGG: Just a brief one, Your Honor, 7 if I may. 8 THE COURT: Very well. You may proceed. RESPONDENT'S OPENING STATEMENT 9 MR. BRAGG: First of all, to clarify for 10 11 the Court, the due diligence that's going to be most at 12 issue with regard to the procedural bar -- because as the 13 Court's aware, the CCA has remanded this case not only for factual determinations on the merits of the four claims 14 15 but also on the procedural issue as well. 16 diligence that's considered or contemplated in that 17 statute is really the due diligence of initial state 18 habeas counsel, that being the late Bob Ford. 19 Regarding the two precepts that opposing 20 counsel laid out, we anticipate there will be testimony 21 that discusses what exactly the Cherrys' family's feelings 22 were at the time of the punishment phase of the hearing. 23 We also believe that there will be testimony regarding 24 what exactly the conversations were between the State and

between defense counsel, trial counsel that is.

And finally, I'd like to just clarify for the Court that the nature of the proceedings in this case, the State does not have the burden now. Now the burden is on the Applicant to convince you, one, that it was not a lack of due diligence on Mr. Ford's part to be able to raise this claim in his initial state habeas writ; and, two, of the underlying merits of the case should this court reach or go beyond the procedural bar to the merits. It's their burden to convince you otherwise. So with that said, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. MR. WARE: Your Honor, with one clarification. What the statute clearly says is discovered on or before the time the first State writ was filed. That date is, I think, May 26th, 2010. But it's not limited just to the State writ counsel. It's all counsel prior to that -- that cutoff date. That's the clear language of the statute. So that's why we're calling trial -- among other things, why we'd be calling trial counsel, etcetera. THE COURT: All right. Both sides, I'm sure, will want to fully develop any record that needs to be developed. With that, Mr. Ware, you may proceed in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WARE: Your Honor, we call Christy

calling your first witness.

1	Jack.
2	THE COURT: All right. Christy Jack.
3	(Witness enters courtroom)
4	THE COURT: Step up. You may be seated.
5	Of course, you've already been sworn as a witness.
6	I'm not sure if that microphone is on. It
7	doesn't appear to be. My switch up here isn't working.
8	THE WITNESS: I'll try to speak up.
9	THE COURT: All right. At this time I
10	don't have a way to turn this microphone on, so speak up
11	if you will.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Most of the attorneys I don't
14	think will have a problem speaking up.
15	Mr. Ware, you may proceed.
16	MR. WARE: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	ELIZABETH CHRISTINA JACK,
18	having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. WARE:
21	Q. Ms. Jack, state your name for the record.
22	A. My name is Elizabeth Christina Jack.
23	Q. And, Ms. Jack, you're an attorney in private
24	practice here in Fort Worth, Texas; is that correct?
25	A. I am.

- Q. I'm sorry?
- A. I am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

- Q. Okay. And you in the not too distant past were also, well, were actually an assistant district attorney in the Tarrant County District Attorney's office; is that correct?
- A. I was an assistant district attorney with the Tarrant County District Attorney's office from roughly November of 1991 through February of 2015.
 - Q. Okay. That's how many years?
- 11 A. Almost 24 years.
 - Q. Okay. So -- and you've been -- so you've been in private practice since that time?
 - A. I've been in private practice. I took off a couple of months after leaving the DA's office, and I believe I began my practice in April of 2015. And so I've been in private practice for roughly two-and-a-half years.
 - Q. And you received a subpoena to be here in court today; is that correct?
- 20 A. I did. I did.
 - Q. A subpoena deuces tecum to bring any documents you had in your possession related to the case; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have any documents in your possession

related to the case? 1 Related to the trial --2 Α. To the case we're here about today, the trial of 3 Ο. Paul Storey. 4 5 Α. No. 6 Ο. So any documents that you may have had or 7 generated or seen, were they all left at the district 8 attorney's office when you left? Well, one of the things that you asked me before 9 Α. 10 we began this morning was whether or not I had any 11 documents, and I explained to you that I had crafted 12 somewhat of a timeline as best as I could in anticipation of that -- of this hearing. 13 14 Ο. But that's something you created after you left 15 the district attorney's office? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. Okay. Here fairly recently, I guess? 18 Α. Yes. 19

- Q. Okay. So when you left the district attorney's office, or even while you were there, did you take -- did you ever take any documents related to the Paul Storey case out of the district attorney's office?
 - A. No.

20

21

22

23

Q. Did you ever destroy any of the documents related to the Paul Storey case?

Did

1 Α. No. 2 Ο. Okay. I mean, do you take trial notes, for example? 3 Α. 4 Yes. 5 Ο. Okay. What would have happened to those, for 6 example? 7 Α. Well, it depends upon whether or not they were included within the -- if I took trial notes, it would 8 9 have been when a witness was testifying as to thoughts as to what I wanted to ask them on cross. 10 I don't know that 11 those notes were kept. 12 Ο. What would you have done with them? Would you 13 have left them with the district attorney's office or 14 destroyed them or taken them home with you? I would not have taken them home with me. 15 Α. 16 may not have been included in the capital file with the district attorney's office. 17 18 Q. So where would they be? 19 Α. I would not know. They may or may not have been 20 included in the file. 21 And if they're not, what would you have done Ο. 22 with them?

Okay. Well, I mean, they're your notes.

I don't know that I would have done anything

23

24

25

Α.

with them.

Q.

you destroy them or --1 2 Α. I don't know what would have happened to them if they're not with the file. 3 Okay. You've looked through the file, have you 4 5 not? 6 Α. I have roughly gone through the file for a 7 couple of hours. 8 Ο. Okay. You didn't see your trial notes in there, did you? 9 10 I did not. Α. 11 Okay. Or even your voir dire notes, you take Ο. notes during jury selection, voir dire? 12 We do. 13 Α. 14 Ο. Those were not in there either, were they? 15 Α. They were not. 16 Q. And you don't know what happened to those? Generally voir dire notes, it depends. Some of 17 Α. the notes are returned to the court as a matter of 18 19 security for purposes of the veniremen. 20 Q. Do you think that's what happened in this case? 21 I do not know. Α. 22 Ο. Okay. But then that should have been part of 23 the official file, should they not?

I can't speak to that. I don't know what

24

25

Α.

happened to my notes.

1	Q. Is it possible you destroyed them?
2	A. No, I would not have destroyed them.
3	Q. You just don't know where they are
4	A. I don't know where they are. Sometimes
5	Q or what you did with them?
6	A. Sometimes they're taken on the back of
7	questionnaires. And if those questionnaires are shredded
8	at the conclusion of voir dire, for security purposes,
9	then my notes would have been shredded.
10	MR. WARE: May I approach, Your Honor?
11	THE COURT: You may.
12	Q. (BY MR. WARE) Do you have more than one copy of
13	the timeline?
14	A. No, I do not.
15	Q. May I just look at it?
16	A. Certainly.
17	Q. Let me ask you let's go ahead and go through
18	a timeline, some of which may be included in what you put
19	down, some of which may not be, some of which you may not
20	know.
21	The murder itself, I guess, took place in
22	October of 2006; is that correct?
23	A. That is correct.
24	Q. Okay. And there were actually two defendants
25	charged arrested and charged with this offense, is that

1 | correct, this capital murder?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And that was also in October of 2006?
- A. I know that the offenses occurred in October of 2006. It's my understanding that both were arrested and charged that same month.
- Q. Okay. And you know that, I mean, whether you knew then, you know now, that Bill Ray was appointed as Mr. Storey's first chair; is that correct?
- A. I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

- Q. Also in October of 2006?
- 12 A. I believe that's correct.
- Q. Okay. You were not involved in the case at that point?
 - A. I was not.
 - Q. Okay. But you'd had considerable capital trial experience, had you not?
- A. Well, I had tried at that point four capital cases I believe at that point.
- Q. Okay. Four death penalty cases?
 - A. Let me back up for just a minute. At the time that I tried this case, I had tried to their conclusion four death penalty capital cases. I would have to go back and look specifically at the timeline in 2006 to say whether or not I had tried Juan Segundo yet.

- Q. And so how did you become involved in this case? When and how did all that come about?
- A. I believe it was the end of 2007 or the beginning of 2008. And as near as I can recall, it's more likely the beginning of 2008 Robert Foran approached me and asked me if I would be willing to be co-counsel on this case.
- Q. Now, you actually knew the Cherrys before any of this ever happened; is that correct?
- A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

- Q. Y'all were neighbors for a while?
- A. I was actually neighbors with Mr. Cherry's -- I
 don't know if it was his mother or his sister. I believe
 it was his mother, and so that's actually who was my
 neighbor.
 - Q. Okay. So you were acquainted with them before the -- before this terrible tragedy even happened; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. How long had you known them?
- 21 A. Oh, I don't know that I would consider knowing 22 them. I do --
- Q. Acquainted with?
- A. Acquaintances. My husband and I lived in a house over on Stadium Drive, and that would have been when

we were first married. So that would have been in 19-- we married New Year's Eve of 1993. So that would have -- at the -- so are you asking me how long had I known the Cherrys at the time --

- Q. Yes, how long had you been acquainted?
- A. Let's see, '93 to '03 (sic), so some 15 years.
- O. Okay. At the time that the murder occurred?
- A. Oh, no, I'm sorry, 13 years at the time of the murder. I thought you were asking at the time I became involved in the case.
- Q. So that's the first time anybody had approached you about even being involved in the trial itself or the prosecution itself was late in 2007 or early 2008?
 - A. I really think it was the beginning of 2008.
- Q. How did all that come about? How did that come about that you were approached to become involved in the case?
- A. Well, as I understand it, Robert was going to try the case with Greg Miller. And I'm not sure what happened, but there was a point in time that Greg was no longer going to try the case. I don't know why. And Robert approached me.
- Q. What did he say?

A. He said -- let me think how -- the conversation.

I think it was along the lines that he was going to try

the case with Greg and that Greg for whatever reason was not going to be able to try it, and he asked me if I was interested in trying it.

- Q. And you said you would?
- A. And I said I would.

- Q. And so what was the -- what was the first thing you did once you got on the case?
- A. I have no idea what the first thing was I did.

 I probably read the case to begin with because it wasn't a case -- when he said Paul Storey or Mark Porter, those were not names that stood out to me.
- Q. And had -- you're familiar with a policy or an entity, a practice that the district attorney's office had -- who was the district attorney back then? It was still Tim Curry, was it not?
- A. In 2008 -- I believe Joe Shannon was appointed by the governor, I believe, around 2009, I believe. So yes, Tim Curry would still have been the district attorney.
- Q. And there was a practice in the office at the time, in and around that time, for deciding which cases would be tried for the death -- which capital cases would be tried for the death penalty and which would be waivers. And by waiver I mean what would be tried as what we call a mini cap, right?

A. Right.

- Q. A mini cap meaning that if they're convicted, it's automatic life without parole?
- A. Well, for part of the time when the law changed because before we had life without parole, there was a regular capital life sentence. And that longstanding policy was in effect during both those time periods.
- Q. Okay. But in any event, if the death penalty was waived, the outcome if there was a conviction would be life in prison, however that was defined at the particular time?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. I'm sorry, you may have said --
- A. That's right.
 - Q. So there was a practice in the office at the time you were there, maybe still, I don't know, but at least at all relevant times where there was, what, a death penalty panel or committee would meet and, however often, and talk about the various capital cases and decide which ones -- or at least make a preliminary determination which ones should go forward as a death penalty case and which ones should be tried as a mini cap, as I say, as a life -- as a waiving the death penalty; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay. And do you know who was on that

committee?

- A. It depended on -- it was different at different times. I can tell you generally the positions that comprised the death committee.
 - Q. Please.
- A. Okay. From the years that I was involved with capital litigation, and there were times that I served on the death committee, there were times that I did not serve on the death committee, there were times that I had voted on the death committee.

The death committee in general was comprised of the first assistant of the office, and for most of that time that would have been Alan Levy; all of the deputy chiefs, and there were generally four to five deputy chiefs, and the individuals that were the deputy chiefs differed depending on what time it was; the head of the appellate section. And then I believe what would be --

- O. Who would that have been?
- A. The head of the appellate section at the time?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Well, it would have been Chris Marshall at one point.
- Q. But during this time.
 - A. During this time that --

Well, whenever Paul Storey and Mark Porter's 1 Q. case went in front of the death committee. Do you know 2 who was head of appellate at that time? 3 I can tell you who was head of the appellate 4 5 section at the time, and that would have been Chuck 6 Mallin. 7 Ο. Okay. 8 Α. And I believe that the parallel to the first 9 assistant probably would have been Chip Wilkinson, so he 10 might have been the assistant chief. But I don't really 11 know back then what his title was. 12 Ο. Okay. Were you on Paul Storey and Mark Porter's 13 death -- death penalty panel? I do not believe I was. 14 Α. 15 But you do not recall? Ο. 16 Α. I don't think I was because when Robert approached me the names did not stand out to me. 17 18 Q. So had the death penalty committee already met 19 on Paul Storey and Mark Porter when he approached you 20 whenever that was? 21 It was my understanding that they had. Α. 22 Ο. That's not on your timeline. You don't know? 23 Well, I wasn't -- I was not a part of the Α. presentation of the case. I was not -- I don't believe 24

that I was a member of the committee at the time. And so

when the death committee meets, there's not really a formal notification of the court when they convene or when they vote.

And so my timeline is comprised really of events from the transaction section of the mainframe; in other words, events that I could cobble together from the clerk's office and then events that I knew of personally. And so because -- because the death committee's convening would not have been reflected in the clerk's office, there's really not a way for me to go and assign a date to it. On top of the fact that I'm no longer a member of the district attorney's office, I do not have access to those records.

- Q. Okay. Do those records exist as far as you know?
- A. Well, I've been out of the office for two-and-a-half years. Well, actually longer than that now.
- Q. You looked through the district attorney's file, did you not?
- A. I looked through the district attorney's file, but I don't know that records of the death committee's meeting are typically included in the district attorney's file.
 - Q. Why would they have segregated out?

I have no idea. 1 Α. 2 I mean, if one would file an open-records Ο. request asking for the entire file, that would include --3 it seems -- stands to reason that would include any notes 4 5 concerning the death panel committee. They're part of the 6 district attorney's records, are they not? 7 MR. BRAGG: At this point, objection, Your 8 Honor. She's already testified she doesn't know what the 9 procedures were regarding the keeping of these notes from the death committee, if were there any notes. 10 11 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection at this time. 12 (BY MR. WARE) Well, let me see if I can get you 13 Ο. to answer my question. You didn't see any death committee 14 15 notes in the district attorney's file that you went 16 through? I did not. 17 Α. 18 Q. Okay. And you don't know whether you were on 19 the committee or not in Paul Storey's case?

- A. I do not believe I was. And I do not believe I was present when the case was presented to that committee.
- Q. But you believe it was presented prior to the time that Robert Foran approached you?
- A. Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Had a decision already been made to seek death?

1 Α. Yes. 2 O. Well, that would imply that the committee had already met? 3 Α. 4 Right. 5 So what was your understanding of what the Ο. 6 committee actually decided in talking to, you know -- you 7 don't remember if you were there or not, you think you 8 weren't, but certainly you talked to Robert about things. 9 Did he ever tell you what had happened at the committee 10 and why they decided to proceed with death --11 Α. He did not. 12 Ο. -- in Paul Storey's case? I'm sorry? 13 Α. He did not. 14 Ο. He never did. Was Mark Porter's case presented at the 15 16 same time as far as you know to the death committee? 17 Α. Well, it would stand to reason that they would 18 both be presented at the same time. But again, I cannot 19 speak to whether or not they in fact were. 20 Q. You don't know for sure that you weren't on the 21 committee, you just don't have any memory of it, so assume

So if you were on the committee, there's

absolutely nothing that stands out in your mind about this

that you weren't on the committee; is that correct?

That's correct.

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

case or about what was discussed in front of the 1 2 committee? Well, no, I would not say that. There are a 3 Α. number of things that stand out in my mind. 4 5 Ο. I mean, that was discussed at the committee. That's correct. 6 Α. 7 Okay. Did -- did Robert tell you at that time Ο. 8 that -- that the committee had authorized him or y'all to 9 offer Paul Storey a life sentence? 10 Α. Did Robert -- would you repeat your question, 11 please? When did you find out, if you did, that the 12 Ο. committee had authorized Robert, or whoever is prosecuting 13 14 the case, to offer Paul Storey a life sentence? I don't know that the committee authorized 15 Α. 16 Robert to offer a life sentence. 17 Ο. Okay. But they may have, you just don't know? They may have. I don't know. I don't think 18 Α. 19 they did. 20 Q. Okay. When did you find out that -- or, I mean, he was offered a life sentence at some point, was he not? 21 22 Α. He was. 23 What -- did you have to go through a process Ο.

before you were authorized to offer a life sentence once

it had been decided evidently by the committee to go for

24

death?

- A. Generally speaking, when the district attorney's office makes the decision to seek the death penalty, as a chief we would have, generally speaking, have had to approach a deputy chief or the district attorney or the first assistant to discuss our thoughts about the possible disposition of the case.
- Q. Okay. And so do you remember when you offered life?
- A. I know that a life sentence was offered, as I recall, in the spring of 2008.
- Q. Do you remember the process you went through or Robert went through or who you talked to before that was authorized?
- A. I believe that either Robert or Robert and I talked to Bob Gill. I believe that.
- But it might have been that Robert talked to Alan.
 - Q. Well, would you have been part of that conversation whoever it was y'all talked to?
 - A. I may or may not have been a part of that conversation. Robert and I were chiefs in different courts. And as a result, we had supervisory responsibilities and trial responsibilities in each of our respective courts.

- Q. All right. So you're not exactly sure -somebody had to talk to somebody, correct, before you or
 Robert offered a life sentence?
- A. That would have been -- that's how I would have handled a capital case, if the death committee had authorized or had voted to seek death, yes.
- Q. Well, I guess what I'm asking is, how did you handle it in this case? Did Robert get someone's permission to do it? Did you get someone's permission to do it? Did you both get someone's permission to do it? And who was that person and when was it?
- A. It's my belief that Robert got authorization.

 I'm not entirely sure as to whether that came from Bob

 Gill or from Alan or who it came from. But that's my

 belief.
- Q. Okay. Did you know at that time that you sought -- or you or Robert sought authorization to offer a life sentence -- and, once again, you said the offer you believe was actually made in the spring of 2008, correct, to the best of your recollection?
- A. I believe it was made in April.
 - Q. Of 2008, that you actually made the offer?
 - A. I think Robert actually conveyed the offer.
 - Q. Conveyed it to either Larry Moore or Bill Ray?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q. And was a life offer made to Mark Porter?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. At about the same time, was this all kind of
4	one one deal?
5	A. I want to say yes, that life sentences were
6	offered to both of them at the same time.
7	Q. Okay. And Mark Porter's attorneys were Mark
8	Daniel and Tim Moore; is that correct?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. So we've got Larry Moore representing Paul
11	Storey, Tim Moore representing his co-defendant Mark
12	Porter?
13	A. Larry Moore and Bill Ray represented Paul
14	Storey. Tim Moore and Mark Daniels (sic) represented Mark
15	Porter.
16	Q. It's your recollection that they were both
17	offered a life sentence at or about the same time?
18	A. That's my recollection, but I would defer to
19	Robert's recollection of that.
20	Q. Okay. How much before that time that you
21	actually made the offer was you or Robert, or both of you,
22	were y'all authorized to offer the life sentence?
23	A. I don't know.
24	Q. Could it have been months?
25	A. It may have been, but I don't think it was that

1	long.
2	Q. Could it have been a year before that, maybe?
3	A. I don't know.
4	MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor, she's
5	already said she doesn't know.
6	MR. WARE: I'm just exploring a little bit.
7	THE COURT: I'll permit exploration of an
8	answer.
9	Q. (BY MR. WARE) Could it have been a year before
10	that?
11	A. I don't think so. I don't even think it was a
12	matter of months. I think it was a short time before the
13	life sentences were conveyed.
14	Q. Okay. Now, I mean, could it have been as early
15	as when the death panel met?
16	A. Could the life sentences have been offered?
17	Q. The authorization for a life sentence.
18	A. I don't believe so.
19	Q. But could have been?
20	A. I don't believe so.
21	Q. Okay. At some point you became aware that
22	Judith and Glenn Cherry were opposed to the death penalty;
23	is that correct?
24	A. That they had a general opposition to the death

penalty, yes.

Q. Okay. When did you become aware of that?

A. I believe that either Robert or Suman told us.

Q. Well, that's how you found out. But when did you find out?

By the way, Suman is -- was Jonas Cherry's wife that became his widow; is that correct?

- A. Yes, Suman was Jonas Cherry's widow.
- Q. Yes. Okay. Just for the record.

Okay. So when was that that Robert told you that? Or that Suman told you that?

- A. I believe it was also in the spring, but I'm not positive about that timeframe.
 - Q. Spring of 2008?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Do you remember the Cherrys themselves ever telling you that?
- A. I have a recollection of a meeting with Suman and the Cherrys, and I remember Robert explaining the process and the decision that the office had made and that a life sentence would be conveyed. And -- and I don't recall being in that meeting the entire time. In other words, I don't remember if I was there for the entire time; in other words, did the Cherrys talk to Robert before I walked into the room. So I don't know that they actually verbalized to me at that meeting that they were

1	opposed to the death penalty.		
2	Q.	Do you know when that meeting was on your	
3	timeline?		
4	Α.	I do not.	
5	Q.	It's not on your timeline?	
6	Α.	It's not.	
7	Q.	Would it have been would that have been	
8	before you offered the life sentence?		
9	Α.	It's my recollection, yes.	
10	Q.	That meeting was before you offered the life	
11	sentence?		
12	Α.	(Moving head up and down).	
13	Q.	I'm sorry?	
14	Α.	Yes, it's my recollection it would have been.	
15	Q.	Do you know how much before you offered the life	
16	sentence?		
17	Α.	No.	
18	Q.	Or spring, fall, year?	
19	Α.	(Moving head side to side).	
20	Q.	Okay. But in any event, it would have been	
21	prior to w	whenever it was in the spring of 2008 that you	
22	offered the life sentences?		
23	Α.	Yes.	

the Cherrys were opposed to the life sentence, and that's

Okay. And so Robert and/or Suman told you that

24

25

Q.

how you knew, correct? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. Although you were at a meeting with the Cherrys and Suman and Robert and they may have told you at that 4 5 meeting? 6 Α. They may have, yes. 7 But you don't know when that was? Ο. 8 Α. I do not. 9 It was just earlier in the timeline? Q. 10 Α. Yes. 11 And did that enter into part of the reason or Ο. 12 part of the -- of your thinking in offering a life 13 sentence to Paul Storey and Mark Porter? 14 Α. It entered into part of my thinking, yes. What about Robert's? 15 Ο. 16 Α. I can't speak for Robert. Well, y'all discussed it, didn't you? 17 Ο. Yes. Of course, we would have discussed it. 18 Α. 19 Okay. Well, what did y'all discuss? Ο. What did we discuss about why we would offer a 20 Α. 21 life sentence? 22 Q. Right. And the Cherrys being opposed to a death 23 sentence. 24 Α. I think that was a part of the discussion.

think part of the discussion was the fact that Paul Storey

also did not have any adjudicated criminal history.

Q. Okay.

- A. And as heinous as this capital murder was, it was one of the most premeditated capital murder cases I've ever been involved in, because of his lack of adjudicated criminal history, because of his age, I mean --
 - O. Because of his what?
 - A. His age, he was relatively young.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I think all of those things went into our consideration in offering a life sentence.
- Q. Okay. But within that calculus -- I mean, there were other things as well. Within that calculus was the fact that Glenn Cherry and Judith Cherry were against the death penalty; is that correct?
- A. It was for me, and I think that's part of the reason why for me we left the life sentence on the table as long as we did.
- Q. Now, did you discuss the fact that the Cherrys were against the death penalty with other people in the office? You discussed it with Robert, obviously. You discussed it, I guess, with Suman. Were there other people in the office you discussed that with?
- A. I don't have any specific recollection outside of Bob Gill.

- Q. Okay. You discussed it with Bob Gill?
- 2 A. Yes.

6

- Q. At what point did you discuss it with him on the timeline, do you know?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. Do you have any idea?
- 7 A. Well, I think it would have been all around that 8 same timeframe.
 - Q. Spring of 2008?
- 10 A. Spring --
- 11 Q. Or prior?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you make any -- you didn't make a memorandum to yourself or any note or anything regarding that,
- regarding becoming aware that the Cherrys were against the death penalty?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. And how that would enter into your decision to offer life or anything like that?
- 20 A. I did not.
- Q. Okay. So the fact that I didn't see anything
- 22 like that in the DA's file is because it's not there; is
- 23 that correct?
- 24 A. It's not --
- 25 Q. The fact that I didn't see any memorandum --

1 THE COURT: One at a time. 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I --The fact that I didn't see any 3 Ο. (BY MR. WARE) memorandum from you to yourself in the file or anything 4 5 about the Cherrys' feelings about the death penalty, the 6 fact that I didn't see that in the file is because it's 7 not there, as far as you know? 8 Α. Well, it's because I don't recall ever making 9 one. Is Bob Gill you think the only person in 10 Ο. Okay. 11 the office you discussed this with? I think Ashlea Deener. 12 Α. No. 13 Ο. Who's Ashlea Deener? 14 Α. Ashlea Deener was, I believe, a second-year law student at the time. 15 16 Q. An extern? 17 Α. An intern. 18 Q. Or intern. 19 She might have been an extern. She might have Α. 20 been an extern, because she might have been interning for 21 credit for law school. 22 Ο. Okay. So you discussed this -- the fact that 23 the Cherrys were against the death penalty with her? 24 Α. Well, I think that there was a conversation or 25 two about it.

- Q. Well, it seems extraordinary, doesn't it? I mean, it's, you know, a little unusual for the victim's parents to be against the death penalty in a capital murder case, as you say, a premeditated capital murder case such as this. That's pretty extraordinary, is it not?
- A. I think in my experience it is the only time that that has happened.
- Q. Okay. And so that's -- would naturally give rise to conversation between you and Robert, you and your extern, and perhaps others as well?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Did you talk to anybody else about it?
- A. I may have.
- 15 Q. But you don't know?
- A. Specific conversations with specific people do not come to mind.
- Q. Okay. Did -- did you decide at some point -well, let me ask you, what did you tell Ashlea Deener
 about? What was the conversation between you and Ashlea
 Diener?
 - A. I think part of the conversation -- she was there for, I think, one meeting, and it's hard to remember how often she was there, how many witness meetings she was present for, because she was in law school at the time so

she wasn't there every single day.

I remember one of the conversations that I had with her involved, you know, how would you handle something like that and me explaining that perhaps one of the options would be not to sponsor them as witnesses.

- Q. And, in fact, as it turned out, y'all didn't sponsor them as witnesses; is that correct?
- A. We did not. They were on our witness list, however.
- Q. So did you ever disclose this information to any of the Defense or to anybody?
- A. Okay. Let me start first with Mark Porter. I did -- I'm sorry. You look like that's not the way you wanted me to start.
- Q. Well, you know, I mean, if that's -- if that's the way you're prepared to start, go ahead and start that way.
 - A. Well, then I'll just simply answer your question. And that is, yes, we did disclose it.
 - Q. I'm sorry?
- A. I said, then I'll simply answer your question, yes, it was disclosed.
- Q. Okay. So let's start with -- start with Paul Storey and his attorneys. Who disclosed to Larry Moore, let's say, that Paul -- excuse me, that Jonas Cherry's

parents were opposed to the death penalty? Was that you,
was it Robert, was it both of you, or was it somebody
else?

- A. Robert disclosed it to Bill Ray on a number of occasions.
- Q. So nobody disclosed it to Larry Moore, in answer to my question?
 - A. No, that's not what I was going to say.
- Q. Okay. Well, my question is, who disclosed it to Larry Moore?
- A. It was discussed during jury selection. It was made reference to during jury selection. There is not an official disclosure during jury selection nor apparently was there one on the record.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you. It's a pretty simple question. Who disclosed that information to Larry Moore?
- A. What I can tell you is that Robert disclosed it to Bill Ray. There was several conversations.
 - Q. Okay. Were you present -- were you present during those disclosures?
- A. No, I was not. But Robert would tell me about the conversations that he had with Bill Ray.
 - Q. Okay. Well --
 - A. And --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- Q. Let me break this down a little bit. So you never disclosed it to Larry Moore, correct?

 A. When you say a disclosure, I consider it a
- A. When you say a disclosure, I consider it a formal disclosure. I never made a formal disclosure, though it was discussed in jury selection and it was made reference to.
 - Q. Was it disclosed -- was Larry Moore there?
- A. As I recall, all four of us were there in jury selection.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. So these discussions where you're talking about the Cherrys being against the death penalty, they should be part of the trial record?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Okay. So they're not part of the trial record?
- 17 A. They are not part of the trial record.
- Q. Okay. So let's go back and keep it simple. Who told Larry Moore, if you know, that the Cherrys were opposed to the death penalty? Who told him?
- A. As I recall, in jury selection there were discussions and there were conversations, there were times --
- MR. WARE: I'm going to object as unresponsive, Your Honor.

Q. (BY MR. WARE) If you don't know if anybody ever told him, then that's fine to say.

I'm asking do you know -- do you know who told him, if anybody?

A. There were discussions during jury selection in between the veniremen coming in about the Cherrys' general opposition to the death penalty. There were references made to that topic as well. We discussed people's feelings about the death penalty. Larry and I both discussed our own feelings about the death penalty.

Am I positive that all four of us were in the room when those discussions were had? No, I'm not positive. Am I positive that Bill Ray was in the room? No, I'm not positive. There were a number of discussions that took place in between veniremen that are not on the record.

- Q. I understand. But the ones I'm interested in, the ones you've testified here under oath took place were the ones that obviously are not on the record and obviously there's no written account of. The ones where you and Larry Moore and whoever else were discussing the fact that the Cherrys were opposed to the death penalty, when was that, who was there, do you know if those even took place?
 - A. I know that they took place.

Q. Were you there?

- A. Was -- are you talking about the discussions during jury selection?
- Q. You brought up jury selection. So yeah, discussions during jury selection about the Cherrys being opposed to the death penalty, were you there when those discussions took place?
 - A. I was there.
 - Q. Who else was there?
- A. I don't recall if it was all four of us, meaning Robert, me, Larry, and Bill, or whether it was Larry and me or whether it was Bill and me, or whether it was Robert and me and Bill. I don't recall. I remember those conversations taking place. I remember us talking about our feelings about the death penalty. This is the second death penalty case that I had tried with Larry.
 - Q. And what exactly did those discussions entail?
- A. We talked about my feelings about the death penalty. In fact, that I am a supporter of the death penalty, that Larry is an opponent of the death penalty, an ardent opponent of the death penalty. We talked about the Cherrys being opposed to the death penalty.
- Q. So is that the first time it came up or had it been disclosed to them prior to these discussions?
 - A. Had Robert had these conversations with Bill

prior to this? Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you know when that took place?
- A. When Robert first began having his discussions with Bill, no, I do not.
 - Q. You and Robert hadn't talked about that?
 - A. About when he first told them?
 - Q. Right.
 - A. No, I don't recall asking him that, no.
 - Q. So would there have been anybody else present during these discussions during, you know, I guess during the break -- I guess this would have been during the breaks of voir dire. It wasn't discussions in front of the juror, obviously.
 - A. Right.
- Q. And would they have taken place in the courtroom or where would they have taken place?
 - A. They could have taken place any -- any area around that courtroom. There are times -- I'm sorry.
- Q. And who else would have heard these discussions other than the four of y'all?
 - A. Well, there could have been a court reporter.

 As I recall, we had two different court reporters at times. A court reporter might have been there, although I don't think there was.
 - I mean, these were conversations that took

place when maybe we got there early in the morning, we were waiting for everyone else to assemble, when we were waiting for veniremen to come, you know, when jury selection stops (sic) down and you're left with an hour or two window. It could have been outside when we're talking. It could have been as we returned after lunch.

- Q. But there was never a time when you approached Larry Moore or Bill Ray and said, there's something I need to tell you, the --
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. I'm sorry. You have to answer out loud.
- A. Oh, I'm sorry. No.
- Q. And to finish my question, you correctly anticipated it, but finish my question for the record, when you said, I need to disclose something to you, the -- Judith Cherry and Glenn Cherry, who incidentally you had known for many years, are against the death penalty.
- A. If you're asking me if there was ever a formal declaration?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Or a formal pronouncement?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. That I was a part of, no.
- Q. Well, okay. Counsel says I'm making too much of the word "disclose." Did you ever tell them, just, you

know, it doesn't have to be a formal disclosure, was there
ever a time when you just told them, when you broke the
news to them?

A. Well, it wasn't a matter of breaking the news to

- A. Well, it wasn't a matter of breaking the news to them.
- Q. Well, they didn't know it at first. They didn't go into it knowing it, did they?
 - A. Robert had already had discussions with Bill.
 - Q. Okay. That's your understanding?
- A. That is my understanding.
- 11 Q. You weren't there for them?
- 12 A. I was not.
- Q. Did -- and you don't know when that took place?
- 14 A. I do not.
- 15 Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

- 16 A. I know that my -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean to be nonresponsive.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry?
- 19 A. I didn't mean to be nonresponsive. I'm sorry.
- Q. Okay. Well -- and it was -- you believed it was important that the Defense know that, right?
- 22 A. I believed that they should know that, yes.
- Q. Okay. Why did you think they should know it?
- A. Well, our office had been one, and this is how I grew up, that we give the Defense everything.

- Q. And this was -- this was not just part of everything, this was pretty extraordinary, was it not?

 That the parents of the victim in the case that y'all were seeking the death penalty were actually against the death penalty, that's pretty extraordinary, isn't it?

 A. Well, it's the first time that it had happened
- A. Well, it's the first time that it had happened in any case that I was involved in.
- Q. Okay. And the last time that it happened in any case you were involved in, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. One last question along those lines. Did you convey in any way, whether disclosure, written, or otherwise, to the defense lawyers that the Cherrys were against the death penalty before jury selection?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. Okay. Did Robert?
- A. I do not believe he did.
- Q. Did you and Robert discuss that it was important to tell defense counsel about the Cherrys' opposition to the death penalty?
- A. We discussed it and we believed that the Defense should be told that information, yes.
- Q. Did y'all discuss it with anyone else about the disclosure?
 - A. I believe we discussed it with Bob Gill.

Q. Okay. The --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. The reason I say I believe is because I believe I was present for that discussion. I believe I was present for that discussion.
- Q. Okay. And so you had enough question about it that you asked someone what you should do about it?
- A. I don't think it was considered Brady, and I don't think it's considered Brady, but we --
- Q. Well, my question is, you had enough question about disclosure that you consulted someone else as to whether you should disclose it?
- A. I don't know that it was a question of whether or not we should disclose it. I think it was just a matter of telling Bob these are our thoughts and we're going to disclose it.
- Q. Well, if you were going to disclose it, why did you need to get his input before you disclosed something?
 - A. Because he was the deputy chief.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And because lots of times we'll talk to, in the course of trying cases, legal issues.
 - Q. And he said disclose it, did he not?
- A. Well, it wasn't like we were asking him should
 we or should we not, it was just kind of talking through
 it.

- Q. And he recommended that you disclose it?
 - A. We were going to disclose it.
 - Q. I'm sorry?

2

3

4

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. We were going to disclose it.
- Q. And he recommended that you disclose it. He was on board with that? He agreed to that?
 - A. I think he agreed with us, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And do you remember when that conversation was? I think I asked earlier.
- 10 A. I think you did.
- 11 Q. You don't know?
- 12 A. I don't.
- Q. Do you have an approximation?
 - A. Probably the spring, but that's -- that really is an approximation.
 - Q. What about -- what about Mark Porter's attorneys? Mark Daniel and Tim Moore, did you likewise -- or did you, Robert, or anyone else tell them? And they were representing, obviously, the co-defendant Mark Porter. Did you tell -- I mean, the Cherrys were likewise against the death penalty for Mark Porter as well?
 - A. They had general opposition in both cases, yes.
 - Q. So when did you dis-- when did y'all disclose that to them?
 - A. Well -- but your question, I think, was, did I

disclose that to Mark Daniels (sic) or Tim Moore.

- Q. Well, that wasn't my question, but we'll go there.
 - A. I'm sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. We'll go with that question. When did you disclose it to Mark Daniel?
- A. I did not disclose it to either attorney for Mark Porter. Robert told me that he disclosed it.
 - Q. When did Robert tell you that?
- A. I don't recall the actual date.
- Q. Well, was it before jury selection in the Paul Storey case?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So according to Robert, those two attorneys knew it before jury selection in the Paul Storey case?
 - A. That's my understanding.
- 17 Q. That the Cherrys were opposed?
- 18 A. That's my understanding.

The other thing I -- the reason why Robert was present for some of these meetings -- well, obviously, because he was the lead attorney and he was on the case from the beginning, which was 2006. Both cases were assigned to Criminal District Court Number Three, and that is where Robert was the chief. So anytime there was an appearance, Robert was there. I was the chief of the

- 213th District Court, so I was not present for court
 appearances nor did I interact with the attorneys when the
 case was set.

 Q. But you never disclosed it? You were just
 - told --
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. -- by Robert that he did?
- 8 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Why do you think Robert told you that he disclosed it to Mark Daniel and Tim Moore?
- 11 A. So that I would know.
- Q. Okay. I mean, it was important, wasn't it?

 It's something you would want to know?
- 14 A. Yes.

6

7

9

- 15 Q. Okay. Because it was important?
- A. I think he just wanted me to know that he had disclosed it to both sets of attorneys.
- Q. Well, it was important that he disclosed it,
- 19 wasn't it?
- 20 A. I think they needed to know.
- 21 Q. So it wasn't important or it was important?
- 22 A. I think it's important.
- Q. Okay. And did he tell you what Mark Daniel or Tim Moore's reaction was when they heard this?
- 25 A. I think he said their reaction was that they

1 | wanted him to waive the death penalty.

- Q. Okay. So they discussed with him to waive the death penalty -- this is before the Paul Storey case, right, before the Paul Storey jury selection?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

- Q. That they discussed that when he disclosed this to them before Paul Storey's jury selection, whenever it was, that their reaction was, well, why don't you waive the death penalty?
- A. Yes, that's my understanding, yes.
- Q. Did -- I mean, that seems like a reasonable response, doesn't it?
- 15 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And did he tell you whether that was the Mark
 Daniel, Tim Moore, or both of them, that discussion?
 - A. I don't recall him telling me which one it was.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall where you were when he told you?
- 21 A. Like where I physically was?
- Q. Sure. I mean, did he send an email? Were you standing in the hallway?
- A. Oh, he told me, he told me verbally.
 - Q. Do you know where you were when he told you

verbally?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. Well, I would say my office or his office, somewhere in the district attorney's office.
 - Q. Okay. A safe assumption.
 - A. (Moving head up and down).
 - Q. But you don't specifically remember?
- A. I don't.
 - Q. I mean, some things you specifically remember, you know, I remember it, I was, you know, so and so was there, I was standing there?
 - A. And that's true, there are certain conversations --
 - Q. But that one you don't?
- 14 A. I do not.
 - Q. And so what was Robert's -- what did Robert say his response was when -- when Mark Daniel or Tim Moore, whichever one it was, said, well, why don't you waive the death penalty? Did Robert tell you what his response was?
 - A. I think Robert suggested if that is the direction they wanted to go to approach Bob Gill and ask him about waiving the death penalty.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know if they did that?
- A. I do not.
- Q. Well, it would make sense if Robert told them
 that, that that's what they would do, they would approach

somebody about that, correct? 1 That would make sense. 2 Α. Does Bob Gill remember them approaching? 3 Ο. I don't know. 4 Α. 5 MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor, 6 speculation. 7 I'll sustain at this time. THE COURT: 8 O. (BY MR. WARE) Have you talked to Bob Gill about this case? 9 10 Α. I have not. 11 I mean, have you communicated with him in any Ο. 12 way about this case? 13 Α. I don't think so. 14 Well, I mean, have you or have you not? Ο. I don't believe I have communicated with him. 15 Α. 16 see him frequently when we both have cases set in the same 17 court. And the only reason I say I don't believe we have is because he might have said something to me in passing. 18 19 But outside of that, no, there's not been any conversation 20 about this case. 21 So that's definitely something that it seems Tim Ο. 22 Moore and Mark Daniel and maybe Bob Gill ought to remember 23 is them approaching Bob Gill about waiving the death 24 penalty?

MR. BRAGG: Objection, speculation, Your

She can't know what they are or aren't going to 1 Honor. 2 remember. THE COURT: Well, I'm going to go ahead and 3 allow that question at this time, if she knows. 4 Sure. I think your question 5 THE WITNESS: 6 originally was what was Robert's response and Robert 7 suggested they go talk to Bob. Whether or not they ever did, I don't know. 8 9 Q. (BY MR. WARE) Do you know if they did anything? 10 Α. I do not. 11 I mean, did you ever -- you understood the Ο. 12 Cherrys were against the death penalty. Did you ever sit 13 down, you and the Cherrys and whoever else and just have a 14 heart-to-heart talk about that and how that figured into this case? 15 I know that I had a lot of discussions with them 16 Α. 17 once the trial began. 18 Q. But about that particular issue? 19 About their general opposition to the death Α. 20 penalty? 21 Yeah, their general opposition or their specific Ο.

A. I feel like I had a number of conversations with them during the trial. It has always been very important to me as a prosecutor for victims and their families to

22

23

24

25

opposition in this case.

understand what is happening, the legal process, the trial. What -- in other words, what has happened to bring us to a particular point, when a decision is made to seek the death penalty, all of the factors potentially that can go into that decision, that it is not an easy decision, that there are questions that a jury would have to answer. And they're thoughtful questions, and they're qualitative questions.

And so, yes, I sat down and explained that to them, and I wanted them to understand the opportunity that Paul Storey was given time and time again to accept a life sentence.

O. So --

- MR. WARE: I'm going to object to all that as unresponsive, Your Honor.
- Q. (BY MR. WARE) Let me see if I can get you to answer my question.

Did you ever sit down with the Cherrys and have discussions or a conversation, however you want to put it, about their opposition to the death penalty, about that specifically?

- A. I talked to them during the trial.
- 23 Q. About that specifically?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And -- and you also said that you told

1	them that Paul Storey was offered a life sentence?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. When did you tell them that?
4	A. I think they were told I think they were told
5	in that same meeting, but I'm not positive, in that same
6	meeting with Suman. But I'm not positive of that. But I
7	know that I discussed it with them during the trial
8	because I wanted them to understand every effort was made
9	to give him the chance to take a life sentence.
10	Q. So they should remember that?
11	A. I don't know if they remember that or not.
12	MR. WARE: Your Honor, could we take a
13	break?
14	THE COURT: All right. It's 10:30, so
15	we've been proceeding for some length of time. Let's take
16	a short recess at this time.
17	(Recess)
18	(Open court, defendant present)
19	THE COURT: All right. Back on the record
20	this morning. Are both sides ready to continue?
21	MR. BRAGG: Yes, Your Honor.
22	MR. WARE: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Ware, do you have a few
24	more questions to ask at this point?
25	MR. WARE: Yes, sir.

1	Q. (BY MR. WARE) Did you ever talk to anybody in
2	appellate about whether this should be disclosed or not?
3	A. I don't believe so.
4	MR. WARE: May I approach, Your Honor?
5	THE COURT: Very well.
6	Q. (BY MR. WARE) So part of the reason for
7	disclosing this, and I think maybe even Bob Gill may have
8	mentioned this in the conversation, is this is possibly
9	Brady information in that it's mitigating, correct?
10	A. Actually, I don't think that there's any case
11	that stands for the proposition that it is Brady
12	information.
13	Q. Do you know of any case that stands for the
14	proposition that it's not Brady information?
15	A. I think that a victim's or family members'
16	wishes as to the ultimate fate of an individual actually
17	are inadmissible in front of the jury.
18	Q. That's true under the Eighth Amendment and the
19	Fourteenth Amendment, possibly, if their wishes are for
20	the defendant to receive the death penalty, correct?
21	A. Well, the case
22	Q. Is that correct?
23	A. Actually, I don't think that's correct.
24	Q. Okay. But when the case is the opposite where
25	the State is seeking the death penalty and the victim's

parents do not want the jury to give the death penalty, 1 2 Payne versus Tennessee, those cases do not address that situation, do they? 3 That is correct. 4 Α. 5 Ο. Okay. But they absolutely do address the 6 situation where the victim's parents do want the death 7 penalty for the defendant, correct? 8 Α. That was the factual scenario in that case. 9 Q. Okay. And in other cases that have come since 10 then? 11 That's correct. Α. I mean, that's well known that if the victim's 12 Ο. parents want the death penalty, the Eighth Amendment, the 13 14 Fourteenth Amendment says the prosecutor, the State cannot 15 put them on to testify to that, correct? 16 Α. Well, I actually think that --17 Ο. I mean, correct or not correct? Well, the case law -- the law --18 Α. 19 So that's not correct? It's a simple question, Ο. 20 correct or not correct? 21 Α. The law says, the cases say that a --22 MR. WARE: I object as nonresponsive. THE COURT: Well, answer the best you can, 23

and then there will be a chance for cross-examination, I

24

25

suppose.

THE WITNESS: All right. Would you please restate your question?

- Q. (BY MR. WARE) There's no question that the cases say that it is a violation of the Eighth and maybe the Fourteenth Amendment, such as in Payne versus Tennessee, cases that have come since then, to put the family on to say they want the jury to impose the death penalty; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

I'm going to hand you what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit Number 1, which I can represent to you was filed in this case by Larry Moore at trial. Does that look familiar to you?

- A. It does.
 - Q. What is that?
- 17 A. It is the Defense's Motion to Produce 18 Inconsistent or Mitigating Evidence.
- Q. Okay. And what's the date of that filing file-marked?
- 21 A. It appears to be May 10th of -- looks like 22 2007.
- Q. Okay. So May the 10th, 2007 that motion is filed, correct?
- 25 A. It appears to be, yes.

1	Q.	C	okay.	Do	you	remember	the	hearing	where	that
2	motion	was	heard?							

- A. There was one hearing that I was not present for.
 - Q. Okay.

4

- A. And this -- that may or may not have been that hearing.
- Q. Well, I can represent to you the court reporter's notes indicate you were present for the hearing.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 O. Which was February the 8th of 2008?
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. Does that make sense?
- 15 A. Sure.
- 16 Q. You were on board at that point?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And --
- A. And when I say there was a hearing that I missed, the hearing would have been probably sometime around the summer.
- 22 Q. Of 2008?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Well, this was in February.
- 25 A. I don't doubt you, Mike.

Q. And can you look on the page behind that. And the page behind that, I believe. And that is the actual order granting that motion; is that correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. Which is likewise dated?
- A. February 8th, 2008.
- Q. Okay. And what does that hearing -- what does that motion -- what does it request?
- A. All information that would be inconsistent or mitigating.
- Q. Okay. And it really goes beyond, doesn't it? Tell me if I'm reading this correctly.

The defendant would further respectfully request that the Court instruct the prosecution to produce any and all such evidence inconsistent with the defendant's guilt, impeachment evidence, mitigating evidence, or any other evidence that would be material -- of material importance to the Defense even though it may not be offered as testimony or exhibits by the prosecution at the trial of this case on the merits.

Do you agree or disagree with me that the fact that the Cherrys, the parents of the victim in this case where you were seeking the death penalty were opposed to the death penalty, you agree that that information would fit within this motion's request?

- 1 A. I agree.
 - Q. Okay. And the judge granted that, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

22

- Q. Okay. State's Exhibit Number 2 is just the hearing on February the 8th where the judge grants the motion?
 - A. Mike, I don't doubt you. I agree.
 - Q. Well, this is the first time you and I have talked about this, right?
- 10 A. No, it's actually not.
- 11 O. About this?
- 12 A. About this case.
- Q. So when y'all disclosed the fact that the

 Cherrys were against the death penalty, it was -- I mean,

 you chose to do it, but in fact you were court ordered to

 do just that, correct? Not specifically, but it fit

 within the category of evidence that the Court ordered you

 to disclose.
 - A. I think so in the broadest sense, yes.
- Q. Let me show you State's -- Defendant's Exhibit
 Number 3 and ask you if you can identify what that is?
 - A. It's the State's First Amended Notice of Brady
 Material.
- Q. Okay. And this is filed with the court, right, or filed with the clerk?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And it's in writing?
3	A. It is.
4	Q. And this was filed July the 10 th of 2008,
5	correct?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. And what is the purpose of this file it was
8	actually signed by Robert Foran; is that correct?
9	A. That's right.
10	Q. But you were on the case by then, obviously.
11	This was actually just prior to jury selection, I think.
12	I mean, you were involved in the case.
13	A. I was involved in the case, and it was prior
14	it was filed prior to jury selection.
15	Q. Okay. And did you and him talk about what to
16	put on here?
17	A. No well, we might have. I mean, let me look
18	and see.
19	Yes, we did.
20	Q. You did?
21	A. We did.
22	Q. Did you make a decision not to include the fact
23	that the Cherrys were against the death penalty? Did you
24	make a decision not to include that on here?

A. No, we did not.

1	Q. You didn't make a decision one way or the other?
2	A. No.
3	Q. You didn't discuss it?
4	A. No, we didn't.
5	Q. But you agree that it's not on here?
6	A. I'd agree.
7	MR. WARE: Your Honor, we'd offer and
8	these are all part of actually the clerk's file. But
9	we'd offer Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 as part of this hearing.
10	MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, I would just ask if
11	it's possible, or to the best of opposing counsel's
12	ability, to offer just for clarity sake where I mean, I
13	have no doubt that they are a part of the record. Just
14	the CCA already has this record before it, it might help
15	them when they review this case to have a record cite to
16	go with it; for example, page so and so of the clerk's
17	record from the trial or part of the Reporter's Record or
18	whatever it might be.
19	MR. WARE: We can certainly do that.
20	THE COURT: All right. But you have no
21	objection, you'd just like it to be clarified where it is?
22	MR. BRAGG: I'm just making sure that this
23	is what he represents it to be, which I'm sure it is.
24	MR. WARE: If I can do that later, Your
25	Honor.

MR. BRAGG: No objection. 1 2 THE COURT: I'll permit counsel to clarify where it is in the record. Looks like some page numbers 3 on some of those, anyway. These are actually marked 4 Defense Exhibits 1, 2, and 3. Those are actually 5 6 Applicant's exhibits. 7 Well --MR. WARE: 8 THE COURT: But one, two, and three are admitted. 9 10 MR. WARE: Habits are hard to break, Your 11 Honor. I think we're all clear what 12 THE COURT: they are and who is offering them. So one, two, and three 13 14 are admitted for purposes of this hearing. 15 MR. WARE: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 Ο. (BY MR. WARE) Is it -- is it your position, or 17 do you have one, that Larry Moore and Bill Ray were told about the Cherrys' opposition to the death penalty before 18 19 you filed or before Robert filed what's now Exhibit Number 20 3 which is a list of Brady disclosures, or were they told 21 after that list was filed? And this list was filed, I believe, on July the 8th, 2008. 22 23 What I can tell you is that Robert told me on a Α. 24 number of occasions that he and Bill had discussed the 25 Cherrys' position. I can tell --

Q. The position against the death penalty, just to be clear.

- A. That is correct. I can tell you that --
- O. And that would have been before that was filed?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

- Q. Okay.
 - A. I can tell you that there were discussions during jury selection, whether it be on a break, whether it be in between veniremen that were had that also referenced or incorporated the Cherrys' opposition to the death penalty. Was Larry Moore present in the room when that occurred? I don't know. Were Larry and Bill present in the room together when that occurred? I can't tell you.
- Q. Who can tell us?
- 16 A. Well, all I can tell you is that the conversations were had.
 - Q. Now, so they were aware that the Cherrys were against the death penalty?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. There's no doubt in your mind about that?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- Q. And that would have been before trial ever began they were aware that the Cherrys were against the death penalty?

- A. That is my understanding, yes.
- Q. Okay. And y'all had discussions about it with a -- each other and with Bob Gill, maybe other people, and y'all decided that was the thing to do, disclose that information?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q. And not only that, the judge, Elizabeth Berry ordered y'all to disclose such information in the motion and the order that are now one, two, and three, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So whether the law required it or not, in this particular case the judge was requiring it, correct?
 - A. Yes, in the broadest sense, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, is -- is it your position that at some point the Cherrys changed their position?
- A. I think --
- Q. I mean, did they? Because there was no question they were adamantly against it at first. Did they change their position?
 - A. I think their position did change, yes.
- Q. Okay. And how did they -- did they communicate that to you?
 - A. They did.
- 24 Q. How did they communicate it to you?
 - A. Well, throughout the trial, they participated in

the trial. And when I say --

- Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- A. They sat behind us in the trial. They did not have to appear for the trial. They did not have to participate in, to watch, to witness this trial. And they were there from the beginning all the way through the end. And we talked to them after each of the witnesses.

I think there were two witnesses, maybe, that they did not sit in on, and that would have been the medical examiner and that would have been the crime scene. I don't believe they sat in for those two witnesses. But outside of those two witnesses, they were there, they saw this case through.

- O. Sure.
- A. And there were conversations that were had many times throughout the trial with the Cherrys.
- Q. So they sat behind y'all's table, is that what you're saying, in the audience?
- A. I have to think where the jury box is. All right. The jury box would be on my right in CDC 3, so our table would have been immediately there. They would have sat against the wall, as I recall. So really more directly behind the jury box or to the side of the jury box.
 - Q. Okay. And chances are, the jury knew exactly

who they were, at least by the end of the trial, correct? 1 Well, I think that Suman identified them during 2 Α. 3 her testimony. They probably even knew before Suman identified 4 5 them that those were the victim's parents. I mean, that's 6 part of the reason of having them sit behind y'all so the 7 jury knows who they are; isn't that correct? 8 Α. I don't know that they would have known that they were family until Suman identified them. 9 Okay. Well, they were there for -- how long was 10 Ο. the trial? 11 Α. The trial was -- the trial began on 12 September the 2nd of 2008 and it concluded it looks like 13 on September the 12th of 2008. 14 15 So over those ten or so days, the jury probably Ο. 16 figured out who these -- who this nice couple was sitting behind the prosecutors' table, correct? 17 18 Α. I think they certainly knew when Suman identified them. 19 20 Q. If they hadn't figured it out before, they knew when Suman identified them? 21 22 Α. Yes. And I think there were other people, I 23 don't think they were the only ones who sat in through the 24 trial.

Q. Of course, y'all wanted the jury to know who

they were, didn't you?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So Suman did testify -
A. She did.

Q. -- correct?

She did not testify that she wanted the death penalty, did she?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And in fact, as we discussed earlier, the Constitution would prohibit her from testifying that she wanted the death penalty; isn't that correct. Is that your understanding of the law?
- A. I think initially. But I think if -- I think there's an argument to be made that it could have been invited by the Defense mitigation case. And in that instance it might have allowed her to testify that she, in fact, was in favor of the death penalty.
- Q. So -- so after the Defense mitigation case, did y'all move to reopen and put her on to testify that she was in favor of the death penalty?
 - A. We did not.
 - Q. Is it your position that you could have?
- A. I think there is an argument to be made that we could have. However, in each of the death penalty cases that I have been involved in, I've never done that.

- Q. And you didn't do it in this case?
- A. I did not.

- Q. In fact, you didn't do it because it's probably a sure way for a reversal; isn't that correct?
- A. I think there's an argument that it would have invited. I'm not going to say that it would be a sure-fire way for a reversal. I don't agree with that.
 - Q. It's pretty clear law, isn't it?
- A. I think that if there's no mitigation case, I think you're correct. I think if the Defense puts on cases where they're asking for someone's life to be spared or they're suggesting that a life sentence is more appropriate than the death penalty, I think the State would be entitled to respond appropriately.
- Q. That's just -- did you consult with appellate about that?
- A. I did not.
- Q. Okay. So in fact, the -- and Suman, she got on the stand and she pointed out, as you say, she pointed out Jonas Cherry's parents, correct?
 - A. She did.
 - Q. So now the jury knows who those -- who that nice couple is that's been sitting there throughout almost the complete trial, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Pretty close to the jury box, really?
- A. I think they were three or four rows back, but it might have been two rows back. They were not on the front row, I remember that. And I think there were people seated in front of them, and I think there were people seated behind them as well.
 - Q. Throughout the trial?
 - A. I think so.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

- Q. But they were consistently there, probably the people sitting in front of them and people sitting behind them may have come and gone?
 - A. I think there was a pretty consistent group.
- Q. Uh-huh. Okay. And the Cherrys were part of that consistent group?
- 15 A. That's true.
- Q. Okay. So the Defense did, in fact, put on witnesses that asked the jurors to spare Paul Storey's life, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And at one point either you or Robert -- I think
 the first witness either you or Robert objected. Do you
 remember that?
 - A. That was Robert.
- Q. Okay. Robert objected?
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

The judge overruled his objection, correct? 1 Q. I think actually the first time she sustained it 2 Α. 3 and then subsequently she overruled it. So the judge was saying, I think it comes 4 Ο. Okay. 5 in, correct? I mean, she was put to the test. There was 6 an objection made and she overruled it and she allowed it, 7 correct? 8 Α. That's correct. 9 Q. And then there were several witnesses after that 10 where neither you or Robert even objected, correct? 11 Actually, I think Robert continued to object. 12 Ο. Well, you wouldn't argue with me if I told you 13 that was not correct? 14 Α. Well, I looked at the testimony last night, and I seem to remember that he did object a number of times. 15 16 Q. Okay. Now, he was consistently overruled after that 17 Α. first objection was sustained, but I think he did continue 18 19 to object, but --20 MR. WARE: May I approach, Judge? 21 THE COURT: Very well. 22 MR. WARE: I'm probably not going to offer 23 I'm just going to refresh her memory with it, but

(BY MR. WARE) I'm going to show you what's been

I'll go ahead and have it marked.

24

25

Q.

1	marked as Defendant's Exhibit Number 4. And let's start
2	with this is Volume 38 of the transcript, page 166.
3	I'm not completely sure which witness this is, but it's a
4	defense witness. And I think Bill Ray is questioning this
5	witness. And he says
6	A. Would you like me to hold it?
7	Q. I got it. He says this is going into the
8	testimony. You tell me if I'm reading this correctly.
9	You know what the facts are in this case?
10	No, sir, not exactly.
11	And I explained to you basically what the
12	case was about, did I not?
13	Oh, yes, sir, you did that.
14	And you know the jury is either going to
15	sentence him to die by lethal injection or he's going to
16	go to the penitentiary for the rest of his life?
17	Yes, sir. I would hope not, though.
18	You understand there's only two options.
19	It's either life in the penitentiary
20	Yes, I understand that.
21	with no chance of parole, or he goes
22	executed or he gets executed?
23	Right.
24	Says: I'll pass the witness.
25	Okay. There's no objection to any of that.

1	A. Well, that's because he didn't ask the question.
2	And that was Paul Storey's father that testified.
3	Q. So here we are again, another defense witness.
4	Are you asking the jury to consider those
5	things that you've told them in making the decisions as to
6	what will happen to Paul?
7	Yes, I am.
8	Are you asking the jury to spare Paul's
9	life?
10	A. And Mr. Foran objects.
11	Q. And the Court overruled?
12	A. Right.
13	Q. Okay. So those are those are two. And then
14	we go here's another witness.
15	Do you think if the jury chooses to spare
16	Paul Storey's life that he can still be off some help and
17	good to you?
18	I know he will be, sir.
19	He can still be a force for good in your
20	life, in the life of your mother and the people that care
21	about him; is that right?
22	Yes, sir.
23	Are you asking the jury to give him that
24	opportunity?
25	Yes, sir.

Do you think there's good things about your 1 2 brother? I know there's good things about my 3 brother. 4 5 Despite everything that he may have done 6 and everything he has brought him here to the place that 7 he sits in front of you, do you think he is worth saving? 8 Yes, sir. Thank you. Pass the witness. 9 10 No objection? 11 I think if you look above that, on a number of 12 other witnesses Robert lodged objections. But I'm saying some of it came in without 13 Q. 14 objection? 15 Α. That is correct. 16 Q. Without going through the tedium of all the -of all the testimony, I can represent to you, and we can 17 18 prove this up later, that Robert objected twice, the first 19 time it was sustained, the second time it wasn't, and that 20 he didn't object after that for several more witnesses 21 that testified to that. Okay? 22 Α. I think he objected more --23 MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor. 24 a question? It sounded more like a statement. 25 MR. WARE: Okay.

1 THE COURT: Are you putting it in the 2 form --3 MR. BRAGG: I mean, that's something that come to the findings of fact later on. 4 5 MR. HAMPTON: Judge, I've got a solution to 6 move things along. You've got the record. We can point 7 it out to you, and I'll offer to do it. 8 THE COURT: All right. I agree that 9 counsel certainly will have the ability to point things 10 out. 11 MR. WARE: Absolutely. Thank you, Your 12 Honor. (BY MR. WARE) So is it your position that --13 Ο. 14 that -- well, let me ask you, whether he objected or 15 didn't object, that came in either over his objection or 16 without his objection, correct? 17 Α. I would agree with you. So either the judge disagreed that it was 18 Q. 19 objectionable and/or at some point you and Robert, at 20 least for some of the witnesses, agreed that it was not 21 objectionable and didn't even bother to object to that 22 testimony? 23 Α. I don't know that we agreed it wasn't 24 objectionable. I think she sustained a number of -- I'm 25 sorry. She had overruled a number of Robert's objections.

Q. Well, we can clear that up later, whether it was once or whether it was a number of them. Okay.

In any event, it came in. And did y'all -I think you've already answered, y'all did not move to
reopen at that point and say, well, Judge, if you're going
to let that in, we want to put it in that the Cherrys are
for the death penalty -- that Jonas Cherry's family and
everyone who loves him are for the death penalty. Did you
ever make that presentation to the judge or make that
argument to the judge?

A. No, I didn't.

- Q. And you knew the judge was not going to let that in, didn't you?
 - A. No, I didn't know that.
- Q. All right. So is it your position, I guess, from what you told the newspaper, that at some point the Cherrys made it clear that they had changed their position on the death penalty?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And how is it that they -- did they communicate that to you?
 - A. Yes.
- O. Okay. When did they communicate that to you?
- A. Well, there is one specific conversation. But before that conversation, as I interacted with them

through each of the witnesses -- for instance, when we began with Larry Fitzgerald who testified and was the very first Defense witness, after he concluded his remarks or after he concluded his testimony and he discussed the fact that the Texas Seven were able to escape from Huntsville when they were in general population, that a man had escaped from TDC while we were in trial who was housed in general population, I had a discussion with them after Larry Fitzgerald's testimony and they remarked, was he a Defense witness or a State's witness, because it was so very clear that they did not want Paul Storey to be in general population and ever have the ability to escape or to hurt anyone else.

MR. WARE: Okay. I'm going to object to all that as nonresponsive, see if I can get you to answer my question.

- Q. (BY MR. WARE) When did they and how did they communicate to you that they had changed their very strong feelings against the death penalty to the point where they believed the death penalty was appropriate in this case?
- A. Okay. Outside of the conversations that we had with other -- I mean, after and before other witnesses, there was a point during the punishment phase, and I believe it was after Ms. Shankle testified, and that's Paul Storey's mother, that Glenn approached me. And I was

either at the railing or the other side of the railing, so I was either this side of the railing or the other side of the railing.

And Glenn approached me. And I -- as I recall, it was after Marilyn Shankle's testimony because her testimony was very emotional, it was very compelling. And Glenn approached me. And I think there was some conversation with Robert that I could not hear. But then the part that I heard was where he said, and he said it -- and he either said, do you want me to or should I tell the jury that we want the death penalty?

- Q. So you heard that part of the conversation?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Did you -- did you sort of do a double take and go, what? All this time they've been against the death penalty? Did I hear what I just think I heard? Did you take a double take like that?
- A. No, I didn't take a double take like that, because I had also watched their reaction to all of the evidence in the guilt-innocence phase and the punishment phase.
- Q. So you're saying it's at that point you knew that they had changed their position on the death penalty based on what you say you heard of this conversation that you were not actually a direct part of?

- A. No. He was talking, and I think Robert was -- I can't remember if Robert was to my right or my left, and so he was talking to both of us. And what --
- Q. Tell me the whole conversation then. Let's start at the beginning.
- A. Well, there was a part of it I could not hear.

 And then what I heard him say --
- Q. Well, what part -- start from the beginning. So what brought y'all together? You said this was after Paul Storey's mother testified?
- A. As I recall, the timing of it was after Marilyn Shankle's testimony.
- Q. And before the next witness?
- A. Well, she was actually the last punishment witness for the Defense.
- 16 Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 17 A. Okay. And as I recall --
- 18 Q. But before argument?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. Okay. As I recall, she had testified. And
 there was a break. The jury had been taken back to the
 jury room. And I believe that is when the Defense was
 talking to Paul Storey about whether or not he was going
 to testify. Okay. So it's somewhere in all of that. The

jury was outside of the room, and Glenn Cherry approached the rail and had that conversation.

- Q. And that's the first you had heard that they had changed their mind about the death penalty?
 - A. You know, I don't know that -- well --
 - Q. That's the first you remember?
- A. That's -- that is the first time I recall them putting it that succinctly, yes.
- Q. Okay. And what exactly did -- relate the whole conversation.
- A. All I can relate to you is him coming up, there's some conversation that I could not hear.
- 13 Q. That's between him and Robert?
- 14 A. Well, I was standing there, I just couldn't hear 15 it very well.
- 16 Q. Well, who could hear it?
- 17 A. Well, I presume Robert could hear it.
- Q. Okay. So's that's the conversation between him and Robert or -- or you, whatever?
- 20 A. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 21 Q. Was there anybody else?
- 22 A. Well --
- 23 Q. Was Judith Cherry there?
- A. She was behind him. I don't know how close behind him she was. But I believe she was to his left --

no, his right, my left. I don't know that she was close enough to hear. I don't know that she wasn't close enough to hear.

- Q. Was there anybody close enough to hear?
- A. There were people, because there was a group of people over there. I don't know that there was anyone else that was a part of this conversation.
- Q. So there's a lot of people there, but the only people that are part of the conversation and for sure could hear are you and Robert and Glenn Cherry?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay.

- A. And I don't know if our investigator --
- Q. Even you didn't hear the whole conversation?
- A. That's true. I don't know if our -- I don't know how close my investigator was to us. I don't know if he could hear it. He probably couldn't because he doesn't have very good hearing.
 - Q. Okay. So of what you could hear, just tell us what happened. Tell us, you know, what was going on that you couldn't hear. Tell us what you could hear. Tell us exactly what you did perceive.
 - A. That he asked me if he should --
- Q. He asked you?
 - A. I was looking right at him and heard this. I

don't know if I wasn't looking at him for the first part
and didn't hear that. But I was looking right at him and
he said, should I testify or do you want me to testify?

Q. Okay. So, of course, at that point y'all had
already put on your case, did you not?

A. Yes.

- Q. So what even brought up him -- y'all had already decided that Mr. -- or Mr. Cherry, Glenn, and Dr. Cherry, Judith, were not going to testify, had you not? I mean, you'd put on your case and hadn't called them?
- A. Well, that's true. But, I mean, in theory they always could have you been called in rebuttal. I have put witnesses on in rebuttal in a death penalty case.
- Q. Why did you decide for them not to testify in the first part?
 - A. You know, the trial was difficult for them.
- 17 O. I'm sorry?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. The trial was difficult for them. They did not --
- Q. Okay.
- A. They really did not know the details of their son's execution. We really had not shared really the callous way his life was taken, and it was difficult. He was their only child.
 - Q. So is it your position or your testimony here

today that the fact that they were against the death penalty had nothing to do with them not testifying at the first part of the punishment stage?

- A. No, I'm not going to say that had nothing to do with it.
 - Q. So it did have something to do with it?
 - A. It did have something to do with it.
- Q. Okay. And so y'all finished your part of the case and -- and -- and at that point they're against the death penalty, because that had something to do with you not calling them as witnesses, correct?
- A. Well, I don't think it's as clear as you're making it.
 - 0. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. I mean, as we're going through the trial, they are reacting and they're learning all of this information that they really did not know beforehand.
- Q. Well, they were all for Paul Storey being prosecuted. I mean, they were all cooperative and all for him being prosecuted, were they not?
 - A. Uh-huh. That is correct.
- Q. I mean, they were devastated by the death of their son.
- A. Absolutely. Absolutely.
 - Q. They were just against the death penalty. They

were for life without the possibility of parole. 1 2 I think that as the punishment phase progressed, Α. I think their views changed. 3 Okay. But they didn't change so much that you 4 5 decided -- you changed your mind and decided to put them 6 on as witnesses? 7 Α. That's correct. 8 Ο. Okay. So we're back to this conversation with 9 Mr. Cherry, Glenn. And I think what you say you heard 10 was, well, do you want me to testify or not testify? 11 What he said was -- and I'm sorry, I didn't 12 finish my sentence a few moments ago when you asked me to 13 repeat it a second time. What he said was, do you want me 14 to or should I testify that we want the death penalty, and I said that's not necessary. 15 16 Ο. Well, what came before that? I mean, did he just blurt that out of the blue or what came before that? 17 No. From time to time --18 Α. 19 I mean, context is everything, right? Ο. 20 THE COURT: One at a time. 21 THE WITNESS: Well, there was some 22 conversation that I could not hear. I did not hear. 23 Okay. So when you ask me what came before that, I can't 24 answer that. What happened in the trial before that, as I

recall the timing of this was after Marilyn Shankle's

1	testimony.	
2	Q. (BY MR. WARE) Okay.
3	Α.	Okay.
4	Q.	So you're testifying here under oath that you
5	heard Gler	nn Cherry say, so do you want me to testify that
6	I'm for th	ne death penalty?
7	Α.	Should I or do you want me to.
8	Q.	Testify that I'm for the death penalty?
9	Α.	That we want the death penalty, yes.
10	Q.	Okay. And what was your response?
11	Α.	That's not necessary.
12	Q.	Okay. And only you and Robert and Glenn Cherry
13	heard all	that, that you know of?
14	Α.	That I know of, yes.
15	Q.	And then what happened after that, you said,
16	that's not	necessary?
17	Α.	I think after that, I don't know if Paul Storey
18	had made h	nis decision or not to testify or they put that
19	on the rec	cord. I don't know whether or not that
20	conversati	on
21	Q.	But I mean the conversation between y'all.
22	Α.	I guess I'm not understanding your question.
23	Q.	Well, I mean, from what I understand, you know,

there's kind of a break, Robert's talking to Mr. Cherry,

and you walk up and all you hear is, so -- and I'm

24

paraphrasing, this may not be a quote, so do you want me to come up and testify that I'm for the death penalty, and then you say, no, that's not necessary, and that's the end of it all. Can you put it in any better context than that?

A. Well, from time to time when there would be a break, it would be like -- it would be like we would turn around and the Cherrys would approach us, or we would approach the Cherrys during the trial when we were on break to talk about the witness, to talk about the cross-examination.

And Glenn approached us. I can't say whether I was standing on this side of the rail or that side of the railing, but the conversation took place in that little walkway. All right. And I was standing there. I didn't just walk up, I was standing there with Robert. I just couldn't hear what was said right before that. And then Glenn made that comment, and I said, that's not necessary.

- Q. That's all there was to it that you remember?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Did you make a note -- I mean, did you think, wow, you know, that's a change?
- A. I was surprised, but I wasn't shocked in light of the fact that I had watched them respond and react to

the evidence that they had heard for ten-plus days. 1 2 Did you ask him to explain or to elaborate on Ο. what he was talking about? 3 No, I did not. 4 Α. 5 You heard that, you say, and it was like, well, Ο. 6 guess he's changed his mind, I guess he's for the death 7 penalty now. Is that what you're saying? 8 Α. I felt like in listening to his question, with 9 him saying that, that he believed that Storey deserved the death sentence in light of everything that he had heard. 10 11 But you didn't ask him to elaborate or confirm, 12 that's just the conclusion you draw from what you say you 13 heard him say? 14 Α. That's correct. 15 So is that when you decided to argue like you Ο. 16 did, when you heard that? That is one of the things, yes. Because 17 Α. overnight, I wrestled with whether or not I should put him 18 19 on the stand. 20 Q. Did you talk to him about that? Did he know you were wrestling about whether to put him on the stand? 21 22 Α. No, he did not. 23 So he would have no clue. If you put him on the O.

stand the next day, it would have been cold because you

never talked to him about possibly putting him on the

24

1 stand after that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Well, I think we both rested and closed that day.
- Q. Okay. So there really wasn't an opportunity to put him on the stand the next day?
- A. Well, I could have put him on the stand that afternoon.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. After he said that.
 - Q. But you didn't?
- 11 A. But I did not.
 - Q. And in fact, if what you say is true, even if what you say is true, then very likely that would not have been admissible, correct? I mean, if the Defense had objected, you know, like under a whole line of Supreme Court cases that that is impermissible to give victim impact testimony, the judge would either sustain the objection or there very likely could have been a reversal, correct?
 - A. I don't know that I would agree with you, Mike.
 - O. But that's a very serious issue?
- 22 A. It is a serious issue.
- Q. It's a very clear-cut issue at the Supreme
- 24 Court?
- 25 A. I think -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt

1 you. 2 I'm done. Ο. In the absence of a mitigation case, I think it 3 Α. 4 absolutely would be reversible error. I don't think it's admissible. 5 6 In light of the case that they put on and 7 generally what is put on in the cases that I was involved 8 in, I think there is an argument, though I've never done it. 9 10 But, you know, in this case we'll never know O. 11 because you didn't put him on? 12 Α. That's correct. 13 Ο. So did you and Robert discuss this part of the 14 argument that you made before you made it? 15 Α. No. 16 Q. Did you discuss it with anybody before you made it? 17 18 Α. No. 19 Did you discuss it after you made it? Ο. 20 Α. After I made the argument? 21 Yeah, did you discuss it with Robert or anyone Ο. 22 else? 23 Α. No. 24 Did he ever say anything to you about it? Q. 25 Α. No.

- Q. And you know what argument I'm talking about?
- A. I do.

- Q. Okay. The argument, and I think I'm pretty much quoting it, where you say, and I think it goes without saying that Jonas Cherry's family and everyone who loved him believes the death penalty is appropriate, correct?
- A. Well, I think it's, and it should go without saying, but the gist is the same.
 - Q. And it should go without saying?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Now, you'll agree with me that even if everything you're saying is true and even if all the inferences you took from that brief conversation that you say you had are accurate, that at the very least that argument was outside the record. There was no record of Suman testifying that she was in favor of the death penalty or thought it was appropriate. There was no record of Suman saying anybody else in the family believed the death penalty was appropriate or that anyone or that everyone who loved Jonas Cherry believed the death penalty was appropriate. There was no testimony that supported that argument, so it was outside the record. You agree with at least that much?
- A. I believe it was outside the record, but I also think that it is argument --

1	Q.	Well
2	А.	and you're allowed to respond
3	Q.	argument has rules, does it not?
4	Α.	It does.
5	Q.	And as a prosecutor, as a spokesman for the
6	State of	Texas, the person in authority, you have certain
7	rules you	've got to go by even in argument, correct?
8	Α.	That is correct.
9	Q.	Maybe especially in argument, correct?
10	Α.	I think there are rules that control closing
11	arguments	3.
12	Q.	Okay. And it's very important that there be
13	rules in	closing argument, correct?
14	Α.	That is correct.
15	Q.	Okay. And one of the rules is neither side can
16	argue out	side of the record, correct?
17	Α.	That's correct.
18	Q.	And so
19	Α.	Well, I take that back.
20	Q.	So
21	Α.	Unless you're responding to something.
22	Q.	So at the very least well, of course, at this
23	point the	e other side hadn't even argued, had they?
23 24	point the	e other side hadn't even argued, had they? That's right.

Q. Because you were the first one to argue?

1	A. But I think you're allowed to respond to
2	evidence that's elicited by
3	Q. You were the first you were the first one to
4	argue, correct?
5	A. I was the first one to argue, yes.
6	Q. So certainly there was no argument that you were
7	responding to because there was there had been no
8	argument to respond to, correct?
9	A. I think you're allowed to respond to
10	Q. Let's me see if I can get you to answer my
11	question. There had been no argument by the Defense at
12	that point, correct?
13	A. I was the first attorney to argue.
14	Q. So there was no argument that you were
15	responding to, correct?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q. Thank you.
18	So you went up there intending to argue
19	outside the record, correct?
20	A. I knew what my argument was going to be, yes.
21	Q. Okay. So this was intentional when you went up
22	there and argued as you did that we just discussed,
23	correct?
24	A. It was.
25	Q. And you knew that was outside the record?

I did, parts of it. 1 Α. 2 Well, all of it was outside the record. Nobody Ο. testified or put in writing or any other form of evidence 3 that said, I believe the death penalty is appropriate in 4 5 this case. Nobody testified to that, did they? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 So -- but you knew the worst that was going to Ο. 8 happen is -- the worst that could happen is that the 9 Defense would object and the judge would sustain the 10 objection, correct? 11 I don't know that I would characterize it as the 12 worst that would happen. Or she might instruct the jury to disregard, 13 O. 14 right? I don't know that I would characterize it as the 15 Α. 16 I think the Defense could have objected, I think it could have been sustained, and then the jury would be 17 18 instructed to disregard. 19 Well, of course, the judge could have granted a Ο. 20 mistrial too. I mean, that would have been the next level 21 of relief the Defense would have asked for, right? 22 Α. That's right. 23 But you knew the judge wouldn't grant a mistrial Ο.

MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor. That's

24

25

on that, didn't you?

pure speculation. I mean, it's categorical, oh, the judge 1 2 would absolutely not and you knew that to be the case. Unless she had a conversation with the judge about that, 3 that's pure speculation. 4 5 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection at 6 this time. 7 (BY MR. WARE) Do you believe the judge would Ο. 8 have granted a mistrial on that? I don't know what she would have done. I doubt 9 Α. 10 it. But you were willing to take that chance, 11 Ο. 12 correct? 13 Α. I don't know that I thought about it in those 14 terms. Well, you knew it was improper and you knew --15 O. 16 and you knew that -- that there was a possibility that the 17 judge could even go so far as to grant a mistrial, 18 correct? I think there was -- well, I think it stands to 19 Α. 20 reason in any death penalty case that the families of the deceased want the death penalty. I think that is -- that 21 22 is a -- something that is a given in a trial. Okay. 23 So you could argue that in any case? Ο. 24 Α. I think that is the case. 25 Q. Even though it's outside the record, that in any

case you don't even have to have a record on that? 1 2 Α. It was outside of the record. 3 Ο. Okay. Correct. 4 Α. 5 And that makes it improper? Ο. And I should not have argued something that was 6 Α. 7 outside of the record. 8 Ο. Okay. But you were willing to do it. I mean, 9 it was important enough for you to get that in front of 10 the jury that you were willing to violate the rules in 11 order to make that argument, correct? 12 Α. It was outside the record and I should not have done that. 13 14 Ο. But you did do it. I did. 15 Α. 16 Q. And it was important enough for you to do that 17 at the time that you were willing to break the rules in order to do it? 18 19 I didn't give it -- when I think about my Α. 20 argument, that is a very, very small part of my argument.

Q. But you thought it was important enough to make

When I think about the time that I spent drafting my

argument or offering my argument or thinking about my

the least amount of time on that one.

argument, of all the points that I made, I probably spent

21

22

23

24

that you were willing to break the rules to do it and argue outside the record in order to make it. It was at least that important.

- A. I don't know that I thought it was important, but I did argue outside the record.
 - Q. Okay. And you knew that was breaking the rules?
 - A. I knew it was outside the record.
 - Q. Which is breaking the rules?
- A. I knew that an objection could have been made and sustained.
- Q. So. And let's look at what you did argue. You didn't reopen and put on anybody, whether there was anybody that could do it, to say that Jonas Cherry's family and everyone who loves him believes the death penalty is appropriate. You didn't put anybody on to say that?
- 17 A. That's correct.

- Q. And in fact, probably based on many Supreme
 Court cases, probably had you tried to do that, it would
 not have been allowed into evidence, correct?
- A. Well, I think, as I said, in the absence of a defense mitigation case, I don't think it would have been allowed.
- Q. Okay. Well, even with a defense mitigation case, I mean, if you know the facts of Tennessee versus

1 Payne --2 MR. BRAGG: Your Honor --MR. WARE: -- where they say that's not 3 allowed --4 5 MR. BRAGG: At this point I object. seem to be covering the same ground over and over, Your 6 7 Honor. 8 MR. WARE: No, we're not. 9 MR. BRAGG: We've already discussed Payne. 10 We've already discussed whether or not this information 11 could come in. In fact, we've discussed the fact she 12 didn't put on all the people that loved him. We already discussed this. 13 14 THE COURT: I think this is repetitive. Ιf 15 there's a new point, go ahead and ask that question. 16 Q. (BY MR. WARE) Here's the point. Here's the 17 point. Not only did you argue outside of the record, you 18 injected something in the record that even if it were true 19 would be against the rules, even if it were true that 20 Jonas Cherry's parents had suddenly changed their mind 21 about the death penalty, even if that were true, you were 22 injecting something into the record that you're not 23 permitted under those cases to inject into the record. 24 You're not permitted to tell the jury that Jonas Cherry's 25 parents and everyone who loved him believed the death

penalty is appropriate. You can't put on testimony and 1 2 you didn't put on testimony to that effect. So you're not just outside the record, you're arguing a fact --3 MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor. 4 5 MR. WARE: -- that you can't put --6 MR. BRAGG: Is there a question here? 7 MR. WARE: -- correct? 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 THE WITNESS: I understand that I was the 10 first attorney to argue and I was responding to -- that 11 doesn't make it okay, it was outside of the record -- and 12 I was responding to the Defense's request to spare his 13 life. 14 Ο. (BY MR. WARE) Well, let's just draw an analogy. 15 Say a defendant, not this case, say a defendant failed a 16 polygraph. Okay? Are you with me? 17 (Moving head up and down). Α. 18 Q. I mean, say in this hypothetical that's a fact, 19 a defendant failed a polygraph. Okay? 20 Α. (Moving head up and down). 21 Ο. You're nodding. Is that a yes? 22 Α. I'm sorry, I was --23 You're following me? Ο. 24 Yes. Α. 25 Q. And say there's no evidence in the trial that

this defendant failed a polygraph. Okay? Are you with 1 2 me? 3 Α. Yes. I'm sorry. And say you're at final argument. And, of 4 Ο. 5 course, polygraph tests aren't admissible anyway, right? 6 Α. That's right. 7 Everybody knows that, right? Correct? 0. 8 Α. That's correct. 9 And almost everybody knows that what a victim's Q. 10 family wants to happen -- or that a victim's family wants

A. That's right.

polygraphs are not admissible, right?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. So say you got up at final argument and, number one, you argued, and besides the defendant failed a polygraph, okay, I mean, number one, that would be outside the record, correct?

the defendant executed, almost everybody knows that's not

admissible as well. But in any event, we agree that

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And, number two, even if it wasn't outside the record, it's something you couldn't put in the record by law anyway, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And it's the same thing here. It was not only outside the record that Jonas Cherry's family and everyone

who loved him believed the death penalty was appropriate, 1 2 it's something you couldn't put in the record, just like 3 you couldn't put a polygraph test into the record. MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, objection. 4 She's 5 asked and answered these exact questions. 6 MR. WARE: No, she hasn't. 7 THE COURT: Well, I think this is 8 repetitive. I'm going to sustain the objection. 9 (BY MR. WARE) But you -- it was important enough Q. 10 to you to get this information in front of the jury that 11 you were willing to violate both of those rules outside 12 the record and inadmissible evidence, correct? It was that important to you, correct? 13 14 Α. I don't think it was that important to me. But you did it? 15 Ο. 16 Α. I did do it. Could have resulted in a mistrial, correct? 17 Ο. I did not think it would result in a mistrial. 18 Α. 19 That's why you were emboldened to do it, right? Ο. 20 You knew you might get objected to, they might get instructed to disregard, but you knew that you weren't 21 22 going to get a mistrial, didn't you, or you didn't believe 23 you were? 24 Α. Mike, this was a very small part of my argument.

Let me just -- you didn't believe that was going

25

Q.

to get you a mistrial, did you? 1 I did not think it would result in a mistrial. 2 Α. I did not --3 So you were -- so you wanted -- you wanted the 4 Ο. 5 jury to hear that those two things outside the record and 6 something that would not be admissible in front them, it 7 was important enough to you to violate -- intentionally 8 violate those two rules and you were emboldened to do it 9 because you knew they would remember it and you weren't going to get a mistrial out of it anyway, right? 10 11 MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor, asked 12 and answered. That has been asked and 13 THE COURT: 14 answered. I'll sustain the objection. 15 (BY MR. WARE) Now, that's all assuming this is Ο. 16 all true, correct? I mean, the fact is, if it wasn't even true that Jonas Cherry's family, which included certainly 17 his parents, correct? 18 19 Α. Yes.

- Q. And everyone who loved him, which included his parents, correct?
- A. Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. If that wasn't even true that they believed the death penalty was appropriate, then that's a third violation, isn't it?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Now, assume for a moment that -- by the way, O. after you had this conversation with Glenn Cherry in the 3 courtroom right before -- well, before final argument, 4 5 after the last witness but before final argument, when you 6 took from that that he had changed his mind on the death 7 penalty -- you know what I'm talking about? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Did you then go over to the defense Okay. 10 lawyers Larry Moore and Bill Ray and say, guess what, the 11 Cherrys have changed their mind about the death penalty? 12 Did you notify them of that? 13 Α. I did not. 14 So when you made that argument, they still Ο. 15 thought the Cherrys were against the death penalty, didn't 16 they? 17 I would presume, yes. Α. 18 Q. So they would have thought that what you just 19 told the jury was a lie, wouldn't they? 20 Α. Yes. 21 So it's your position that Larry Moore and Bill Ο. 22 Ray just sat on their hands and allowed you to tell the

now asking her specifically what was in their minds at the

MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor.

jury what they thought at the time was a lie?

23

24

She can't testify to that, unless she knows, unless 1 2 they had a conversation, she can't testify to specifically what was in their minds. 3 THE COURT: Any response to that objection? 4 5 I mean, I can rephrase the MR. WARE: 6 question, Your Honor. 7 Rephrase the question. THE COURT: 8 Ο. (BY MR. WARE) I mean, you had not informed them 9 about the Cherrys' change in position. So as far as they 10 knew, according to you, the Cherrys or Jonas Cherry's 11 family and everyone who loved him did not think the death 12 penalty was appropriate, because they didn't -- you did 13 not inform them about your conversation with Glenn Cherry. I did not inform them of the conversation with 14 Α. 15 Glenn Cherry. 16 Q. Okay. I gotcha. 17 But -- that's okay. Α. 18 Q. Nevertheless, they didn't object. You know, 19 Your Honor, that's not true, you know, that's not true. 20 They informed us that the Cherrys are against the death There was nothing like that in the record, 21 penalty. correct? 22 23 One of the things that was talked about --Α. 24 I mean, let me get you to answer my question. Ο.

Did they stand up and object to it?

They did not object. 1 Α. 2 Even though it was outside the record, even O. though it was inadmissible evidence, and even though as 3 far as they knew at that point it was a lie? 4 5 One of the things that we talked about in jury 6 selection was the fact that this family supported our 7 prosecution of Paul Storey, that they respected the 8 process. 9 Q. There's no question about that. 10 So you offered life sometime in the spring 11 of 2008, correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Ο. And you remember -- you may not have been there. 14 There was an official hearing, I think it was June the 9th of 2008, I know -- I don't know if it's on your 15 16 timeline or not, when Paul Storey was questioned about the life offer and he officially turned down the life offer. 17 Does that sound familiar? 18 19 Α. It does. 20 Ο. And even though Robert Foran at that time told 21 him life would never be offered again or something to that 22 effect, in fact, y'all did offer life again, right? 23 Α. That's right.

Cherrys -- or somebody was keeping the Cherrys apprised of

And it's your position you were keeping the

24

25

Ο.

all these life offers that were being made to the defendant?

- A. I think at some point they were notified that a life sentence was offered. I don't know that there was continued communication at that point. My grandmother died right before we started jury selection, and so I was not involved for the case -- not involved with the case for a couple of weeks in there. She had a stroke and I cared for her. And then the jury selection was put off another week.
- Q. Okay. Do you know when the last time a life offer was made to Paul Storey was?
- A. I remember a conversation that I came in -- you mean a formal offer or do you mean when we left it outstanding?
 - Q. Well, the last time --
- A. It was discussed?
- Q. Yeah, sure.

A. Okay. I remember coming into jury selection, and Larry and I were there. And my husband and I had gone to mass on Sunday, and I lit a candle that Paul Storey would change his mind and do the right thing. And I came in and I told Larry, Larry, I lit a candle yesterday that he would change his mind, because Larry and Bill had expressed tremendous frustration with Paul and his mother

and their inability to grasp the reality of the case and of the situation and of the law of parties. And Larry said, well, that was a waste of a candle or that was a waste of a match, or something to that effect, because he said Paul is never going to take a life sentence.

And so in my mind -- can I give you the date? I cannot give you the date. But that was the last time that I recall us having a serious discussion or a discussion about --

- Q. Was it after jury selection?
- A. No, it was in jury selection.
 - Q. During jury selection?
 - A. It was in jury selection.
- Q. Okay. Did -- of course, one of the things you could have done, you and Robert could have done is waived the death penalty; is that correct?
- A. That is one of the things the office could have made the decision about, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Did you -- did you ever inquire whether you could waive the death penalty?
 - A. Did I ever ask a deputy --
 - Q. Your supervisor or whoever you needed to ask?
- A. I did not.

Q. So did anything occur in between the time of this conversation when you talked about how you lit a

candle, etcetera, and the time that you proceeded to trial under the death penalty or -- or the time that the jury came back with the death penalty? Did anything happen during that time that you believed made Paul Storey more culpable or was aggravating or anything like that that would cause you to continue to pursue the death penalty even though you had offered a life sentence?

- A. You mean like was there any disciplinary in jail?
 - Q. Yeah, after that time.
- A. Or did he misbehave during jury selection?
- 12 Q. Anything, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

- A. In addition to the extraneous offenses we already had, I don't believe there was any subsequent commission of a bad act or extraneous offense.
- Q. Okay. And -- okay. So the answer is no?
- 17 A. The answer is no.
- MR. WARE: Your Honor, if I could have a moment.
- THE COURT: I'll just point out it's 11:52.

 Are you nearing completion?
- MR. WARE: If we could go ahead and break
 for lunch, Your Honor, I think -- I think we may be done,
 but we may -- if I can have a few moments or the lunch
 hour to think it over, we may can speed this up.

1	THE COURT: Well, I'm all for speeding it
2	up at this point.
3	THE WITNESS: I'm not going to take that
4	personally.
5	THE COURT: As far as the State's
6	anticipated cross, do you have any idea how long it might
7	be?
8	MR. BRAGG: Oh, as far as how long it might
9	be? I don't, Your Honor. I don't like to give too
10	specific of I can tell you it won't last half a day.
11	THE COURT: But it will be longer than the
12	next eight minutes, right?
13	MR. BRAGG: Say again?
14	THE COURT: It will be longer than the next
15	eight minutes.
16	MR. BRAGG: It certainly will, yes.
17	THE COURT: Maybe the appropriate thing at
18	this point is to take a lunch recess.
19	MR. WARE: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Is 1:15 an appropriate time to
21	be back?
22	MR. WARE: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Why don't we recess for lunch
24	until 1:15.
25	(Court in recess for lunch)

1	(Open court, defendant present)
2	THE COURT: Are both sides ready to
3	continue at this time?
4	MR. BRAGG: We are, Your Honor.
5	MR. WARE: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. Before I forget
7	about it, Mr. Ware, sometime ago right after you offered
8	Exhibits 1, 2, and 3, I think you referenced Exhibit 3 as
9	having been filed on July the $8^{ ext{th}}$ and the actually
10	file-mark is July 10 th .
11	MR. WARE: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Just for clarity, in case
13	there's any question about which instruments are being
14	identified.
15	MR. WARE: Thank you for keeping me honest,
16	Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Well, I've been dying to
18	interrupt and say something for a while, but now
19	MR. WARE: Nobody can say you weren't
20	paying attention, Judge.
21	THE COURT: I try to. Anyway, just that
22	clarification for the record.
23	All right. If everybody is ready, then,
24	you may continue with your examination of the witness.
25	MR. WARE: Thank you, Your Honor.

- Q. (BY MR. WARE) Ms. Jack, you know Robert Ford or you knew Robert Ford, did you not?
 - A. Robert Foran?
 - Q. Ford.

- A. Oh, Bob Ford, I'm sorry.
- Q. Bob Ford, yes.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Of course, he's -- he has -- he's deceased now. But were you aware that he was the first state writ attorney on this case?
- 11 A. I was.
 - Q. Okay. Did you ever talk to him about this case?
 - A. I think at some point he came through the court when he was either in the process of working on the writ or had completed the writ. And it was a docket setting, so there were a lot of attorneys in there. And he was talking to me and he was bragging about how he was going to get the case reversed. And he was laughing about it. He wasn't doing anything malicious. He was laughing about it because he said he had an affidavit from Sven Berger, and he was referencing the contents of that affidavit.
 - Q. Well, who's Sven Berger? Do you know who Sven Berger is?
 - A. He was one of the jurors in the case.
 - Q. Okay. You're aware he's a juror on the case

that's given an affidavit that says had he known the 1 2 Cherrys were --MR. BRAGG: At this point, Your Honor, I 3 would object to this line of questioning. Sven Berger and 4 5 his affidavit have actually already been -- this 6 particular affidavit, I think he's even on their witness 7 list, has not been ruled upon. But this court has already 8 ruled on that particular affidavit and found it to be -they actually struck it from the record in the initial 9 state habeas proceedings, because he's a juror that's 10 11 basically testifying about clearly what the rule prohibits 12 him from testifying about. I understand there's usually a 13 THE COURT: 14 prohibition or inadmissibility question on that. But, 15 Mr. Ware, do you have any response? 16 MR. WARE: Well, first, I wasn't even going 17 to ask about that affidavit. I was going to ask about --18 number one, I'm asking her if she knows who Sven Berger is 19 since she brought him up, and if she knows that he's given 20 an affidavit that goes directly to her testimony here 21 today. 22 MR. BRAGG: And to that we would raise the 23 same objections to his affidavit in that was raised to the 24 first affidavit. The Texas Rules of Evidence clearly 25 prohibit that affidavit.

on that, for sure. I'm aware that the -- of the affidavits that have been filed, and it's in the open, for sure. I think what I'm going to do is allow the question at this point. If it's deemed to be inadmissible, the Court certainly doesn't have to consider it. So I'm going to allow that issue to be explored and we'll see where the law leads us on that. So you may continue with your question.

- Q. (BY MR. WARE) You're aware that Sven Berger has given an affidavit saying had he known that the Cherrys, that Jonas Cherry's parents were against the death penalty he would never, ever, ever have voted in such a way that the death penalty would be imposed and he'd held out forever. You're aware that he's given that affidavit?
- A. In his second affidavit, yes, I am aware of that.
 - Q. Back to Bob Ford.

You didn't talk to him about the Cherrys being against the death penalty, did you?

A. I did not.

- Q. As far as you know, nobody talked to him about the Cherrys being --
- A. I can't speak to what other people may or may not have told Bob.

1	Q. But I said as far as you know.
2	A. I know that I didn't speak to him about it.
3	Q. Okay. And you're not aware of anyone who did
4	speak to him about it?
5	A. I'm not aware of that.
6	Q. Okay. And you know from looking through, what,
7	there's seven boxes of files in this case?
8	A. I don't recall.
9	Q. That the DA's office has?
10	A. I don't recall the exact number.
11	Q. A bunch? I mean, several big boxes?
12	A. There are a number of boxes, yes.
13	Q. And you've looked through them or had the
14	opportunity to look through them recently?
15	A. I have.
16	Q. And you know that if Bob Gill excuse me, not
17	Bob Gill but Bob Ford was given the same opportunity to
18	look through those seven boxes and saw what you saw when
19	you looked through them and didn't see what you didn't see
20	when you looked through them, that he would not have seen
21	one scrap of paper, one note, any reference to the fact
22	that the Cherrys were opposed to the death penalty; is
23	that correct?
24	MR. BRAGG: Objection, speculation, Your
25	Honor. She doesn't know what Bob

1	MR. WARE: I said if he saw what she saw.
2	THE COURT: With that qualification, I'll
3	allow the question.
4	THE WITNESS: I think that's true, Mike.
5	Q. (BY MR. WARE) And Bob is was a tenacious
6	lawyer; is that fair?
7	A. That is very fair.
8	Q. Ethical lawyer?
9	A. So far as I know.
10	Q. Even a ferocious lawyer
11	A. Yes.
12	Q from time to time?
13	A. I've tried him.
14	Q. Diligent?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Very diligent?
17	A. (Moving head up and down).
18	Q. Is that correct?
19	A. That is correct.
20	Q. That's your opinion. And you've known Bob a
21	long time?
22	A. I had known Bob many years before his passing.
23	Q. Okay. I mean, he was in the DA's office?
24	A. Well, that predated me.
25	Q. Okay. But you'd known him many years. Honest

1 lawyer?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And all of those things I said about Bob Ford, be fair to say those same things about Larry Moore, correct?
 - A. I am tremendously fond of Larry Moore. The fact that we are in this courtroom and that someone that I consider a good friend of mine will be testifying, I can only imagine the contradiction. It's very upsetting to me. There was a time that I was and am very fond of Bill Ray and Larry Moore.
 - Q. Well, the fact is we've all known each other for 25 years or more; is that correct?
 - A. I don't know that I've known you quite that long, but I've known you for a number of years. I don't know co-counsel.
 - Q. I've known Larry Moore for 47 years. Think about that. Would you agree that he likewise is tenacious and ethical and diligent?
- A. Larry Moore, this is my second death penalty case with Larry. I held him and I hold him in highest of esteem.
 - Q. And you believe that he's honest and ethical?
- 24 A. I do.
 - Q. And diligent?

1	A. And diligent.
2	Q. Same with Bill Ray?
3	A. The same with Bill Ray.
4	Q. The same with Tim Moore?
5	A. The same with Tim Moore.
6	Q. As to all of those attributes?
7	A. That is true.
8	Q. Same with Mark Daniel?
9	A. I have not had a case well, I take that back.
10	I had a death penalty case against Mark Daniels (sic). I
11	think Mark is a very effective lawyer. I think Mark is a
12	very smart lawyer.
13	Q. Certainly diligent?
14	A. I think he's diligent, yes.
15	Q. A lawyer by the name of John Stickels had this
16	case on direct appeal. Did you ever have a conversation
17	with John Stickels about this case?
18	A. If I did, it was only in passing and it doesn't
19	stand out, but that's not to say that there wasn't some
20	conversation that I had.
21	Q. Okay. But you don't think you ever had a
22	conversation where you told him that the Cherrys were
23	against the death penalty?

And you certainly never had a conversation with

24

25

Α.

Q.

No.

him where you told him the Cherrys were against the death 1 2 penalty until the close of evidence and then you had this 3 conversation with Mr. Cherry, you never went into that with John Stickels? 4 5 Α. That's right. 6 Ο. Or any of these other lawyers? 7 Α. That's right. 8 MR. WARE: I'll pass the witness, Your 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. The State may 11 cross-examine the witness then at this time. 12 MR. BRAGG: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. BRAGG: 14 15 Good afternoon, Ms. Jack. Ο. 16 Α. Good afternoon. 17 I'd like to go back to my opposing counsel Ο. 18 starting with the length of time you've served as a 19 prosecutor and a defense attorney. But I'm curious, you 20 mentioned a couple of cases that you worked on that are 21 capital murder cases. 22 When you were a prosecutor, how many 23 capital cases did you work on? And I don't mean just 24 those that you took to trial in front of a jury. How many 25 capital cases did you work on?

A. Are you asking me about cases in which the death penalty was waived as well as those where the death penalty was sought?

Q. Yes, ma'am.

- A. I cannot give you an exact number. I tried more capital waivers than I did simple homicide cases. I can't even give you a number. Probably ten, 20 plus. I mean, but when you say work on, are you saying outside of the presence of trying it?
 - Q. Yes, ma'am.
- A. Oh, I can't even count the number of cases that I was involved in either working with the police department, assisting other prosecutors, investigating them at the grand jury, handling them after the grand jury.

I was a chief prosecutor from 1998 until 2013, so for all of those years as the chief prosecutor for the respective courts where I was assigned, I would have been responsible for any capital murder case that was assigned to those courts.

And then as a deputy chief, I would have had supervisory responsibility over at least four district courts and a specialized unit, generally speaking. So I can't even begin to estimate the number of capital murder cases I was involved in.

- Q. What about capital murder cases where the death penalty was sought and taken -- that went to trial?
- A. Okay. That -- I tried six cases from beginning to end where the death penalty was sought, including one in which I was the special prosecutor -- I was a special prosecutor in another county.
- Q. And now as a defense attorney, how many capital cases, again, just capital cases, whether there was a waiver or the death penalty was sought, how many death penalty cases have you handled?
- A. Well, I have -- I believe I'm involved currently in six or seven at the moment. I have tried before a jury one with Warren St. John earlier this year. I have tried one that wound up being an open plea to the Court to the lesser-included offense of injury to a child with Tim Moore in front of The Honorable Scott Wisch. I have cases that are outstanding with Fred Cummings, Joetta Keene, Warren St. John, and I'm forgetting someone.
 - Q. But these are capital cases?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And the Tim Moore that you mentioned there, that was the Tim Moore that was Mark Porter's attorney?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. The same Tim Moore that opposing counsel just asked you about?

Yes. We concluded that matter about a week ago. 1 Α. 2 I am curious, and I guess we can take Tim Moore, Ο. for example. Of course, this writ has been filed now for 3 several months? 4 5 Α. I believe it was filed in April of this year. 6 Ο. Correct. Have you and Tim Moore discussed this 7 at all? This case? 8 Α. 9 Q. Yes, ma'am. 10 Α. No, we have not. 11 Has he mentioned to you at all any concern that Ο. 12 he has about you serving as co-counsel with him? 13 Α. No. 14 During your career either as prosecutor or 15 attorney, have you received any accolades, commendations, 16 any awards, honors? 17 Α. I have. What are those? 18 Q. 19 Well, I received the Chris Marshall Award a Α. 20 number of years ago, and that is an award that is given to a prosecutor for statewide training. And I was a 21 22 co-recipient of that award. 23 I was recognized by I believe it is the

Texas Lawyer as being one of the top prosecutors in the

24

25

state in 2012.

I recently received an award from my law school for public service. I've been profiled in a number of publications, I'll put it that way. I'd like to ask you about the trial file in this case. Opposing counsel asked you on direct about several documents, including your trial notes. Did you deviate from your normal practice and procedure with regard to how you handled these documents? Α. No. So do your recollections say with regard to the Ο. notes you made during trial, you didn't deviate from any procedure or practice that you would usually do in a case? Α. No. Now, I believe you testified on direct that Ο. Mr. Foran asked you on to the case? Α. He did. He asked you on the case you said at the end of Ο. '07, beginning of '08? Α. I think it's more like the beginning of '08. Q. Do you recall when you had your first interaction with Jonas' parents, that being Glenn and Judy? I do not. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

If you're asking me for a specific date, I

What about Suman?

1 don't.

- Q. But generally around that spring of 2008 time?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Would you say that you developed a close relationship with the victim's family?
 - A. I think during the trial I was there to answer any questions and to explain anything that was going on. That is something that I consider very important, and I did as a prosecutor. And as a defense attorney, I think it's equally important to explain to clients and their families the process and how we got to this point, what to expect going forward and what we can have -- or what we can anticipate in the future.
 - Q. Can you tell the Court a little bit about your relationship with specifically the Cherry family?
 - A. Well, as I said earlier, I lived next door to one of their relatives. And I think that it was Glenn's mother. I recall seeing Glenn at the house next door to where my husband and I lived when we were first married. And from time to time, I would talk to him and I would talk to, if it was his mother, I would talk to her.

My husband recalled or recounted to me later that he and Jonas actually played basketball together. And when I got on the case, I did not even really make the connection that those Cherrys were related

to my neighbor. I didn't even make the connection initially.

- Q. So during the time of trial -- I mean, you talked a little bit about the time of trial and how you were there to answer their questions, how you were trying to help them get a picture of the process and understand the process. What about leading up to trial? You said about the spring of '08 is when you first met them or came to know them. What was your relationship or your contact like with them?
- A. I think Robert had more contact with them leading up to trial. I think I had more contact with them during trial. And I think that they were very fond of me during the trial and after the trial. And I think that is reflected in their comments after the trial -- actually during the trial and after the trial and in the weeks that followed.
- Q. I believe you said on direct that you could not place an exact time as to when you first learned of Glenn and Judy's opposition to the death penalty; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. But you did understand it to be a general opposition to the death penalty?
- A. Yes.

- Q. This was an opinion they held before their son was ever brutally murdered by Paul Storey?
- A. This was not an opinion that was formed as a result of this crime.
- Q. So their opinion prior to trial had no bearing on who Paul Storey was, correct?
 - A. That's exactly right.

Q. Had no bearing on what they learned about him, correct?

MR. WARE: I'm going to object to her testifying to what the basis of the Cherrys' opposition to the death penalty was. She seems to have very sketchy information about the fact that they were even against the death penalty. And I'm going to object to her getting into the details, the moral, ethical, spiritual reasons that the Cherrys were against the death penalty in general and in this case.

THE COURT: Any response?

MR. BRAGG: Yes, Your Honor. My questions aren't directed to necessarily the foundations for why their -- why they developed this belief or this opinion against the death penalty. It's just to simply lay the groundwork that the opinion was formed before this case and it had nothing to do specifically with Paul Storey. And she has testified that she talked to them about the

death penalty and about their opposition to it. 1 2 MR. WARE: Well, Your Honor, the Cherrys 3 are going to testify. They can testify to that. THE COURT: And I'm sure they will. But 4 5 I'm going to go ahead and allow the question at this 6 point. 7 MR. WARE: So my objection is foundation. 8 She is not qualified to testify to this. And they've not 9 laid the proper foundation to establish her as qualified 10 to testify about all the ins and outs of why the Cherrys 11 were against the death penalty. 12 THE COURT: Any other response to the objection? 13 14 MR. BRAGG: No, Your Honor. I'm going to overrule the 15 THE COURT: 16 objection and permit the questioning at this point. 17 MR. BRAGG: Thank you, Your Honor. (BY MR. BRAGG) Now, did Suman -- or do you 18 Q. 19 recall learning that Suman was in favor of the death 20 penalty? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. Did she tell you that Jonas was also in favor of 23 the death penalty? 24 Α. Yes. 25 MR. WARE: Well, once again, I'm going to

object to all this as hearsay. If Suman is going to 1 2 testify, then we should hear it from her. And as far as what Jonas was for or against, that's, you know, double 3 4 hearsay. 5 THE COURT: I'll sustain the hearsay objection at this point and we'll see what they say. 6 7 State may continue. 8 Ο. (BY MR. BRAGG) Now, you did talk about Glenn and 9 Judy's change in opinion with regard to this particular 10 case. Now, am I correct in understanding that that 11 occurred during the trial? 12 Α. Yes. While they were watching the proceedings 13 Ο. 14 happening? 15 I think it was somewhat -- I think their opinion Α. 16 changed. I think their opinion kind of evolved over time 17 as they --18 MR. WARE: I'm going to object to 19 speculation --20 MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, he was asking these 21 exact --22 MR. WARE: -- foundation. 23 MR. BRAGG: He was asking these exact same 24 questions on direct. 25 MR. WARE: Well, then it's repetitive.

MR. BRAGG: Well, no. You asked your 1 2 questions -- he asked his questions, now I get to ask mine, Your Honor. 3 I'm going to overrule the 4 THE COURT: 5 objection and allow the cross-examination on this issue. 6 MR. BRAGG: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 (BY MR. BRAGG) So my question was, and maybe Ο. 8 I'll frame it a little different way. Your conversations 9 leading up to trial, was it still your understanding that 10 they had -- that Glenn and Judy had a general opposition 11 to the death penalty? 12 Α. Yes. However, they understood and they 13 respected and they supported -- when Mr. Storey rejected 14 the life sentence, they supported our decision to prosecute him with the knowledge that we were seeking the 15 16 death penalty. And so that -- by support, how do you -- how 17 18 would you define that or how could you better explain 19 that? 20 Α. When I think of support, I think of not only their comments but I think of their actions. 21 I think of 22 the comments that were made to me. I think of their 23 desire to be present during this trial. I think of their

desire to -- to remain a witness to the punishment phase.

There is nothing that required them to sit

24

through this entire trial to interact with us, to be a part of this. And that was their choice. And they understood that we were seeking the death penalty and at no point did they say include me out. They could have left after guilt-innocence, but they chose to stay.

They chose to witness this entire trial, and at the end of the trial -- I mean, in guilt-innocence, after we received the guilty verdict, the Cherrys hugged me and they thanked me. When we received the death sentence, the Cherrys hugged me and they thanked me.

They were present during our closing arguments, and in particular they were present during my closing argument in punishment. When it was all over, Judy said to me, I'm glad we did this. And so when I say support, I'm taking into account that compilation of words and actions.

- Q. Who was the first chair for the prosecution team?
 - A. Robert.
- Q. Who was the first chair for the Defense team?
- A. Bill Ray.

Q. Now, you testified on direct that Robert represented to you that he had had at least a conversation with Bill Ray about the Cherrys' opposition to the death penalty?

- 1 Α. He represented to me that there were multiple 2 conversations, not just one. Okay. And those conversations surrounded --3 Ο. they also entailed the offer for life that was on the 4 5 table; is that correct? As Robert represented to you? 6 Α. Yes. Okay. I want to make sure I understand 7 what you're saying. Robert told me he conveyed a life 8 sentence. 9 Q. Right. 10 Α. Yes. 11 And did he tell you -- I guess my question then Ο. 12 is, did he represent to you that the conversations 13 involving a life sentence and the conversations involving 14 the Cherrys' opposition to the death penalty, were they in 15 any way intertwined or you just don't know? 16 Α. I don't know.
 - Q. Now, you did talk about, though, that when, as far as you were concerned, you can remember yourself having a conversation during the jury selection, I'm sorry, about the Cherrys' opposition to the death penalty?
 - A. Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you had that conversation with defense counsel?
- A. And I -- I -- it would make it much easier if I could tell you who was sitting in the room or whether both

were sitting in the room, but I can't do that. 1 How long was voir dire in this case? 2 Ο. I believe it was four weeks, but I want to 3 Α. 4 check. 5 Well, if I represented to you it was actually Ο. 6 five weeks, would you have any reason to disagree with 7 that? I know it began on July the 21st and we 8 Α. concluded -- the jury was seated and sworn on August the 9 20th. 10 11 So during the portion of that over about a month Ο. time, if not over a month time, you recall a conversation 12 13 taking place? 14 I do. Α. 15 Regarding -- with defense counsel? Ο. 16 Α. I do. Regarding the Cherrys' opposition to the death 17 Ο. 18 penalty? 19 T do. Α. 20 Q. You just simply can't place the exact date it 21 happened on? 22 Α. Right. I remember there being more than one

With defense counsel?

23

24

25

conversation.

Q.

Α.

Yes.

MR. WARE: I would ask that he be specific. 1 2 Defense counsel Bill Ray, Larry Moore, both, or she has no 3 idea. Your Honor, that's a question 4 MR. BRAGG: 5 they can ask on redirect. 6 THE COURT: And I think it's probably 7 already been asked on direct. If you'd like to form that 8 question, you may, but I'm going to permit the question --9 MR. WARE: If counsel just wants to keep it 10 vaque, I quess that's okay. 11 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed 12 with the next question. Thank you, Your Honor. 13 MR. BRAGG: 14 Ο. (BY MR. BRAGG) Regarding the life offer, can you 15 explain why it was left on the table for so long? 16 I think there were a number of reasons why it was left on the table. I think one of those reasons for 17 18 me was -- were the parents of Jonas Cherry. 19 In addition to that, Mr. Moore -- Larry and 20 Bill had expressed tremendous frustration with Mr. Storey. They had expressed that the family did not understand the 21 22 law of parties. And try as they might time and time 23 again, Mr. Storey and his mother did not grasp what they 24 believed was going to happen. 25 In addition to that, Larry and Bill had

been colleagues of ours for many, many years. So it was also a professional courtesy that we extended it longer than I ever had in any other case.

And I would also add that because of my grandmother's death, my personal circumstances, it would have been much easier for Paul Storey to have taken a life sentence. But my personal circumstances do not enter into the decision of the office. And so I really did not feel like my personal -- that I should go to anybody and say, look, in this situation my family is going through this, maybe we should waive the death penalty. I didn't think that played or should play any part in it. So I did not ask for that.

- Q. Did y'all inform the Cherrys of the life offer?
- 15 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

24

- 16 Q. You informed Glenn -- Glenn Cherry?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You informed Judy Cherry?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And you informed Suman Cherry?
- 21 A. Yes.
 - Q. Would you ever make a life offer in a death penalty case or in a case where the death penalty is being sought, would you ever make a life offer without informing the victim's family?

A. No. No.

Q. Did you also inform the Cherrys of the life offer that was given to Mark Porter?

A. Yes.

And when Tim Moore approached during closing argument -- well, he didn't approach during closing argument. Tim Moore came into the courtroom when we were in the middle of closing argument. And once we concluded closing arguments, Tim Moore approached, and I forget if he approached Robert or if he approached me or if we were standing there together, and asked if the life sentence offer for Mark Porter was still on the table.

And we also informed the Cherrys that day.

I don't know if they were still in the courtroom at that point, I suspect they were still in the courtroom, and we told them then, or we told them up in victim assistance.

And -- because we said yes, and Tim was going to go across the street and talk to his client in the jail then.

- Q. You testified the Cherrys were present for the majority of trial, and that would include Glenn and Judy and Suman?
- A. I have a distinct memory of the Cherrys being in the courtroom. I believe Suman also was in the courtroom, but I can't recall if she was there to the extent that the Cherrys were.

- Q. The par-- Glenn and Judy were?
- A. Yes. I believe she was, but I'm not positive.

 Because I think at that time she was living out of town.

 I think she was in Houston I believe at the time.
 - Q. But Glenn and Judy were there for all of guilt-innocence? The majority of guilt-innocence?
 - A. I believe that they were present during all of guilt-innocence but for two witnesses. I know that I remember I gave them -- I explained to them who the next two witnesses or when those witnesses were going to testify, and that would be the pathologist and the crime scene. And it was a particularly brutal crime scene and I did not want the Cherrys to see that if they could avoid it, or at least wanted it to be their choice.
- Q. Did they listen to your closing arguments, the State's closing arguments in guilt-innocence?
- 17 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

- Q. Now, moving to punishment, did they sit through punishment?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. The majority of punishment?
- 22 A. I believe they sat through all of punishment.
- 23 Q. Suman testified during punishment, didn't she?
- A. She did.
- 25 Q. That testimony was quite powerful, wasn't it?

A. It was perhaps one of the most compelling victim impact statements I had heard. And I've tried probably in excess of 200 jury trials. Now, at that time I can't say I tried 200, but I tried in excess of probably 150 at the time that I tried that case.

- Q. We don't need to go through it line by line because the Court can go and read the record. But can you describe why it was so powerful, just generally?
- A. She and Jonas had been married -- they were about to celebrate their first anniversary. They had made the decision to begin their family, and they were about to embark upon trying to have kids. And they were each other's best friends.

And not only was her testimony powerful because of the emotion, but she was very eloquent when she described the loss that she suffered and the heartbreak, that she could not go back and live at that house ever again, that she had to be medicated at night to sleep.

And, you know, there were a number of jurors that were tearful during her testimony.

- Q. But you did not ask Suman whether she believed that Storey should get the death penalty, did you?
 - A. Oh, when she testified, no.
- Q. Right. I'm sorry. Yes. When she's on the stand, you did not ask her in front of the jury?

A. Right. That's correct.

- Q. I know you had some discussion on direct about how or whether or not that testimony was -- would such testimony would be proper. Certainly at that time you didn't think it was proper to ask her that question?
- A. I thought that testimony would be inadmissible to ask her that at that point.
- Q. And I believe on direct you said it was your understanding of the case law that it was clear, or at least that the case law made it clear that, generally speaking, without any kind of reservations to what that testimony would be, victims' families' testimony with regard to the ultimate question of life or death is simply not something that can be asked of a witness; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Had the Defense put on the Cherry family,
 Mr. and Mrs. Cherry to testify as to their opinion on
 death, the general opposition or whatever, would you then
 have responded in kind, and let's say they put that on and
 the judge allows it to go forward, would you have then
 sought to put on Suman as a rebuttal to that?
- A. I can't say 100 percent, but I can say that it would have been a very serious discussion. I probably would have done that.

1	Q. Let me ask you as a defense attorney, would you
2	ever call the a family member of a victim to testify as
3	to the whether or not a defendant should receive life
4	or death?
5	A. I've never been in that position.
6	Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let's say you ever find
7	yourself in that position, do you think you would call
8	them?
9	A. Well, I think that testimony is inadmissible.
10	Q. Do you recall Paul Storey's mother testifying?
11	A. I do.
12	Q. And I believe on direct you discussed somewhat
13	about the conversation that occurred afterwards?
14	A. Uh-huh.
15	Q. That conversation involved Glenn Cherry,
16	correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Now, of course, after whenever a trial
19	reaches a break, either a lunch break or a midday break,
20	it's your experience that, generally speaking, the
21	courtroom kind of becomes abuzz?
22	A. Right.
23	Q. Different conversations break out?
24	A. (Moving head up and down).

You may need to talk to your --

25

Q.

A. Investigator or someone else.

- Q. Investigator, someone else, your co-counsel. You may need to talk to any number of people about any number of things?
 - A. (Moving head up and down).
- Q. Could you -- I'm sorry, could you verbalize that nod?
- A. I'm sorry. Yes, at breaks we would frequently do that. And, you know, we would also turn around to see how the family was doing, if they had any questions, or if they had any comments about a witness or how testimony was going. Or, I mean, generally, you know, we'd ask an investigator, hey, we need to get this next witness ready or can you go get them from victim assistance or has the witness arrived, do we have this. We frequently take breaks and talk to people behind us.
- Q. And so I think we've all experienced this as attorneys in trial. But it's fair to say that you would maybe jump from one conversation to another. You might, while you're talking with your investigator, overhear something and turn to that conversation. Is that fair to say?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So I know you testified on direct you can't recall exactly, you know, at what point in the

conversation that you turned your attention to Glenn Cherry; is that correct?

A. That's right.

- Q. You can't remember exactly --
- A. I mean, I'm trying to remember how -- I know where I was standing. I know where he was standing. I recall Robert standing generally to my right, and I believe that Judy was behind him to his right, my left. And I don't know if I was looking right at him and was listening to another conversation or if I was looking at someone else when he -- when the conversation began.
- Q. So you said you know where you were standing. Were you standing at counsel table?
- A. No. No, no, no. I had turned and can't -- and this courtroom is not exactly -- it's not the same layout as the court we were in. I can't recall if I was this side of the rail or the other side of the rail; in other words, I'd gone past the swinging door.
- O. Uh-huh.
- A. But he approached us.
- 21 O. He being Glenn?
- 22 A. I'm sorry, he being Glenn.
- 23 O. Approached you and Robert?
 - A. Yes. And that had happened from time to time during the trial.

- Q. And so when he approached you and, again, you testified that there was some degree of conversation that occurred right before you turned to -- you turned your attention to Glenn; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.

- Q. But when you did turn your attention to Glenn, at some point during the conversation that you heard, your testimony is that Glenn said -- well, you go ahead and say it in the words that you recall.
- A. He either said, do you want me to or should I testify or tell the jury that we want the death penalty.
- Q. And again, this is before your closing arguments?
 - A. This was before closing arguments.
 - Q. What did that mean to you when he said that?
- A. What that meant to me is that after listening to guilt-innocence and all of the evidence, after listening to all of the punishment phase, and both the Defense mitigation case and our case, that they had reached the opinion that the death penalty was appropriate.
- Q. Going back real quick to Paul Storey's mother -or mother testifying and the other witnesses that
 testified on his behalf and the witnesses that testified
 that his life should be spared, those witnesses were
 speaking of personal stories about Paul Storey?

1 A. Yes.

Q. Sorry for the unintentional word choice there.

When they asked the jury in whatever form or fashion to spare Paul Storey's life, it was generally tied specifically to Paul Storey, to their belief that he is a good person and --

- A. Yes.
- O. -- should be saved?
- A. Yes, it was. And, I mean, there was a mixture of witnesses that testified, his mother, his estranged father, his brother. I believe there were two to three teachers from the alternative school where he graduated. I believe there were two or three friends of his mother's who had ridden the bus with her and had -- had Paul drive their children to and from school. But they all gave personal anecdotes involving Paul.
- Q. So going back to Paul Storey's mother's -mother finishes testifying and you have your conversation
 with Glenn, did that affect your -- how you presented your
 closing arguments?
 - A. Yes, it did.
- Q. Could you describe how?
- A. I think that in light of the case that the Defense presented, that there is an argument that the Cherrys' position --

MR. WARE: I'm going to object as 1 2 He asked how that affected her closing unresponsive. 3 argument. MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, I believe a 4 5 nonresponsive objection would be mine to make. I'm asking 6 the question, I'm perfectly fine with her response. 7 MR. WARE: I think I'm entitled to an 8 unresponsive answer -- objection as well, Your Honor. Ι don't think the State of Texas has an exclusive right to 9 10 making unresponsive objections. 11 THE COURT: I understand that the Defense 12 can raise objections, but I'll just say just respond in a 13 responsive fashion if you will. 14 Ο. (BY MR. BRAGG) So let me ask this question. What were you about to say, please? 15 16 Α. That I wrestled with whether or not tactically 17 or strategically I should have put them on the stand to 18 say that they were in favor of the death penalty. I 19 wrestled with that because we closed that day and we 20 argued the next morning, as I recall. 21 And tell us, when you say you wrestled with it, Ο. 22 I think you even testified on direct that you wrestled 23 with it that night. You don't mean to say that you were 24 contemplating calling them back. You were kind of doing a

25

second-guessing?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Arm-chair quarterback if you will? Ο. 3 Α. Yes. And it wasn't just the Cherrys, it was 4 5 Suman as well. 6 Ο. Could you explain that a little bit? 7 Well, it wasn't just Judy and Glenn Cherry, it Α. 8 was Suman. Tactically, did I make a mistake in not 9 putting them on the stand to express their feelings about 10 the appropriateness of the death penalty. 11 Your closing arguments in this case lasted about 12 15 pages; is that correct? Would you have a reason to 13 disagree with me that they lasted about 15 pages? 14 Α. Thereabouts, yes. No, I would not disagree with 15 you. 16 Q. As far as you're aware, the complaint that's 17 been discussed in the subsequent writ, the complaint that's -- the comment that's been talked about today 18 19 amounts to one sentence, one sentence in specific, 20 correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. Okay. And that sentence is: And it should go 23 without saying that all of Jonas' family and everyone who 24 loved him believe the death penalty is appropriate. 25 Correct? That's your understanding that that's the

sentence we're talking about? 1 2 Α. That was my argument, yes. 3 0. You did not interview all of Jonas' family, did 4 you? I did not. 5 Α. 6 Ο. You did not speak to everyone who loved him? 7 I did not. Α. 8 Ο. You did not put on testimony of -- from all of Jonas' family? 9 10 Α. I did not. 11 You didn't put on testimony from everyone who Ο. loved him? 12 I did not. 13 Α. 14 The jury was aware of that fact? Ο. 15 Α. They were. 16 Q. Once you were done with your closing arguments, 17 and I think you've discussed this a little bit, but what was -- what kind of interactions did you have there in the 18 19 courtroom once you were done with closing arguments? 20 Α. The Cherrys were very grateful. They were very 21 complimentary. They praised our arguments. And I believe 22 they went to victims assistance after that. 23 At some point there was a discussion 24 because -- not contemporaneous but around that same time, 25 Tim Moore approached and asked if the life sentence was

still on the table. That was also a part of the
discussion. But I can't recall whether or not we had that
discussion in the courtroom or whether we had it
upstairs -- well, that was downstairs to us, victims
assistance on the fifth floor.

- Q. Did they mention to you at any point this statement?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did they mention to you at any point of feeling that you had somehow misrepresented --
- A. No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- their opinions?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Were they angry with you at all?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did they mention that you had lied at all?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. After the verdict occurred, did you have any -what conversations, if any, did you have with the Cherrys
 there in the courtroom?
 - A. Anytime a death sentence is imposed, it's not something that anyone celebrates, nor should it be. It's a long, exhausting process, and it's extracts a toll on all of the parties involved and the family as well. But the Cherrys hugged me, they thanked me, and Mrs. Cherry

said, I'm glad we did this. 1 2 When she says this, what do you take from that? Ο. What do you take? 3 The taking --4 Α. 5 MR. WARE: I'm going to object as 6 speculation. 7 MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, I'm simply -- I'm 8 not asking her to speculate as to what Mrs. Cherry said, 9 what Mrs. Cherry meant by this. I'm asking her what did 10 she take from that statement. 11 THE COURT: I'm going to allow the question 12 at this time. Overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: I took it to mean that they 14 appreciated the process and, though it was not easy, they 15 were at peace with the verdict. 16 Q. (BY MR. BRAGG) Because they had gone through the 17 process? 18 Α. Because they had watched the entire trial. 19 Because they had listened to the evidence. Because they 20 learned all of the steps that Paul Storey and his associate went through in preparing and planning and 21 22 carrying out this execution. And they learned of the

hours, when the Cherrys and Suman were devastated, Paul

laughing. And they watched all of that. And it is

Storey and his associate Mark Porter were celebrating and

23

24

painful. But I think -- they understood why there is a 1 2 place for the death penalty. After the trial was over, you received a card 3 Ο. from the Cherrys, did you not? 4 I did. 5 Α. 6 MR. BRAGG: May I approach, Your Honor? 7 THE COURT: Very well. 8 Ο. (BY MR. BRAGG) Ms. Jack, I'm handing you what's 9 been marked as State's Exhibit 1. Do you recognize what 10 this is? 11 Α. I do. 12 Ο. Is it a photocopy of the card that you received from Mr. and Mrs. Cherry? 13 14 Α. It is. 15 Is it a true and correct copy of that card? Ο. 16 It is. Well, the first page is the envelope. 17 The second page is the back of the -- front of the card, excuse me. And the second -- or the third page would be 18 19 the interior of the card that we received. 20 MR. BRAGG: Okay. Your Honor, at this time I'd seek to admit State's Exhibit 1. 21 22 MR. WARE: I don't have any objection, Your 23 Honor. 24 THE COURT: State's Exhibit 1 is admitted

for purposes of this hearing.

1	MR. BRAGG: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	Q. (BY MR. BRAGG) Ms. Jack, if you can, I'd like
3	for you to read the card.
4	MR. WARE: I would object. The Court can
5	read the card.
6	THE COURT: I have it right in front of me.
7	MR. BRAGG: That's fine, Your Honor. What
8	I'd like to do is then just publish the card for the
9	record.
10	THE COURT: Well, it's already an exhibit.
11	MR. BRAGG: It is.
12	MR. WARE: It is published.
13	THE COURT: Do you have a specific
14	objection to her just reading it? I mean, you're right, I
15	can read it. I guess I'm the one that needs to know.
16	MR. WARE: There's no jury here, Your
17	Honor.
18	THE COURT: I know.
19	MR. BRAGG: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor.
20	I'll just ask her specific questions in sentences.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	MR. BRAGG: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	MR. WARE: Your Honor, we'll stipulate that
24	the Cherrys were very gracious, that the Cherrys were very
25	grateful that this that Paul Storey was prosecuted.

We'll stipulate that certainly at the time they were very 1 2 fond of Christy. But I really don't see the point in 3 wallowing in this right now. And I object. MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, I'm simply -- there 4 5 are statements in this card that I believe are important 6 not just to what Mr. -- I mean, when the Cherrys are here, 7 I fully intend to ask them about the card. But I would 8 also like to know in Christy's mind what did this card say 9 to her. There are very specific statements here. I want 10 to know what they said to her. 11 MR. WARE: It doesn't matter because the 12 card is afterwards. What matters is what was in her mind 13 when she gave this outside-the-record, illegal argument 14 that was not even true. 15 MR. BRAGG: I would argue, Your Honor, that 16 it goes to what Christy's state of mind was at the time 17 that she made the argument showing that, in fact, her 18 mindset at the time that she made the argument, she had 19 even evidence afterwards that showed her that that mindset 20 was, in fact, correct. 21 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the 22 objection and allow the State to develop the record for 23 purposes of this hearing. 24 MR. BRAGG: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 (BY MR. BRAGG) So in this card, I believe it's Q.

the second sentence here, they write: We were genuinely 1 2 impressed by your professionalism and competence, some of it was masterful. Is that correct? 3 That's correct. Well, that's correct that 4 5 that's what they said. I don't know if it really was 6 masterful. 7 Ο. But that is indeed what they wrote. 8 The very next sentence says: We felt like 9 we had someone who could speak on Jonas' and our behalfs 10 (sic). Is that correct? 11 That is correct. Α. 12 Ο. And then the last sentence there, I guess really 13 second to last sentence: Lastly, though it was difficult to go through the trial, we were also very comforted by 14 15 some of what we learned. Is that stated there? 16 Α. It is. And "very" is underlined twice? 17 Ο. 18 Α. And I would also add that they also appreciated 19 the attention to the family during the process. 20 Q. Thank you. 21 And just to clarify, it is signed down there both Judy and Glenn? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 So then I would ask to you what -- again, you Q.

are not -- and I'm going to assume that it might Judy

writing this, although it could have been Glenn. But whoever wrote this, you aren't that person, but I want to know what this card means to you.

A. This card embodies --

MR. WARE: What this card means to her after the trial, Your Honor, is irrelevant and is self-serving.

MR. BRAGG: Again, Your Honor, I would just simply say it goes to her mindset at the time that she was making the argument because we think that it will show that her mindset at the time she made the argument, that she had even evidence after the argument, weeks later even, that bolstered her thought process.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection at this time and permit the development of the record.

MR. BRAGG: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: This card embodied what I took to be the Cherrys' position about the trial, about any questions that they may have had, that they were all answered, that I was there for them, and that I truly was echoing or expressing their sentiment when she said, and thank you, we felt like we had someone who could speak on Jonas' and our behalfs(sic). If I was not speaking on their behalf, if I was uttering a sentence that was not

their wishes or inconsistent with their behavior, I can't imagine they would have written that in the card.

And by the same token, Mrs. Cherry -Dr. Cherry, excuse me, is a psychiatrist. She is not an
unsophisticated woman nor is she uneducated. She
understands that words have a meaning, and she chose the
term "professional." I mean, she understands ethics and
professionalism, and what she wrote in there is that she
thanked us for our professionalism.

If we had said something that was contradictory to what they had said or what they had believed or what they had led us to believe, I don't believe she would have chosen that word.

Q. (BY MR. BRAGG) Now, regarding what they believed, and I do want to clarify this because I think this is -- this might even be a little difficult to understand, but I want to make sure we're clear here.

Your contention is not that they went from being generally opposed to the death penalty and then generally in favor of the death penalty in all cases?

A. That's correct.

- Q. Your contention then is what, when you talk about kind of the shift of the curve, what would you say that shift is?
 - A. This specific case and these specific

circumstances surrounding their son's execution and the punishment phase that they witnessed as well.

- Q. Including the fact that Paul Storey had the opportunity for life --
- A. Including the fact -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean to --
 - Q. Go ahead.

- A. Including the fact that both Paul Storey and Mark Porter had the opportunity to accept a life sentence.
 - Q. And chose not to?
- A. And chose not to.
- 12 Q. At least Paul Storey chose not to.
 - A. Right. Right.

And at the time that this card was sent, this card would have been sent, as best I can tell, after Mark Porter accepted his life sentence. And there's nothing in this card to indicate we never knew life was on table. We never knew a life sentence was offered. Why wasn't Paul Storey given the same opportunity that Mark Porter was given. So I think this card is important because it's not only what it says but what it does not say.

Q. You executed an affidavit or at least there's an affidavit that you signed that is attached to the writ, you're aware of that?

1	A. I am. The affidavit was prepared, though, in
2	connection as what was represented to me for clemency
3	purposes.
4	Q. How how were you approached to execute the
5	affidavit?
6	A. A friend of mine by the name of Joetta Keene
7	approached me.
8	Q. And this was the same Joetta Keene that you are
9	currently co-counsel with?
10	A. Same Joetta Keene that I am currently co-counsel
11	on two capital murder cases.
12	Q. And just for to help out the court reporter,
13	could you spell Joetta Keene?
14	A. I think it's J-O-E-T-T-A, and I believe it's
15	K-E-E-N-E.
16	Q. Were you aware that the subsequent writ was
17	being prepared at the time of clemency or, you know, I
18	don't know that it was, but were you aware did they
19	discuss at all with you the fact that they were preparing
20	a subsequent writ?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Were you asked any questions about these facts
23	at that time, at the time you executed the affidavit?
24	A. Initially, no. The affidavit was for clemency
25	purposes. Joetta had indicated that the Cherrys now no

longer wanted him to be put to death. And I was and I am fond of the Cherrys, and I feel badly for them. And I executed an affidavit at her request.

And at some point, the conversation turned to -- I remember asking her, is there some writ, and she really didn't know much about it. And I had a subsequent conversation with Mike Ware having to do with my affidavit. I certainly didn't execute this affidavit for purposes of the writ. Let's put it that way. And that's not what I was told it was going to be used for.

- Q. Now, when opposing counsel is asking you about -- basically asking for your opinion as to the character of various attorneys, I believe there's one attorney that they did not ask about, and that was your co-counsel in this case. How many -- you had tried cases with Robert Foran before? Or you at least tried cases with Robert Foran in addition to this case?
- A. I actually think this is the one and only case we ever tried together.
 - Q. But you worked together?
- A. Yes.

- Q. How many years did y'all work together at the office -- at the district attorney's office?
- A. He left before I did, and he retired or resigned, would have been December of 2014. And so we

would have worked together almost 24 years -- well, in the same office. I can't say that we always worked together in the same court, that type of thing.

- Q. Sure. Did you believe Robert to be an honest attorney?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you believe him to be a forthright attorney?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you believe him to seek justice in cases?
- A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And that doesn't just mean that -- justice does 12 not mean a conviction, does it?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Justice means the right result?
- A. Robert and I have both been confronted with difficult situations from time to time, sometimes having to dismiss cases as serious as a capital murder.
 - Q. I'd actually like to ask you a follow-up question to that. During your prosecutorial career, apart from capital cases, because I believe you did testify on direct that this was kind of separate and apart from any other situation you'd encountered, correct, where some members of the victim's family were opposed to the death penalty?
 - A. Right.

1	Q. But you certainly had other cases as a
2	prosecutor where that either the victim themselves or
3	the victim's family was not in favor of pursuing guilt or
4	not in favor of seeking a stronger punishment?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. How have you dealt with that in those
7	situations?
8	A. We've disclosed it to the Defense in each case.
9	Domestic violence cases, prime example of a case where
10	most victims do not want to cooperate with nor do they
11	want to prosecute the offender.
12	I spent a number of years in crimes against
13	children and many of those cases involved mothers of
14	victims who did not want to see their boyfriend, husband,
15	paramour prosecuted. There were also cases where the
16	victims themselves, they were children, and they did not
17	want to see their parents prosecuted. In each of those
18	cases I disclosed that evidence to the opposing counsel.
19	MR. BRAGG: No further questions, Your
20	Honor. Pass the witness.
21	THE COURT: Any other questions from the
22	Applicant at this time?
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. WARE:
٥-	

Q. Christy, I believe the conversation you and I

had about the affidavit, and we were talking about clemency, I remember you asked me, well, I'm not going to give -- or something like, are you going to come after me at some point? You asked me something such as that. Do you recall that?

- A. I said, Mike, are you getting ready to allege some kind of misconduct?
- Q. Well, maybe that's what you said, something like that. And my answer was, I probably will before this is all over with. You remember that? You said, I appreciate your honesty.
 - A. That was not your answer.
 - Q. Okay. Well, that was my answer.

Well, let me ask you this. This affidavit which was used in the clemency, but as I assume has been explained to you, that won't be decided until the judicial proceeding is decided. Is there something you want to change in that affidavit?

A. No.

- Q. Okay. So sometime during jury selection, I believe your testimony is that somehow it's disclosed to Larry and Bill that the Cherrys are against the death penalty, that Mr. and Mrs. Cherry, Jonas Cherry's parents are against the death penalty, correct?
 - A. I think it was disclosed prior to jury

selection, prior to -
O. Okay. But you

- Q. Okay. But you were there when it was talked about?
- A. Talked about, yes, I'm sorry. You said disclose. I have a different meaning of the word "disclose."
- Q. And Larry and Bill, did they say: Wait a minute, you're telling me the victim's parents are opposed to the death penalty but y'all are going forward with death anyway?
- A. No, they did not.
 - Q. There was no discussion like that?
- A. No.
 - Q. There was no discussion like: Well, waive the death penalty. If the parents are against the death penalty, this is craziness. Why are y'all going forward with the death penalty when the parents are against the death penalty? There was no discussion like that?
- A. No.
 - Q. Did Larry or Bill go: I've never heard of such a situation. This is going to be the talk of the courthouse, that the State's going forward with a death penalty case when the parents are clearly against the death penalty?
- A. No.

Q. Did they say: Do you mind if we go talk to
Levy, since you don't want to talk to them, do you mind if
we go talk to Levy and Curry and make sure that they're
aware of this outrageous situation where the State is
seeking the death penalty and the parents don't want the
death penalty? That never came up?

A. No.

- Q. Now, you knew the Cherrys before all this, we talked about that. You knew -- you were acquainted with them?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you knew them to be intelligent, thoughtful people?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. You didn't -- you didn't know before this, you didn't know they were against the death penalty, or did you, before this murder?
- 18 A. No, I had no idea.
 - Q. Okay. I mean, they're not somebody that's parading it around everywhere, they just were against the death penalty?
 - A. Let me back up. When I say acquaintances, I only recall having met Glenn. I might have met Judy before then. But my recollection -- I mean, I can see Glenn at their shrubs between our houses, and that's where

- I would talk to him because we had adjoining driveways.

 And so I remember that. I don't really remember many

 conversations, if any, at all with Judy.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And so when I say, I mean --
 - Q. I gotcha. Glenn is a nice man?
 - A. Very nice man.
 - Q. Soft spoken?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Thoughtful?
- 11 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And, of course, Judy is a professional, as you say, a psychiatrist in a women's prison, correct?
 - A. I think she's the head -- if I'm not mistaken, I think she's the head psychiatrist for the federal bureau of women's prison. I may have --
 - Q. During this when you found out they were opposed to the death penalty, it didn't really -- I mean, you'd never thought about it before, but it didn't really surprise you, did it?
 - A. Did it surprise me that?
- Q. That they were the sort of people that would be opposed to the death penalty.
- 24 A. I don't know that I really thought about it.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- A. Whether I would be surprised or not.
- Q. Okay. But it wasn't the sort of thing where you went, did not see that coming. You just -- you kind of took it in stride, I guess?
 - A. Uh-huh. Yes, I'm sorry.
 - Q. But it was very clear that they were opposed to the death penalty?
 - A. That they were -- they had a general opposition to the death penalty.
 - Q. Well, I mean, have you read where they had also said that they don't want Paul Storey's mother to go through this?
- 13 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

- Q. And that would be particular to this case, wouldn't it?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. That's not just generally against but it's this case as well?
- 19 A. I'm sorry, I thought you were asking me about years ago.
- Q. And so you liked them, they liked you. And, of course, this was a very vulnerable time in their life, was it not?
- A. I can only imagine.
- Q. Yeah, I can only imagine too.

And they were very dependent on you and Robert, probably you more than Robert to sort of guide them through this strange system up here that we call the criminal justice system, right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And once again, they're not complainers, they're the kind of people who are grateful for every act of kindness shown them, correct?
- A. I can't really speak to that. I can only speak to my relationship with them.
- Q. Okay. Well, you've probably had victims or victims' families that were a lot of trouble or very demanding or very needy or whatever, and they didn't fit into that category?
 - A. No, they did not.
- Q. And they were gung ho about this case being prosecuted. And they were gung ho -- they never made any bones about how they wanted both Paul Storey and Mark Porter locked up without any possibility of ever getting out of prison. They were very firm on that, were they not?
- A. I think that probably their concern came in in listening as well to Larry Fitzgerald's testimony about if Mark Porter and Paul Storey were given a life sentence, where they would be in prison and that they would be in

general population. And so I think they learned that it's not as secure as the Defense wanted the jury to believe.

MR. WARE: Well, let me object to that as unresponsive and let me see if I can get you to answer my question.

- Q. (BY MR. WARE) They were very -- they never wavered. They didn't come in saying, uh, you know, they were very firm that they wanted him prosecuted. They wanted both of them prosecuted, and they wanted both of them to serve the rest of their lives in prison. They didn't waiver at all about that, correct?
 - A. I think that's a fair statement.
 - Q. But they were against the death penalty?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And in all fairness, there is a difference between life and death, is there not?
- A. Of course there is.
 - Q. A huge difference, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So for them, there's nothing really inconsistent with them being all gung ho for the prosecution and being very much in favor of and grateful to you for being part of the prosecution and being in favor of Paul Storey being locked up in prison for the rest of his life, there's nothing inconsistent with any of

that while at the same time philosophically, spiritually, ethically being against the death penalty. There's nothing inconsistent there, is there?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Now, if what you have testified to here under oath is true, Glenn Cherry at least had this transformation that he communicated I guess to you and Robert as you related after the close of punishment evidence, correct?
- A. Well, it wouldn't have been after the close. Both sides had not closed.
 - Q. Okay. But you were about to?
- A. I think it was after the conclusion of the Defense case.
 - Q. Okay. And so I guess -- I mean, obviously, if what you say is true, what you've testified to here under oath about that conversation, and that's what you relied on in making your argument that we talked about; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. The argument was still outside the record, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And assuming for a moment that it is improper for the State to put on evidence or information about how

the victim's family feels about the death penalty, 1 assuming that that's correct, that information was -- that 2 information shouldn't have been put before the jury in the 3 matter of an argument either, should it? 4 5 Well, I think in argument there's a little bit -- I mean, I would agree it's outside the record and it's 6 7 an argument that should not have been made. 8 O. Okay. Thank you. And that's even if it's true, even if it 9 10 was true what you argued, correct? 11 It was true, Mike. Α. 12 Ο. Well, even if it was true, it's still improper, 13 correct? 14 Α. Yes. So -- but you understand that Glenn and 15 Ο. Okay. 16 Judith Cherry now say that it was not true. 17 understand that, correct? 18 Α. I don't know what they say now. 19 Okay. Well, is it your position that from the Ο. 20 time -- you understand that they're against the death penalty now, correct? 21 22 Α. I understand that they do not want to see Paul 23 Storey executed for their son's murder. 24 Ο. So is it your position that they were against

the death penalty, then they were for the death penalty,

and now they're against the death penalty again?

- A. I don't think it's quite as black and white as you're laying out, but generally, yes.
- Q. So you said -- do you remember saying this to the Star-Telegram: Jack says she understands if the parents have changed their minds about the death penalty over time and would support whatever decision is made regarding Storey. Do you remember saying that?
 - A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

- Q. And so what you're saying there is they must have changed their minds, they must have -- you know, for the last time you talked to them, they were for the death penalty and they must have changed their minds?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. Would it surprise you to know that that statement they read in the paper made them very angry?
- A. I have not talked to them in probably -- well, since the trial. So I wouldn't know how they reacted to it.
 - Q. If they say that's not true at all, would that surprise you?
 - A. That would surprise me.
- Q. They -- and I believe they've said this in their video. Have you seen the video?
 - A. I have.

I believe it says in the video and on their 1 Ο. 2 letter they were never told that Paul Storey was ever offered a life sentence. 3 MR. BRAGG: At this point, Your Honor, we'd 4 5 object that we're now talking about stuff that isn't a 6 part of the record of this hearing. 7 THE COURT: Any response to that? 8 MR. WARE: Your Honor, she said she's seen 9 the video and I want to get her reaction to it. 10 THE COURT: Well, I'll permit it for 11 purposes of developing a record. We'll see -- I'll have 12 to weigh all this eventually, anyway. So I'm going to go ahead and allow it. 13 (BY MR. WARE) Are they, likewise, inaccurate or 14 Ο. 15 untruthful about that, that they were never told Paul 16 Storey was offered a life sentence? I would never say that they are intentionally 17 being deceitful. I don't know if they're misremembering. 18 19 I don't know if they're mistaken. I don't know if they 20 feel quilty over time. I don't know the reasons behind 21 why they're saying what they're saying. 22 Q. Do you know if they even heard your argument? 23 Α. I don't know how they could not hear my argument 24 because they were very close.

Were you yelling it out?

25

Q.

A. Were they --

- Q. Were you yelling it out?
- A. I don't know the volume. But, I mean, I certainly wasn't -- it was loud enough for the entire jury to hear.
- Q. And you said that they never came up to you afterwards and chided you for that argument or ever brought it up specifically, I guess.

What about -- what about your co-counsel or Larry or Bill in particular, did they ever come up -- I know they didn't object in trial, but they thought the Cherrys were still against the death penalty. So when you made that argument, if you disclosed to them as you testified to under oath several times that the Cherrys were against the death penalty, they never came up to you and said, what was -- what was with that argument? The whole deal here is the Cherrys are against the death penalty and you just argued the opposite. And then you had the opportunity to go, well, guess what, Glenn Cherry just told me. That never happened?

- A. That never happened.
- Q. And you're saying that the reason that -- the reason they didn't object at trial and the reason that never happened, you're saying that you have no idea why, because they knew -- they knew the Cherrys were against

the death penalty?

- A. I do not know why they did not object.
- Q. Or why they confronted you afterwards -- or why they didn't confront you afterwards. I mean, normally in most cases that would be true, wouldn't it? Normally in most cases, probably the victim's family and everyone who loved them might be for the death penalty. I mean, that wouldn't be an unusual situation, right? That a victim's family would be for the death penalty?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. What was extraordinary about this case is that the victim's family felt the opposite, they were against the death penalty. That was what was unusual and extraordinary about this case, right?
 - A. Well, not the widow.
- Q. Okay. But I'm talking about the parents.
- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. I mean -- I mean, Jonas was their only child, right?
 - A. He was their only child, yes.
 - Q. So I guess what you're saying is the reason it's coming up now is because -- not because they felt opposed to the death penalty before the murder, they felt they were opposed to the death penalty during the proceeding, and they are opposed to the death penalty now and have

always been opposed to the death penalty. What you're saying is, the reason it's coming up now is because they were against it, then they were for it, and now they're against it again, and so they've wavered?

MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor. She's already said that she hadn't spoken to them in years. She doesn't know why, as he says, this is coming up now.

THE COURT: I think you may have already developed that with her.

MR. WARE: Okay. Your Honor, I'll move on.

- Q. (BY MR. WARE) So do you know at what point the Cherrys changed their mind? Do you have any idea?
 - A. No.

- Q. So if, in fact, what you're saying is true -- in fact, at the time -- I mean, assuming for the moment that what you've testified to here under oath, that the Cherrys actually transformed to in favor of the death penalty in this case, in fact, if say Bob Ford had gone and talked to them, according to what you say, at that point they may have still been for the death penalty; is that correct?
 - A. I have no idea.
- Q. Okay. So let me ask you this. If, in fact, there's an offer of life in a death penalty case and if it's turned down for whatever reason by the defendant, or by counsel, anyway if it's rejected, you agree that, in

fact, there's virtually no reason to go talk to the family 1 of the victim? 2 You mean if you're a defense attorney or 3 Α. 4 prosecutor? 5 Defense attorney, yes. Ο. 6 Α. No, I wouldn't agree with that. 7 You as a regular habit go talk to the victim's Ο. 8 family in a death penalty case? 9 Α. I've not tried one for the death penalty, no. 10 Ο. So you've never done it? 11 No, but I have done it on a serious case, but it 12 was not a capital murder case. 13 Ο. Did you at any point tell counsel that the 14 family didn't want to talk to them, didn't want to talk to defense counsel? 15 16 Α. I did not. 17 Ο. Or might have Robert? 18 Α. He might have. I did not. 19 You would agree that there certainly would be Ο. 20 some risk in defense counsel going and talking to the 21 family of the victim in a murder case such as this? 22 Α. I don't think there's any risk to it, no. 23 Okay. So you don't think there's a chance that O. 24 it would antagonize them or offend them or anything along 25 those lines?

A. I think it depends on how you handle the family.

And I think if you take an investigator with you as an independent witness, then, no, I don't think there's a risk.

Q. Okay. So --

- A. In fact, I don't even know if it was around that time, the defense initiated victim outreach program. I don't know when that came into being. But that is specifically for or designed for someone to approach victims' families, as I understand it.
- Q. Okay. But you've never tried a death penalty case as a defense lawyer?
 - A. No, I have not.
 - Q. You wouldn't fault a death penalty defense lawyer in a particular case for not seeking to talk to the victim's parents?
 - A. I would have if I were a defense attorney. If I tried a death penalty case, if that ever happens, then I would endeavor to talk to the family members.
- Q. Did you endeavor to facilitate that in this case?
 - A. Did I endeavor to facilitate it?
- 23 Q. Yeah, the Defense talking to the family.
- A. No. I don't know that they ever approached me about talking to Suman or the parents.

1	Q. Do you know whether they would have talked to
2	defense counsel if they'd approached them?
3	A. They're very nice people. I have no reason to
4	think they wouldn't, but I don't know that for a fact.
5	And I can also tell you, Mike, that in the
6	past if
7	Q. So let me ask you, so are you saying that you
8	are faulting Larry Moore and Bill Ray and Bob Ford and
9	Mark Daniel and Tim Moore, you are faulting them, are you
10	saying you are faulting them for never talking to Mr. and
11	Mrs. Cherry?
12	A. I'm saying I don't know their reasons for not
13	contacting them.
14	Q. That wasn't my question. Are you faulting them
15	for not doing it?
16	A. I don't know their reasons for not doing it.
17	They might
18	Q. I know you don't know the reasons. But are you
19	faulting them for not doing it?
20	A. I don't think it's mine to place fault on them.
21	Q. So you're not faulting them for not doing it?
22	MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor, it's
23	been asked and answered.
24	MR. WARE: Well, it's been asked and
25	THE COURT: I'll allow the response to the

last question if she has one. 1 2 Ο. (BY MR. WARE) Are you faulting them or are you 3 not faulting them for not talking to the Cherry family, the -- Jonas Cherry's parents, for not seeking them out, 4 5 banging on their door, and talking to -- and seeking to 6 talk to Jonas Cherry's parents? 7 Α. I am not faulting them. 8 Ο. Thank you. 9 I do not know their reasons why they did not Α. 10 contact them. 11 I take it that you still stand by your affidavit Ο. 12 and you would have no objection to the governor commuting 13 Paul Storey's sentence to life in the penitentiary without 14 the possibility of parole?

MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor,

16 relevance.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WARE: Well, she's gone in and even implied there's something improper about that.

MR. BRAGG: She didn't imply any such thing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, it's irrelevant to this proceeding. I know clemency is a different issue.

MR. HAMPTON: This is the reason it's relevant. It's not exactly to this proceeding but it is to the other motion that was made at the beginning of the

hearing, which is a -- in other words, if everybody in Tarrant County is fine with commuting the death sentence to life, then why can't we do that.

And that goes to the motion stated earlier about the dis-- about your ability to appoint an attorney pro tem from Tarrant County to make a decision that would be unanimously supported by every character involved in this case except for the Attorney General's Office.

MR. BRAGG: And, Your Honor, that right there he just stated it goes to clemency. This is not a clemency proceeding. The CCA gave us a very -- they gave us five merit determinations and a procedural issue to deal with and that's it. Not Ms. Jack --

MR. HAMPTON: Judge, you have much greater authority than that. You're able to appoint people if you want or not, and that motion is there. And, you know, if you have the very prosecutor who first offered life, then successfully got death in a position to tell the governor, being one of the officials to commute a death to life, you might be persuaded to grant our request. And that's the relevancy of it.

THE COURT: Well, I understand your purpose of bringing it up. I don't think it's relevant to what we're doing. I don't think it's relevant to what the Court of Criminal Appeals asked to be determined.

But she's acknowledged already making the 1 2 affidavit. I'm going to sustain the objection at this 3 time, but I think she's given an affidavit. that's clear. 4 5 MR. WARE: Can we get the answer for the 6 record? 7 THE COURT: I may not consider it, but I 8 will allow the answer just so it's out there. But I may not consider it relevant. 9 10 MR. BRAGG: But just to be clear, Your 11 Honor, now this is an offer like a bill of exception. You 12 have sustained the objection. 13 THE COURT: I sustained the objection. Ιf 14 you want to develop what it would be, you may do so. (BY MR. WARE) Do you remember the question? 15 Ο. 16 Α. No. I know it had to do with my affidavit. 17 Yeah. Ο. 18 Α. And I think what you were asking me was, did I 19 -- I would have no objection to him receiving a life 20 sentence, and I think what my affidavit said was that I was sympathetic to the Cherrys' feelings and would respect 21 22 whatever decision Governor Abbott deems appropriate. 23 Ο. And you stand by that? 24 Α. I do stand by that. 25 Q. And would you be willing to talk to the

1	governor?
2	A. Yes, I would.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	THE COURT: All right. Additional
5	questions from the State then?
6	MR. BRAGG: No further questions, Your
7	Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. I'm sure that y'all
9	may want to keep her on call if necessary.
10	MR. WARE: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Any issue with her going about
12	her way at this time?
13	MR. WARE: No, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Well, you may step down at this
15	time.
16	MR. WARE: We'd ask that she be reminded
17	she's under the rule.
18	THE COURT: I was going to say, please
19	remain available in case you're needed. Remember you're
20	still under oath and under the rule.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23	(Witness leaves courtroom)
24	THE COURT: Okay. It's 2:45. We've been
25	proceeding about an hour and a half, or almost I think.

1	So why don't we take a short recess and then get the next
2	witness lined up. Thank you.
3	(Recess)
4	(Open court, defendant present)
5	THE COURT: Back on the record then. It's
6	my understanding that counsel has agreed, because of the
7	next witness' travel schedule, to go ahead and take Bob
8	Gill out of order; is that correct?
9	MR. OTTOWAY: That is correct, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Anything else that needs to be
11	put on the record besides that?
12	MR. WARE: I don't think so, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: The State is calling?
14	MR. OTTOWAY: The State as Respondent would
15	be calling Judge Robert Gill.
16	THE COURT: Let me have you raise your
17	right hand and be sworn.
18	(Witness sworn)
19	THE COURT: The State may proceed.
20	MR. OTTOWAY: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	ROBERT GILL,
22	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. OTTOWAY:
25	Q. Judge Gill, could you state your name for the

record, please. 1 Robert Gill. 2 Α. And could you tell the Court what your current 3 Ο. 4 profession is? 5 Α. I'm a criminal defense attorney in Tarrant 6 County. 7 Ο. Okay. And in September of 2008, how were you 8 employed? I was an assistant criminal district attorney in 9 Α. 10 Tarrant County. 11 And in that capacity, what was your specific Ο. 12 position within the district attorney's office? 13 Α. I was a supervisor over the chief prosecutors 14 assigned to several of the Tarrant County district courts. 15 I was what was known as a super chief at the time. 16 Q. And how many -- how many chiefs would you supervise at any given time? 17 18 Four to seven. Α. 19 And in September of 2008, were you Robert 20 Foran's direct supervisor? 21 Α. I don't recall. 22 Ο. Do you recall having any conversations with 23 Robert Foran about the Paul Storey case? 24 Α. I do. 25 And can you tell the Court what that discussion Q.

1 was?

- A. The way I remember it, late one day, late one afternoon Mr. Foran came to me -- or he and I met out in the hallway, actually. I think he was in jury selection at the time. And we had a discussion about whether or not he should give the Defense some information regarding the victim's family's stance on the death penalty.
- Q. And just so that we're clear, this is the victim of Paul Storey -- or the parents of the victim in the Paul Storey case?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that discussion, do you recall what you said to Mr. Foran?
 - A. I told him that he ought to inform the Defense about it.
 - Q. Okay. And did you have any other discussions with Mr. Foran about the Paul Storey case at all besides that discussion?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Do you know whether he disclosed the position of the parents?
- A. All I know is that it was his inclination to do
 that, and he was kind of using me to verify that he was
 doing the right thing.
 - Q. Did you have any other discussion about the

1	Storey case with Mr. Foran?
2	A. I don't recall having any other discussion.
3	Q. Do you recall having any discussion with Christy
4	Jack?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Did you have any other interaction with the Paul
7	Storey case?
8	A. No.
9	MR. OTTOWAY: Nothing further, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Defense may cross-examine the
11	witness then at this time.
12	MR. WARE: Sure.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. WARE:
15	Q. Bob, we've known each other a long time; is that
16	correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. You don't mind if I don't call you judge, do
19	you?
20	A. That's fine. I'm kind of stuck up here.
21	Q. What's that?
22	A. I'm kind of stuck up here. I have to answer
23	your questions no matter what you call me.
24	Q. So I think you said you had this discussion with
25	Robert Foran, but you have no idea what he did with what

you told him he should do, correct? 1 2 Α. Correct. 3 Ο. He may have disclosed it, he may not have 4 disclosed it? 5 MR. OTTOWAY: Speculative, Your Honor. 6 MR. WARE: How is that speculation? 7 THE COURT: I'll sustain that objection. 8 Ο. (BY MR. WARE) Well, you know -- you don't know that he did disclose it? 9 10 MR. OTTOWAY: Your Honor, he answered that. 11 He doesn't know what Mr. Foran did. 12 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to allow -- go 13 ahead and allow the question and answer. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know what he did with it. 15 16 Q. (BY MR. WARE) Okay. Or didn't do with it. 17 Α. 18 Q. Okay. Do you know -- could the date have been 19 even earlier than that or do you know? All I know is it was sometime after July 1st 20 Α. 21 of 2008. 22 Ο. Because you were -- that's when you came back to 23 the office; is that correct? 24 Α. That's correct. 25 Q. Now, they suggested September. Is that

something they suggested or is that something that -- that 1 2 you think it was September? I don't know when it was. All I know is it was 3 after July 1st of 2008. I don't know specifically what 4 day of the week or what month it was. 5 Okay. Could have been July 2nd? 6 Ο. 7 Could have been. Could have been July 1st. 8 Ο. Okay. But I assume from the tenor of the 9 conversation that at least at that point, whenever it was, the information that the Cherrys -- the information as you 10 11 understood it was that the victim's parents were against 12 the death penalty, correct? 13 Α. Somebody in the family was against the death 14 penalty or didn't believe in the death penalty or 15 something to that effect. 16 Q. Okay. Somebody close -- some close family 17 member? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. And he obviously had enough question Ο. 20 about it that he asked you or talked to you about it? 21 MR. OTTOWAY: Speculation, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule the 23 objection and allow a response. THE WITNESS: My recollection was that his 24 25 inclination was to turn it over and he was just verifying

- that I thought he would be doing the right thing if he did
 that.
- Q. (BY MR. WARE) Do you know who the defense attorneys were?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Do you know Larry Moore?
- 7 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. Is Larry Moore a very competent attorney?
- 9 A. Very.
- 10 Q. Very honest attorney?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Very honest person?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. A lot of integrity?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Very diligent?
- 17 A. I think so.
- Q. Bill Ray was the other attorney, you know him?
- 19 A. I know Bill.
- Q. Would you say all the same things about Bill
- 21 Ray?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Bob Ford was the writ attorney, the state writ
- 24 | attorney. Would you say the same things about Bob Ford?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q. Tenacious?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Diligent?
4	A. Uh-huh. Correct.
5	Q. Okay. Did Robert Foran indicate he had asked
6	anybody else this question about what he should do with
7	this information that the Cherrys were against the death
8	penalty?
9	A. I do not recall I do not recall discussing
10	that with him.
11	Q. Did you tell anybody about it?
12	A. Not that I recall.
13	Q. Okay. So why did you think it was proper to
14	disclose it?
15	A. I thought it might be mitigating. Or at least
16	it's a close enough call that, in my opinion, you just
17	turn it over and be done with it.
18	Q. Do you do you have any idea why Robert Foran
19	did not list this information in his written Brady
20	disclosure?
21	MR. OTTOWAY: Be speculative, Your Honor.
22	THE WITNESS: No.
23	MR. WARE: He's already answered, Your
24	Honor.
25	THE COURT: He has answered.

1	MR. OTTOWAY: Then I would move to strike,
2	Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: I'm going to overrule that
4	request.
5	MR. OTTOWAY: I apologize, I did not hear
6	the answer.
7	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Sometimes you have
8	to listen out of both ears. He did respond.
9	MR. WARE: The answer is no.
10	MR. OTTOWAY: Thank you.
11	Q. (BY MR. WARE) I mean, you didn't say anything
12	like, yeah, disclose it but don't put it in writing in
13	your Brady disclosure. You didn't say anything like that,
14	did you?
15	A. No.
16	MR. WARE: I'll pass the witness.
17	THE COURT: Any other questions from the
18	State?
19	MR. OTTOWAY: A few, Your Honor.
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. OTTOWAY:
22	Q. When I asked you about September of 2008, did I
23	ask you whether that disclosure occurred in 2008? I asked
24	where you were working in September of 2008, correct?
25	A. Correct.

1	Q. With respect to Christy Jack, do you have an
2	opinion about her truthfulness?
3	A. I would have the same opinion of Christy Jack
4	and Robert Foran that I expressed as to Mr. Moore,
5	Mr. Ray, and Mr. Ford.
6	Q. And that would be that they are truthful and
7	forthright?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Now, when you say or when you said that your
10	opinion was that they should turn it over, you're not
11	saying that's a conclusion of law, are you?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Just your opinion?
14	A. My opinion.
15	MR. OTTOWAY: Nothing further, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Any other questions from the
17	Defense or the Applicant?
18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. WARE:
20	Q. If it was something that needed to be disclosed
21	to the Defense, would it also go without saying that if it
22	needs to be disclosed, it should have been disclosed very
23	promptly to the Defense at the soonest time?
24	MR. OTTOWAY: Your Honor, that's
25	speculative.

1	Q. (BY MR. WARE) Well, if it's something that needs
2	to be disclosed to the Defense such as what we're talking
3	about, would there be any reason you could think of to
4	hang on to it for weeks or months before disclosing it?
5	MR. OTTOWAY: Again, that's speculative,
6	Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule the
8	objection, allow the response.
9	THE WITNESS: It's not something I would
10	hold on to for weeks or months, but I didn't see it as
11	anything that was an emergency either.
12	Q. (BY MR. WARE) So it should have been disclosed
13	promptly like any other Brady?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	MR. WARE: Pass the witness.
16	THE COURT: Any other questions?
17	MR. OTTOWAY: Nothing further, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Is there further need of Judge
19	Gill from either side?
20	MR. OTTOWAY: No, Your Honor.
21	MR. WARE: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. Then you may step
23	down and you may go about your way. I understand you have
24	a trip planned for tomorrow.
25	THE WITNESS: I do. May I be excused?

1	THE COURT: You may be excused.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
3	THE COURT: Off-the-record discussion.
4	(Discussion off the record)
5	THE COURT: We appear to have run ourselves
6	out of witnesses about 3:10 p.m. Mr. Foran I think is the
7	next witness, and he would be anticipated, I'm sure, to be
8	a lengthy witness; is that right?
9	MR. WARE: Hard to say, Your Honor, but I
10	anticipate we'll go until quitting time with him.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Well, is he available
12	now?
13	MR. WARE: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
15	MR. WARE: I think so. I saw him out
16	there.
17	MR. OTTOWAY: I think he's out there.
18	THE COURT: Okay. I thought I understood
19	that you were releasing everybody.
20	MR. HAMPTON: No. We released everybody
21	after him.
22	THE COURT: But Mr. Foran.
23	MR. HAMPTON: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So both sides agree we
25	can go ahead and take Mr. Foran?

1	MR. BRAGG: Yes.
2	THE COURT: At least get him started.
3	Well, my understanding I understand the next witness
4	then will be Mr. Foran?
5	MR. WARE: Yes, Your Honor.
6	MR. HAMPTON: Yes.
7	(Witness enters courtroom)
8	THE COURT: You may step up and be seated.
9	Of course, you were sworn in as a witness earlier. So you
10	understand you're still under oath?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	THE COURT: You may be seated.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Applicant may proceed.
15	MR. WARE: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	ROBERT FORAN,
17	having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. WARE:
20	Q. Robert, would you state your name for the
21	record, please.
22	A. It's Robert Foran.
23	Q. Mr. Foran, you're retired from the district
24	attorney's the Tarrant County District Attorney's
25	office; is that correct?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Okay. What do you do now? Ο. I help some friends of mine occasionally with 3 Α. pro bono for some of their clients if they need to kind of 4 5 spitball or figure out some trial strategies. And I look 6 at some different business opportunities that my brothers 7 are looking into on occasion. Unfortunately, they haven't 8 been very fruitful. 9 Well, hope springs eternal. Q. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Sounds like some of the business deals I've Ο. 12 gotten into. 13 How long have you been retired from the 14 Tarrant County District Attorney's office? I left at the end of 2014. 15 Α. 16 Q. And how long were you with the office? I was -- I hired on to the office 17 Α. September 4th of 1990 until the end of 2014. 18 There was 19 some time that spilled over because I had vacation time 20 accumulated I got to take. 21 Okay. So you were there well over 20 years? Ο. 22 Α. Almost 25. 23 And what were your various assignments and what Ο.

I spent a year in misdemeanor. Then I was

was your experience in the district attorney's office?

24

25

Α.

promoted to felony in September 4th of 1991 to the

371st District Court. I had a variety -- I was assigned
there. Then I was assigned to Criminal District Court No.

2 as a felony prosecutor. Then I was promoted to court
chief, I think it was February or April of 1996, in
Criminal District Court No. 1. Then I spent a little bit
over two years there.

And I took over the gang prosecution unit and was in there from about 1998 to 2003. Then I was assigned to Criminal District Court No. 2. And then after that, I was assigned to the 213th District Court as court chief also.

- Q. And during that time how many -- how many death penalty cases did you -- let me ask you how many capital murder cases do you think you handled altogether, whether you tried them or not?
 - A. Over 25.
- Q. And --

- A. Maybe over 30.
- Q. And how many death penalty cases did you actually try to a verdict?
- A. Six.
- 23 | O. Six. One of them being Paul Storey's case?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So when -- when were you -- at some point you

were assigned as first chair in the Paul Storey case; is 1 2 that correct? Shortly after -- after Mr. Cherry was 3 Yes. killed, the case was filed by the Hurst Police Department. 4 5 CDC 3 was next in the capital rotation, and so the case 6 came to me. 7 Okay. But that may have been sometime around Ο. October of 2006? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. And that's the way the office worked back then, 11 somehow the case came to you because it was your turn? 12 Α. Well, it usually went to a court chief unless 13 someone higher up or -- had a particular interest or it 14 came under the purview of some specialized unit like the 15 gang unit or the crimes against children unit. 16 And so -- and you're also first chair assigned 17 to the Mark Porter case, the co-defendant as well? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. Do you remember when the case was -- the 20 cases were indicted? Within 90 days of the filing. 21 Α. Okay. January the 12th of 2007 sound right? 22 Q. 23 I wouldn't disagree. I'd have to look at the Α. 24 file. 25 Q. Okay. So, yeah, that's about 90 days.

would have been about 90 days after filing.

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So this was -- you got two capital murder -- or two defendants in a capital murder case. And, of course, it's -- it's the district attorney's office, I guess ultimately, the elected district attorney's decision whether to pursue the death penalty or not, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And there's a number of cases in which, you know, for whatever reason the district attorney's office decides not to pursue the death penalty, even though under the law they could?
 - A. There are many.
 - Q. Many. Call those waiver cases?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Or mini caps? Is that correct?
- 17 A. I never used mini caps. But yes, waivers.
 - Q. Okay. We'll use waivers. And that's where the death penalty is waived, and if the person is convicted of capital murder, there's not any punishment, they just get an automatic life sentence?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And life means, depending on what the law is at that time, it can mean, you know, 30 years without parole or now it means and at the time this case was pending it

meant life without the possibility of parole?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And that's what it means now as well, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so in the district attorney's office back at that time, Tim Curry was still the district attorney, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And was there a process or procedure of sorts in at least making a preliminary determination as to whether to seek the death penalty?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Okay. And what was that?
- A. Usually soon after indictment or when they -they would periodically schedule a meeting, a committee
 meeting. And it would usually consist of people like when
 Mr. Curry was feeling well, I don't know if he was at the
 meeting or not in this case, Alan Levy, whoever any of the
 super chiefs would have been at that time, as were the
 individuals that were right below Mr. Levy, people like
 Greg Miller, Bob Gill. I don't know if Parrish was still
 in the office or not. There was usually representatives
 from the appellate section, Mr. Mallin, Chip Wilkinson.
 - Q. Chip Wilkinson?

- And usually Alan's administrative 1 Α. assistant would attend, and that would be Marilyn Carter. 2 She's retired now? 3 Ο. Yes, I believe so. She retired after I did, so 4 Α. 5 I don't know. 6 Ο. And this -- did you have a name for this 7 committee, the death committee? 8 Α. That's what they called it. The death committee? 9 Q. 10 Α. Yeah. 11 And who was on the committee would fluctuate Ο. 12 from time to time. It might depend on whoever was 13 available at the time that they were ready to meet? 14
 - A. You know, all I got -- I don't know exactly who was a permanent part of it. All the people I named I believe were all permanent parts of it. There may have been other people that, you know, I don't know -- I can't recall whether or not the investigators would have sent a representative or not, you know, for whatever input. But I believe I've named all of them, and they would attend if they were available.
 - Q. Okay. And they'd meet once a month or every other month?
 - A. It wasn't -- I can't tell you --
 - Q. On an ad hoc basis?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Well, because sometimes we were fortunate enough 1 Α. 2 not to have that many pending, so I can't tell you that 3 they met on a regular schedule. Okay. And what would happen there? 4 5 cases -- would you take up one case at each meeting or 6 several cases at a meeting or did it depend? 7 It would depend on how many were -- the Α. 8 individual prosecutors assigned to the case would get a 9 notice. It might be one or two people. It might be four, you know. I don't think I've ever attended a meeting 10 11 where there was more than three or four people. And then 12 each one of them would present all the information that 13 they were aware of at the time. 14 Ο. And when you attended the meetings, is it 15 because you had a case to present or was it because you 16
 - were actually on the committee?
 - I only attended when I had a case. Α. I was not on the committee.
 - Okay. So whoever had a case to present would Ο. also attend the meetings?
 - Α. Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. At least when they were presenting their case?
- 24 Α. Uh-huh.
 - So you presented the Paul Storey and Mark Porter Q.

1 cases; is that correct?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Okay. Do you remember when that was?
- A. I don't know the exact date.
 - Q. Okay. Do you think it was near the indictment or shortly thereafter?
 - A. It would have been after the indictment.
 - Q. Do you think it was shortly after the indictment?
- A. I don't want to guess, so I can't remember the exact date.
 - Q. Do you have a ballpark?
 - A. Again, I don't want to -- I assumed if Marilyn was there, she was taking notes, and her -- if she has the notes, then they would be more accurate. There's always a lot of stuff going on, and I don't want to just throw out something and -- and lead you astray that it happened X date when I don't really know.
 - Q. No, I understand. I'm not asking you to guess.

 I'm not asking for a specific day. But, I mean, you think

 it was sometime in 2007?
- A. It would have been in the first half of 2007.
 That's the best I can do.
 - Q. Okay.
- 25 A. It would not have been later than that, because

I would have had to inform the Court so that -- well, that's probably maybe a more accurate way of looking at it is that generally when they get a capital murder case, one lawyer will be appointed, and then when the committee had made a decision, we would inform the Court because, obviously, these take a lot of time to try and they have to be scheduled. And so perhaps a better guideline might be when second chair was appointed.

- Q. So if the second chair in this case was appointed in January or February of 2007, the committee probably met sometime around then?
- A. Then that would probably be the case. Or, I mean, sometimes they would appoint one just in case if they thought it was a complicated case or whatever. But that's probably a pretty good guideline.
- Q. Okay. And so do you -- do you remember -- and if you don't, that's fine. Do you remember exactly who was there when you presented the Porter and Storey cases?
 - A. No.

- Q. But probably some array of people such as you sort of gone through; is that correct?
 - A. It was generally those people. So I --
- Q. And they -- and really -- and so they listened to everything, they maybe discuss and deliberate, and then, what, did they take a vote whether to pursue the

death penalty or not?

- A. They would reach a decision, just tell me how to proceed.
- Q. Okay. And I guess ultimately it was Tim Curry's decision or whoever the --
- A. Yes, he would approve it. They would make their recommendation to him and then he would approve it. And he would do that if he was present physically or he would do it later.
- Q. Okay. So you remember this meeting? I mean, you don't remember the exact date, but you remember the meeting?
 - A. I remember presenting.
- Q. Okay. And what all was discussed as far as whether to pursue the death penalty or whether to waive it and pursue it as a waiver?
- A. Among themselves? They asked me about the case. Generally, I was just giving them answers about the case, whether or not there was evidence of premeditation, whether or not there was, you know, a particular set of violent circumstances, or any evidence of lack of remorse, any evidence of extraneous offenses that were violent that would bear on the individual's future dangerousness, any other information that might actually militate in his favor, because, you know, on occasion we have folks that

are very troubled that we wouldn't -- we would take that into account. So we take a lot of things into account.

Q. And do you remember it being discussed and it being a factor in the decision the fact that Jonas

Cherry's parents were against the death penalty?

- A. I informed -- I believe I informed them at that time, but Suman Cherry was in favor of it. So I had two different -- I have a parent, obviously very devout people, very good people, and I had also a bereaved wife who had been planning a family with her husband. And, you know, that's all information that I had.
- Q. Right. And you didn't -- I mean, you disclosed that information to them?
 - A. I believe I did, yes.

- Q. Well, I mean, as far as you know, it was even discussed as a factor on whether to pursue the death penalty or not?
- A. I can't tell you what they -- what factors they took into consideration personally. You know, like any group, what was important to some people and not important to others, I can't tell you.
- Q. Okay. But you told them about the Cherrys' opposition to the death penalty?
 - A. I believe I did.
 - Q. And what came out of that meeting?

- The -- I was told that we were going to pursue 1 Α. 2 the death penalty but also to offer a life sentence to both individuals. 3 Ο. To both of them? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 O. And you don't know but you think maybe that was 7 based at least in part on the fact that the Cherrys were 8 against the death penalty? 9 Α. No --MR. BRAGG: Objection, Your Honor, 10 11 speculation. 12 THE WITNESS: -- I don't know. 13 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the 14 objection and permit the answer. I don't know and I don't 15 THE WITNESS: 16 believe that that -- since that evidence based on my research wouldn't have been admissible, I don't believe 17 18 they would have -- they would have based it solely on 19 that, especially when you have different family members 20 who have different opinions, to give greater weight to 21 theirs than to the wife. (BY MR. WARE) So whether it was or whether it 22 Ο.
 - wasn't part of their decision, you knew the Cherrys were against the death penalty?
 - A. I knew the parents were.

23

24

- Q. Yes. And you think you told them that the parents were against it?
 - A. I believe so.

- Q. Okay. And that may or may not have been a factor in their ultimate recommendation to offer life to both of them, that if they turned it down, pursue the death penalty?
- A. I can't speculate as to what factors were important to them.
- Q. At what point did you find out that Jonas Cherry's parents were against the death penalty?
- A. I don't know if I was informed by one of the police officers or maybe victim assistance. I forget who brought that to my attention first.
 - Q. Had you talked to the Cherrys at that point?
- A. I don't have my notes from then. I've looked through the physical files to see whether or not there was a, you know, any notes in there as to the first time I talked to them or the first time they communicated anything to me. I have not looked at case events. Looked at what the AG's office has and there's no mention in there, apparently. So I didn't -- I don't have any notes where I wrote it down.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. This would have been almost ten years ago.

So do you remember the first time you did talk 1 Q. 2 to the Cherrys? I can't tell you the specific date because I 3 didn't find any notes in my file. 4 5 Yet you -- you were served with a subpoena to 6 bring any papers that you had or related to this case or 7 any documents, correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And you, really, you don't have any, do you? 10 Α. No. I -- the card that I gave you --11 Q. Right. 12 Α. -- in our previous meeting, I gave the original 13 to the AG's office. 14 Ο. Right. 15 All I had was the writ that I went and looked Α. at --16 From the clerk's --17 Ο. 18 Α. -- after our meeting. 19 Okay. But you had an opportunity to go look at Ο. 20 everything that was in the DA's file; is that correct? 21 Α. With the -- I had an opportunity to look at 22 everything that was in the possession of the attorney 23 general when they brought it up. 24 Q. Right. And neither your nor Christy's trial

notes were in there. Would you agree?

- A. I didn't -- I was looking for mine. I wasn't really particularly looking for anything with her handwriting.
 - O. Right.
 - A. I was just looking for my stuff.
 - Q. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. And there was a bunch of typed, you know, notes for like direct examinations or crosses or stuff like that. And I don't remember if I just reduced it to that or we reduced it to that, but I didn't see any handwritten notes.
- Q. Okay. Did you see anything about jury selection typed or otherwise in there?
- A. No.
 - Q. Do you know what happened to those?
- 16 A. Mine?
- Q. Yours or Christy's.
- A. Well, the policy at that time, I think we had to return all of our jury questionnaires and stuff. I don't know if we made it a trial exhibit or we didn't keep it or we made it another exhibit, I don't recall that.
- Q. Okay. So you don't recall what happened to it, really?
- 24 A. They weren't in there.
- 25 Q. Huh?

- A. They're not in there, so I can't explain that.
- Q. You didn't see anything in there, I think you've already answered this, anything in there about denoting that the Cherrys were against the death penalty?
- A. No, I didn't see anything about that or noting Suman Cherry's position either.
 - Q. Okay. You just know what they were?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. So did you disclose that information to the four defense lawyers in these two cases?
- A. I told Mr. Ray.
 - Q. You're talking about Bill Ray?
 - A. Yes. And I disclosed it to -- I can't remember if it was Mr. Tim Moore or to Mark Daniel. I spent -- on the Storey case, about 95 percent of my time was spent talking to Mr. Ray since he was the lead attorney. And we -- otherwise, we had infrequent conversations about that case as well as many others that we had.
 - Q. So how did you disclose that to Mr. Ray?
 - A. I believe I told him verbally.
 - Q. And when was that?
- A. After -- after the committee had made their decision and after I had learned of their -- the various positions.
 - Q. So you don't know when in the timeline that

would have been? 1 2 It's going to be in 2007 sometime. Α. So would you have told Bill Ray that the parents 3 Ο. of the victim were opposed to the death penalty sometime 4 in 2007? 5 6 Α. I would have told him that as well as Suman 7 Cherry's position. And once again, that's not reflected, your 8 Ο. 9 communication of that information to Bill Ray or anyone 10 else is not in the file or anywhere that you're aware of? 11 Not in the -- in any of the files I looked 12 through, it's not in there. Do you think you did communicate it in writing? 13 Ο. 14 Α. No. 15 So that would explain why there's nothing in the Ο. 16 files? 17 Α. Right. 18 Q. Okay. Did you make even an internal note to 19 yourself or to the file that that had been communicated? 20 Α. No, that -- I don't recall doing that. Again, 21 I've just looked at the files recently after ten years --22 or not ten years, nine years. A while. 23 Ο. 24 Α. Yeah.

But you believed it was important to communicate

25

Q.

that information?

- A. I believed it was information just like any other information. And, you know, the longstanding policy of the district attorney's office was to disclose the information you had, not put it through some sort of admissibility or filter but just simply if you knew something, tell them, whether it was admissible or not.
- Q. Did you ever have a conversation with Larry

 Moore about the Cherrys being against the death penalty?
- A. I don't recall having any specific conversations with Mr. Moore about it. I believe there was conversation that Christy and I had with Bill during one of the breaks during voir dire. I think Mr. Moore was present, but whether or not he was paying attention or -- I don't recall him participating in the conversation.
- Q. Was anybody else present besides Bill Ray and Larry Moore and you and Christy?
- A. It was just generally the four of us. The judge would -- when we took breaks, everybody would kind of go their own way and wait to restart.
- Q. So did Bill or Larry, either one, say at any time, Robert, why are y'all going forward with the death penalty when the parents are against it? Would you have that kind of discussion?
 - A. Bill Ray wanted us to waive it and I said no.

O. For that reason?

A. He mentioned that they're opposed to it and I said, well, his wife is not opposed to it. You know, it's not -- I mean, I can't give -- I'm not going to give greater weight to one part of the family than the other. I needed to make my decision based on whether to proceed -- whether to offer a life sentence or proceed based on the evidence as I knew it.

- Q. But you did have that discussion?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. And what about with Larry, did you have that discussion with Larry?
- A. No.

- Q. Okay. And did either one of them say, hey,
 Robert, do you mind if we go to Levy or Curry or someone
 with this information about the parents not being in favor
 of going forward with the death penalty and see if we can
 get a waiver on this case?
- A. I don't recall them saying that. Frequently, defense attorneys didn't really ask me if they could go above me. So if they did or they wanted to, they sometimes told me out of courtesy, but otherwise, they just did it.
- Q. So -- but as far as you know, they didn't in this case?

I was never informed that they did it. 1 Α. 2 Okay. O. Because, you know, sometimes when they get a no, 3 Α. neither they informed me nor did my superiors. 4 5 So same question with Mark Daniel and Tim Moore. Ο. 6 I mean, Mark Porter turned down a life sentence as well, 7 right? At first. 8 Α. Okay. Well, he turned it down and it was off 9 Q. 10 the table until after Paul Storey's case was tried, right? 11 Right. Α. 12 Ο. So -- and at one point he was even going to go 13 first. You remember that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And there was some -- Tim Moore or somebody had Ο. 16 a conflict and you flipped the order? The scheduling of, you know, four defense 17 attorneys with a heavy load, it just kind of -- it just 18 19 really depended on which window opened up for the two 20 lawyers. 21 So Mark Porter at one point was going to go Ο. 22 first, and you told Tim Moore and/or Mark Daniel about the 23 Cherrys, Mr. and Mrs. Cherry, Jonas Cherry's parents being

As well as Suman's position, yes.

against the death penalty?

Α.

24

Yeah, I understand. 1 Q. 2 And was -- and, of course, their client turned down a life sentence? 3 Yes. 4 Α. 5 Ο. And that was all before Paul Storey went to 6 trial, right? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. So at the time, certainly at the time Paul 9 Storey was going to trial, well, even before that, they 10 were going to go to trial first on the death penalty, 11 their client having turned down a life sentence, correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. Okay. And likewise, did they have that kind of 14 reaction? Did they say, wait a minute, you're going forward with the death penalty when the parents of this 15 16 28-year-old young man, their only child, are against the death penalty and you're going forward with the death 17 penalty anyway? Did they ever have that conversation? 18 19 I don't recall having that particular Α. 20 conversation with them in that sense because I would have 21 responded about Suman Cherry's position. 22 Their particular focus was blaming it all 23 on your client, that he, in fact, was responsible for all 24 of the shooting and that Mark Porter was simply a dupe and

not very bright. And that was their complete focus.

Because there were two weapons used, I think six or seven 1 2 shots from one, one shot from the second gun, and their 3 position was their guy just fired because your client made him to. 4 5 Ο. Okay. And, of course, you believed all that? 6 Α. No. 7 So as a result -- in fact, at one point Ο. 8 Mr. Porter was going to go first, correct? 9 Α. Right. 10 Because you didn't necessarily believe all that? Ο. 11 I didn't care which one of them wanted to 12 quarrel about the honor of shooting the fatal shot. 13 believed it was a joint venture, premeditated, and their 14 opportunity was to spare themselves a trial by taking a 15 life sentence. 16 Q. Do you have any idea why both Tim Moore and Mark 17 Daniel would say they never -- were never told at any time 18 anything close to Jonas Cherry's parents were against the 19 death penalty? Do you have any idea why they would say 20 that? 21 Speculative, Your Honor. MR. OTTOWAY: 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know --23 THE COURT: I'm going to allow the answer. 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know why they would

I can't

say that. It's a fact that I told them.

speculate as to why they would say it. 1 2 (BY MR. WARE) So at some point Christy became Ο. second chair? 3 Tn 2008. 4 Α. 5 Ο. 2008. So at some point the order got switched and Paul Storey is going to trial first. You've disclosed 6 7 to Larry and Bill that the Cherrys are against the death 8 penalty? 9 Α. I know that I talked to Mr. Ray about it. 10 Whether or not I talked specifically to Larry I don't 11 recall that. And whether or not he participated or was 12 listening when we had the conversation during jury selection I don't know. 13 14 Ο. Did you tell anybody else that the parents were 15 against the death penalty? 16 Α. Well, my investigator knew it. And who is that? 17 Ο. Mike de la Flor. 18 Α. 19 Ο. Okay. 20 Α. Victim assistance Linda Bigham would have known 21 it. 22 COURT REPORTER: Who? 23 THE WITNESS: Linda Bigham. They would 24 have known that. They would have known at the time what 25 the position was of the, you know, various members of the

family. 1 2 (BY MR. WARE) Now, did you and Christy discuss Q. how you were going to deal with that fact at trial? 3 Well, I didn't really think we were going to 4 have to --5 6 Ο. Okay. 7 -- because I didn't think it was admissible. Α. 8 The opinion, long-held belief of someone. I didn't believe it fell under admissible evidence as to tell what 9 10 a jury who heard the evidence, what they should decide 11 based on the evidence. Certainly it didn't come under 12 victim impact because it wasn't as a result of the crime. 13 This was a long-held belief that they had before the trial 14 or the event. And so I just -- if it came up, I would 15 have asked for a hearing. 16 Q. You didn't call Jonas Cherry's parents as 17 witnesses? 18 Α. No. 19 Did you discuss all this -- do you remember the Ο. 20 extern Ashlea Deener? 21 I don't know --Α. 22 Q. Do you remember her? 23 I do. Α.

Okay. Do you remember discussing this issue

24

25

Q.

with her?

A. I don't remember discussing legal issues with her.

- Q. Do you remember her asking how you were going to deal with it?
- A. She didn't ask me a whole bunch of questions about legal matters or trial strategy. She was primarily Christy's intern. She was of great assistance in organizing the files and getting stuff together. But most of the communications were with Christy.
- Q. Okay. You don't remember her asking you and Christy how y'all were going to deal with it and y'all answering, we're just not going to call them as witnesses?
- A. I don't recall saying that myself. I don't know if Christy did.
 - Q. Okay. So -- so do you remember talking to Bob Gill about the issue?
 - A. I remember that Christy and I were updating him on the progress of the case, where it stood, that we -- you know, what offers were out there, the positions of the various family members, both Suman and the Cherrys regarding the death penalty. So it was kind of a number of -- it was several -- sorry. It was several things, not just their position.
 - Q. Okay. Do you remember when that conversation was?

It would have been in 2008, and it would have 1 Α. 2 been before your client's rejection of the plea offer. 3 Ο. Okay. But you had disclosed -- had you disclosed the fact that the Cherrys were against -- I 4 5 mean, you knew the Cherrys were against the death penalty 6 long before that, correct? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. How long did it take you to disclose that to defense counsel? 9 10 I don't know when it came up. I don't -- it Α. 11 came up in conversations with Mr. Ray. I don't know the 12 precise day. Do you know an approximate date? 13 Ο. 14 Α. I'm not going to guess. 15 Not even an approximate date? Ο. 16 Α. Not willing to guess. Okay. But in any event, you don't even know if 17 Ο. it was in 2007? 18 19 It would have been sometime in -- before -- it Α. 20 would have been before Christy came on the case, so 21 probably in 2007. 22 Q. Probably in 2007. Okay. 23 When you disclosed to Bill Ray? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Okay. And so in 2008 you had a conversation Q.

with -- you know, Bob Gill was out of the office until July 1st, 2008.

- A. So I was incorrect on the approximate time, but it would have been sometime when Bob came aboard, to update him. And also, Christy hadn't been on the case as long as I had. And, you know, so we just brought everybody up to speed.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. That's why I don't want to guess on any of these dates.
- Q. But you wouldn't have had a conversation with Bob Gill about whether to disclose the death penalty -- excuse me, disclose that the parents were against the death penalty, you wouldn't have had that conversation after you'd already disclosed it, would you?
- A. I wasn't asking him for permission. I was updating him on what the facts in the case were, what everybody's position was. I wasn't asking for him to give me permission to disclose it or advice on how to disclose it. I disclosed it already. I was just trying to put him in the picture of what these two cases were -- involved. He was my direct supervisor.
- Q. Okay. So if he were -- if he testified that he was -- you, in part, you were asking him whether it was a good idea to disclose, he remembers that differently than

1 you? If that's how he remembers, but that's not how I 2 Α. felt that I conveyed it or that -- how I intended it. 3 And you, in fact -- okay. 4 Ο. 5 So y'all proceed to trial, he turns down a life sentence? 6 7 And then we proceed to jury selection, yes. Α. 8 Ο. Did you notify the Cherrys that you had offered a life sentence and he had turned it down? 9 We'd had a meeting all together. 10 Α. I believe 11 Suman was present as well as both the Cherrys. 12 explained the options --13 Ο. Do you know when that was? 14 Α. We explained the options --No. 15 Was there any memo in the file denoting when Ο. 16 that was? 17 Α. No. 18 Q. Okay. You can continue. 19 All the options were explained, just as the Α. 20 decision to pursue the death penalty, that we would --21 were going to make the offer of a life sentence, and if he 22 rejected it, we would pursue the death penalty. 23 So you remember Christy Jack's argument to the 24 jury that -- or maybe you don't remember, but you're 25 familiar with it now, that it should go without saying

that Paul Storey's (sic) family and everyone who loved him 1 2 believed the death penalty is appropriate. You remember that? 3 I did not recall it until I read the transcript. 4 Α. 5 I know we discussed briefly together, and then when I read 6 the transcript I saw the language. 7 Okay. Did she discuss that argument with you Ο. 8 before she made it? 9 Α. No. 10 Ο. Did y'all discuss that argument after she made 11 it? 12 Α. No. 13 Ο. You would agree that Jonas Cherry's parents are 14 certainly his family, correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. You would agree that they were certainly people 17 who loved him, correct? 18 Α. Yes. 19 You'd agree that they didn't testify at the Ο. 20 trial, correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. So at the very least, that argument was outside 23 the record? 24 MR. OTTOWAY: Your Honor, this can be made 25 at argument instead of asking the witness a question about

whether it was outside the record. 1 2 THE COURT: Any response? MR. WARE: Well, I kind of want to get his 3 thought processes about this argument. 4 5 MR. OTTOWAY: The record is the record, 6 Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Well, I understand. And I've 8 seen it myself. But for purposes of this hearing, I'm 9 going to allow this to develop. So both sides can develop 10 whatever they need to. I'm going to allow the question at this time. 11 12 MR. OTTOWAY: May I have a running 13 objection? 14 THE COURT: Very well. 15 (BY MR. WARE) So at the very least the argument Ο. 16 was outside the record? On their position before the trial, yes. 17 Α. I mean, outside the record means there was no 18 Q. 19 testimony supporting --20 Α. Right. 21 -- her argument? Ο. 22 Α. That all of the members --23 That his -- that Jonas Cherry's family and 24 everyone who loves him are -- believed the death penalty 25 is appropriate.

- A. We did not elicit that testimony.

 Q. So at the very least it was outside the record?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And to that extent an improper argument?
- A. I don't -- I'm not -- I don't want to conjecture about how I would phrase it. Because after all, they had introduced -- I don't want to weigh on that. I just -- I will say that it wasn't in the record what their position was.
- Q. Okay. And, in fact, I think you testified earlier that you believe the law was you could not put it in the record what their position was?
 - A. Well --
- Q. Because you said y'all didn't even really worry about the fact that the Cherrys were against the death penalty because that couldn't go in the record anyway?
- A. Right, just like I couldn't put in Suman Cherry's desire for the death penalty.
- O. Right.
 - A. I didn't try to offer that either.
- Q. So you would agree that it's also improper, if it's not admissible as evidence, it's also improper to inject it in front of the jury in final argument?
- MR. OTTOWAY: Your Honor, if they want to
 make that argument, that's fine. I don't know, again, why

1 this needs to be questions. 2 MR. WARE: Well, I mean, this goes to the crux of our claims. 3 4 MR. OTTOWAY: It's argument. 5 THE COURT: I understand. I'm going to go 6 ahead and permit the development. I'll be able to sort it 7 out, I think. 8 THE WITNESS: What was the question? MR. WARE: I'll move on. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. (BY MR. WARE) So is it your testimony, I guess, 11 12 that at some point -- I mean, was this a lie that Christy 13 told or is it your testimony that at some point the 14 Cherrys completely reversed their position and said now 15 we're for the death penalty and that --16 No, I'm not -- I won't say that. I know that 17 Mr. Cherry approached Christy at one of the breaks after 18 the defendant's mother testified and made her plea. 19 And you and Christy have talked about this, have Ο. 20 you not? 21 Α. Well, I talked about it with her and I told you 22 in your meeting -- our meeting. 23 Well, you didn't, but --Ο. 24 I offered to give you two affidavits summarizing 25 what our meeting was, but you didn't want it. So -- but

she did -- there was -- one of them approached. 1 I told 2 you that one of the parents approached and --You don't remember which one? 3 Ο. I believe it was Glenn, but I'm not positive. 4 Α. 5 And when was -- when did this happen? Ο. 6 Α. After -- during the punishment phase and --7 And this is the first time anything like this Ο. 8 had happened?

A. I'm sorry?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. This is -- go ahead. I'm sorry.
- A. They -- he wanted -- he or she wanted to know whether or not they needed to testify in rebuttal and we told them no.
 - Q. So that happened after the punishment phase?
 - A. During the punishment testimony.
- 16 Q. It happened during the punishment testimony?
- 17 A. They were in the trial court the entire trial.
 - Q. Okay. And so during the punishment testimony, I think one of the two parents approached and said, do we need to testify in rebuttal?
 - A. Right. I don't know -- I don't recall the exact language that they used. Christy said simply no, and I didn't feel -- and I agreed that there wasn't any reason for them to testify in rebuttal because the facts were fully developed and I didn't think they needed to have any

unnecessary pain to put them on. And, you know, we --1 2 So was he or she, whichever it was, was anybody O. else present for this conversation? 3 It was at the rail. I don't know who else was 4 5 listening. 6 Ο. Okay. 7 Α. And I can't tell you what the exact language 8 they -- the person, whichever one it was, used to Christy. She would have a better memory on that. I don't recall 9 10 because the question was directed at her. 11 Okay. Well, was there a conversation with you Ο. 12 and this person before Christy walked up? 13 Α. No. 14 Ο. Okay. 15 We were standing at counsel table. Α. 16 Q. Okay. 17 Or near the gate --Α. 18 Q. So she should have heard anything you heard? She should have -- she should have -- it was --19 Α. 20 the conversation was directed at her. 21 Ο. So it was directed at her? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Not you? Ο. 24 Α. No.

Okay. And what you heard is, do we need to

25

Q.

testify in rebuttal? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. Did you hear anything beyond that? I can't remember the exact substance of how they 4 Α. 5 phrased it. 6 Ο. Okay. Did -- did he -- if that's all he said, 7 he didn't get into any specifics about what he would 8 testify to in rebuttal? 9 Α. I don't recall specifically what he would say. I think he was -- I got the feeling that he was upset 10 11 that, you know --12 Ο. Well, you got the feeling, but that's all he 13 said, so --14 Α. I can't recall the exact words, so I don't want 15 to speculate as to what they were. 16 Q. Well, I mean, are you saying that after all this 17 time since you started talking to them, whenever it was in 18 2007, here we are in 2008 and they're opposed to the death 19 penalty, opposed to the death penalty, you're maybe even 20 discussing it at the death penalty committee, you're 21 talking to Bob Gill about it, all this time you're 22 disclosing it to Larry --23 Α. Not to Larry.

all of a sudden they flipped and they were for the death

After all this time, your position is not that

24

25

Ο.

1 penalty? 2 Α. No. And I disclosed it to Mr. Ray. I believe 3 my testimony was --I'm sorry, can you keep your voice up? 4 5 Α. I disclosed it to Mr. Ray. As I previously told 6 you, I don't have a specific recollection of talking to 7 Mr. Moore about -- Larry Moore about it. And I'm not 8 going to say that they flipped on whatever their opinion 9 was. 10 O. Do you remember telling the newspaper that y'all 11 disclosed it to the lawyers and they damn well know it? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. And you told the newspaper it was the Defense 14 that decided not to call the parents to the stand? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Is that correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. And, of course, what you're saying is, they made 19 the decision not to call the parents to the stand even 20 though they believed the Storeys (sic) were against the 21 death penalty, correct? 22 Α. Well, I conveyed it to Mr. Ray. I told him that 23 I had informed the Storeys -- not the Storeys, the Cherrys 24 of the possibility that either side could call them as

witnesses. And so they were aware they could possibly be

called as a witness. Mr. Ray, I'd already conveyed the information.

- Q. And you would have been surprised if they'd called them as witnesses?
 - A. I would have been surprised, but because --
- Q. Because they had things to say that were favorable to the defendant --
 - A. Actually --

- Q. -- they were against the death penalty?
- A. I would have been surprised because I believe under the state of the law at that time it was inadmissible and we would have had a hearing on it. And also, it may have been a trial tactic rather than put up a -- sympathetic parents. So I don't know. I can't tell you what went into their decision-making process. I can only tell you what I did.
- Q. Okay. But it -- certainly, it would have been rational for them to attempt to put the Storeys (sic) on because the Storeys (sic) were against the death penalty? I mean, that much would have advanced their side.
- A. I'm not going to guess as to what their strategy was any more than what their strategy was on mitigation evidence and all those other matters that were addressed in the previous writ. I'm not going to guess as to what went into their thought process. I don't know.

- Q. But in any event, they were certainly under the belief or under the understanding that the Storeys (sic) were against the death penalty at a time when they had an opportunity to put them on?

 A. I can speak as far as Mr. Ray, that I had previously informed him, so, yes.
 - Q. So when Christy argued that, in fact, Jonas Cherry's family and everyone who loved him believed the death penalty was appropriate, that -- you don't remember that argument?
 - A. I was pretty focused on what I was going to argue.
 - O. I understand.

- A. And so -- I believe it was one line out of I don't know how many pages of argument. It didn't really make that much of an impression on me at that time because it was one statement and I was focused on what I was going to do.
- Q. Well, wasn't it your impression that, whether it was one statement or just one line or two lines, that it was an untrue statement in that Mr. and Mrs. Cherry, the parents were, in fact, against the death penalty?
- A. It was untrue in part because they were against the death penalty, but Suman, of course, and other friends were in favor of it, so.

Q. Okay. So the statement was untrue at least as to the parents Mr. and Mrs. Cherry?

A. Yes, in part.

- Q. And your recollection is Larry -- neither Larry nor Bill objected to it?
 - A. I don't recall them objecting.
- Q. I mean, they didn't say -- they didn't say outside the record. They didn't even stand up and say, wait a minute, that's -- that's a lie. We've been talking about -- for the last year we've been talking about how extraordinary it is that the parents are against the death penalty, and this case is going death penalty anyway, and here she is up here lying to the jury about what we've been talking about for the last year.
- A. No. You know what, I didn't testify that we've been talking about it for the last year or that they'd made this argument that it was so extraordinary that we were pursuing it.

Mr. Ray was aware of it. I can't tell you what kind of communications occurred between him and Mr. Moore. I wasn't part of them. I can't tell you what Mr. Moore knew or didn't know and why they chose to -- not to object or to sit silent. I can't speculate as to that.

Q. Nor did they approach you afterwards and say, what was up with that argument, I thought the Cherrys were

1 against the death penalty? 2 They didn't have that conversation with me. Α. 3 MR. WARE: We'll pass the witness. 4 THE COURT: The State may cross-examine the 5 witness at this time. 6 MR. OTTOWAY: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. OTTOWAY: 9 Mr. Foran, I think we have covered this, but I Q. want to make sure it's clear. Life was offered in this 10 11 case, correct? 12 Α. Yes, and it was extended a second time. When was it extended to? 13 Ο. 14 During jury selection early on, the attorneys Α. 15 for the Defense -- Mr. Moore and Christy was having a 16 conversation with Bill and with Larry early on in the jury 17 selection process, and they said something to the 18 effect -- she was asking why he didn't take the deal, and 19 they were like, we were having difficulty convincing him 20 of the reality of his situation. So we extended it for a period of time. I forget how long. And they simply said 21 he hadn't changed his mind. So we left it at that. 22 23 Ο. And so you would have been fine had he accepted 24 a life sentence at that point?

Just like I was fine with Mark Porter taking

25

Α.

one. I was fine either way. 1 2 In fact, you were the one who, you know, Ο. finalized the deal with Mark Porter, correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 5 Ο. So whether anybody got life in this case, you 6 didn't have any particular druthers over punishment? 7 I didn't. Either -- either would have been Α. 8 appropriate in a plea bargain. I still believe that 9 today, if either of them accepted it. So you weren't trying to hold back evidence for 10 11 a strategic advantage so you could get the death penalty in this case? 12 13 Α. No. 14 You talked about that you had a sitdown Ο. 15 conversation with Suman, Judith, and Glenn Cherry about 16 the potential routes that this case could take, correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Did you tell them ultimately what the State was 19 going to do? 20 Α. If they turned down the plea bargain, yes, that we would pursue the death penalty. 21 22 Ο. And did they ever tell you not to seek death at 23 that point? 24 Α. They expressed their philosophy or their 25 beliefs, their strong beliefs, but they did not say don't

do this. 1 2 Ο. Did you keep up at all with the Boston bomber 3 case? 4 Α. No. 5 Did you know that family members in that case Ο. 6 went to the press and expressed their preference for a 7 life sentence? 8 MR. WARE: I'm going to object to this as irrelevant. 9 10 MR. OTTOWAY: I'm just asking if he knows, 11 Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, I do think it's 12 13 irrelevant for this matter, so I'll sustain at this time. 14 Ο. (BY MR. OTTOWAY) Did you ever tell the Cherrys 15 that they couldn't tell anybody about their preference 16 with respect to -- or let's say their philosophical belief 17 regarding the death penalty? 18 Α. No. 19 So they certainly could have told the press, Ο. 20 couldn't they? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. They could have told anybody that they wanted 23 to? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Did you ever have a discussion with them about Q.

the potential for them becoming Defense witnesses?

A. At one point I always -- in every case I tell people that there's a potential for the Defense to want to interview you, call you as a witness, depending on what you have to say. At that time I believe it was Glenn who didn't really want to talk about the case with the Defense.

I told him that, here's the thing. That is a personal decision. I can't give you any guidance on it. And certainly the Defense is free to contact you. And if you want me to facilitate -- if they want to contact you, I would be more than happy to facilitate it, even provide a conference room. So they said, well, if they contact us, they contact us. But they understood that that was entirely up -- a possibility that the Defense would contact them.

- Q. Did you ever relate to the Defense essentially the Cherrys' preference for contact?
- A. I believe we had a conversation with Mr. Ray and Mr. Moore that they preferred not to be contacted, but I explained the same information I just related and that they certainly were free to contact them or not contact them. I certainly wasn't preventing them. I explained to the Cherrys that the Defense was entitled to contact them if they chose to.

1	Q. I think a little bit earlier you had mentioned
2	if the Cherrys, and by that I mean Judith and Glenn Cherry
3	potentially became witnesses for the Defense, that you
4	would have a hearing on it?
5	A. I would have objected because I would have
6	anticipated that that was probably the only area they were
7	going into because I hardly think they would have elicited
8	victim impact.
9	Q. And why do you think they wouldn't have elicited
LO	victim impact from them?
L1	A. Because the parents in their own way were just
L2	as devastated as his wife, so why why bring out more
L3	information on how a terrible crime impacted their life.
L4	Q. So calling them could have potentially
L5	reiterated thrice for the devastating impact of Jonas
L6	Cherry's death on the Cherry family?
L7	A. I believe so.
L8	Q. Do you recall whether Glenn and Judith Cherry
L9	attended the guilt-innocence and punishment phases of
20	trial?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. At any time during those proceedings, did the
23	Cherrys ever come to you and say stop pursuing the death
24	penalty?

25

A. No.

1	Q. Did they ever come to you and say, this is a
2	travesty that you're proceeding the way that you are in
3	seeking the death penalty?
4	A. No.
5	Q. You had mentioned that one of the two Cherrys, I
6	believe you thought it was Glenn, approached Ms. Jack
7	about testifying?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Do you know why he wanted to testify?
10	MR. WARE: He's already testified to what
11	he heard, what he didn't hear. I'm going to object to him
12	speculating at this point.
13	THE COURT: Any response?
14	MR. OTTOWAY: I'll rephrase, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. You may.
16	Q. (BY MR. OTTOWAY) Was it your impression that
17	Glenn Cherry wanted to testify on behalf of Mr. Storey?
18	A. No.
19	Q. And so he wanted to testify as a witness for the
20	State?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Did you think that he wanted to testify that he
23	didn't think the death penalty was appropriate?
24	MR. WARE: I'm going to object to
25	speculation at this point. I mean, unless he knows.

THE COURT: I'll allow him to answer it if 1 2 he knows. 3 THE WITNESS: He was upset by the testimony 4 he'd heard, so I assume he wanted --5 MR. WARE: I'm going to object to any 6 assumption. 7 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 8 MR. WARE: I mean, I know what they're 9 trying to do here. We all know what they're trying to do 10 here, and it's not right. 11 MR. OTTOWAY: Your Honor, I would ask that 12 to be stricken. THE COURT: Well, I'm going to filter all 13 14 this out eventually. 15 Well, I would still object to MR. OTTOWAY: 16 the side-bar, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: It's not necessary to make a side-bar. 18 19 You may ask your next question. 20 Q. (BY MR. OTTOWAY) Do you recall when one of the 21 Cherrys, and probably Glenn, approached Ms. Jack about 22 testifying? It was sometime after Ms. Shankle's testimony. 23 Α. 24 Ο. Now, only if you know, do you know why he 25 approached her at that time?

1	A. He was upset about the plea for on behalf of
2	her son and he wanted to testify in rebuttal.
3	Q. And this was before closing argument?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And you said Glenn and Judith Cherry were there
6	throughout the entire sentencing proceeding, correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. So they heard the verdict of death for
9	Mr. Storey?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. At that time did they ever approach you and
12	disagree with your decision to seek death?
13	A. No.
14	Q. At any time after the decision or, I'm sorry,
15	after the verdict for death did they ever tell you that
16	this was a travesty?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Did you ever receive anything from Glenn and
19	Judith Cherry after the trial?
20	A. Myself and Christy Jack received a card.
21	MR. OTTOWAY: Your Honor, may I approach,
22	please?
23	THE COURT: You may.
24	MR. OTTOWAY: For purposes of the record, I
25	am showing what has been admitted as State's Exhibit 1.

- (BY MR. OTTOWAY) Mr. Foran, could you please 1 Ο. 2 take a look at that? 3 Α. Yes. Do you know what that item is? 4 Ο. 5 It is a card that I received -- or Christy and I Α. 6 received from Glenn and Judy Cherry after the trial. 7 And to be clear, that is a photocopy of the Ο. 8 card, correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. And did you have the original card in your 11 possession? I did. 12 Α. 13 And why did you keep that card? 14 Α. I rarely got thank-you notes, honestly, you 15 People would say thanks for doing something, but 16 you rarely got somebody to actually memorialize it. And these are -- you know, I've met a lot of very good people 17 throughout my career, and they're certainly two of the 18 19 best. 20 Q. And although the letter is absolutely admitted into evidence here, was there anything in there that 21 22 suggested that they were upset at the verdict that 23 occurred?
 - Q. Do you know a or did you know a Robert Ford,

There's no mention of it.

24

25

Α.

1	also known as Bob Ford?						
2	Α.	Yes.					
3	Q.	And he was an attorney here in Fort Worth?					
4	Α.	Yes.					
5	Q.	And he was what we would call a writ attorney or					
6	a post-conviction attorney?						
7	Α.	He would also do trial work, but he did mostly					
8	appellate	work, and he did writs also.					
9	Q.	And did you know that he was representing					
10	Mr. Store	y on his state habeas application?					
11	Α.	I did.					
12	Q.	Did you ever have a conversation with Bob Ford					
13	about the	Storey case?					
14	Α.	In passing, that's about not in any detail.					
15	Q.	If he had asked you any questions about the					
16	case, would you have been willing to meet with him and						
17	discuss the case?						
18	Α.	Yes.					
19	Q.	Including the Judith and Glenn Cherry's					
20	opposition philosophical opposition to the death						
21	penalty?						
22	Α.	I would have answered any of his questions he					
23	asked me.						
24		MR. OTTOWAY: No further questions, Your					
25	Honor.						

1	THE COURT: Any other questions by					
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION					
3	BY MR. WARE:					
4	Q. But you never told Bob Ford that they were					
5	against the death penalty, did you?					
6	A. I assumed I didn't discuss the case in detail					
7	with Mr. Ford					
8	Q. It's a pretty simple question.					
9	A. Well, you know, I'm going to answer the question					
10	as I believe					
11	Q. You answered the					
12	A. I'll ask it answer it as I believed you asked					
13	it.					
14	Q. Don't point at me, Robert.					
15	THE COURT: One at a time. One at a time.					
16	Let's have a question and an answer.					
17	Q. (BY MR. WARE) Listen to my question.					
18	A. Oh, I'm listening.					
19	MR. OTTOWAY: Your Honor, this is badgering					
20	at this point.					
21	THE COURT: Well, let's just keep it					
22	simple. Ask a question, see what the response is.					
23	Q. (BY MR. WARE) You never told Bob Ford that the					
24	Cherrys were philosophically, ethically, spiritually					
25	opposed to the death penalty?					

1	A. I didn't discuss					
2	Q. That's a yes or no question.					
3	A. No.					
4	Q. Thank you.					
5	A. That's all right.					
6	Q. And, in fact, the Cherrys are wonderful people,					
7	aren't they?					
8	A. Yes, they are. Outstanding people.					
9	MR. WARE: Pass the witness.					
10	THE COURT: Any other questions from the					
11	State?					
12	MR. OTTOWAY: Nothing further, Your Honor.					
13	THE COURT: All right. Do y'all want to					
14	keep Mr. Foran on call?					
15	MR. WARE: We do, Your Honor.					
16	THE COURT: All right. You may step down					
17	at this time. But remain available in case you're needed					
18	later. Of course, you're still under oath and under the					
19	Rule.					
20	It is 4:22 p.m. and I understand the other					
21	witnesses have been deferred until tomorrow. So					
22	9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. Anything else for the					
23	record?					
24	MR. WARE: Your Honor, that's all. Are we					
25	contemplating going an extra day beyond tomorrow on a date					

to be named later? 1 THE COURT: Well, I'm contemplating the 2 necessity of an additional day, and I'm going to talk to 3 the coordinator about the days. You might know I'm 4 5 otherwise scheduled to shift courts on Wednesday, so I'll 6 be prepared to go either place. 7 MR. WARE: We have -- we have a witness, 8 and he's given an affidavit, if they don't object to the 9 affidavit, then that might be sufficient, Fred Cummings who's out of town this entire week. 10 11 And I know -- I don't know if they still 12 intend to call Suman, but it's my understanding she's not available today or tomorrow. So I assume that they're 13 14 going to ask for some day outside this week to call her as 15 well, but I don't know that for a fact. 16 THE COURT: I understood that it was very 17 probable that there would be another future date required. 18 Now, from the way things have gone today, 19 I'm anticipating not being able to finish tomorrow unless 20 y'all pick it up. So do you think you can get everybody else in that's currently available this week tomorrow or 21 22 do you think --23 MR. WARE: I think so. 24 MR. OTTOWAY: I note for the record that I 25 was efficient.

MR. BRAGG: Just a point of clarification, 1 2 We anticipate -- we will need to come back at Your Honor. a later date for Suman. She is not available this week. 3 She had a prescheduled vacation out of state. Are we 4 5 anticipating that we might stay around an extra day as 6 well? 7 THE COURT: If you don't finish tomorrow, I 8 would be okay, although I'm going to have to talk to the 9 coordinator about Wednesday, I mean, I will be down here 10 at one place or another on Wednesday if we don't finish 11 tomorrow, if it's convenient to get most of this in one 12 piece. Every lawyer may have his own 13 MR. HAMPTON: 14 opinion, but I'm actually hopeful, unlike today, that we 15 actually can get through what we need to tomorrow. 16 MR. WARE: I sure hope we do. I've got 17 other things to do the rest of the week, as I know 18 everybody does. 19 THE COURT: Well, let me encourage y'all to 20 try to finish tomorrow if you can. And we'll just schedule some future date for those two that you talked 21 22 about, Mr. Cummings and Suman, I guess is her name. 23 MR. BRAGG: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. Well, why don't we 25 recess until tomorrow and we'll see what we get done

1	tomorrow.								
2		MR.	OTTOWAY	: 1	hank	you,	Your	Honor.	
3		(Pr	oceeding	s ad	ljourn	ned)			
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19 20									
20									
22									
23									
24									
25									
-									
	i								

1	STATE OF TEXAS					
2	COUNTY OF TARRANT					
3	I, Lisa G. Morton, Deputy Official Court Reporter					
4	in and for Criminal District Court Number Three of Texas					
5	in and for Tarrant County, do hereby certify that the					
6	above and foregoing contains a true and correct					
7	transcription of all portions of evidence and other					
8	proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the					
9	parties to be included in this volume of the Reporter's					
10	Record in the above-styled and numbered cause, all of					
11	which occurred in open court or in chambers and were					
12	reported by me.					
13	I further certify that this Reporter's Record of					
14	the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits,					
15	if any, offered by the respective parties, if requested.					
16	I further certify that the total cost for the					
17	preparation of this Reporter's Record will be included in					
18	the final volume of this record.					
19	WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND, on this the 10th of					
20	October, 2017. PDF COPY					
21	Lisa G. Morton, CSR					
22	Texas CSR No. 4655, Exp:12/31/2017 Deputy Official Court Reporter					
23	Criminal District Court No. 3 401 W. Belknap, 6th Floor					
24	Fort Worth, Texas 76196 Telephone: (817)884-2767					

email: lmorton@tarrantcounty.com

25